

# UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES

A conservative well-balanced hybrid SPH scheme for the shallow-water model

C. Berthon<sup>1</sup>, M. de Leffe<sup>2</sup>, <u>V. Michel-Dansac<sup>1</sup></u>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Université de Nantes <sup>2</sup>HydrOcean





## Introduction

► hyperbolic system of conservation laws with source term in one space dimension:

 $\partial_t \Phi + \partial_x F(\Phi) = S(\Phi)$ , with

•  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the unknown vector of conserved variables •  $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , the flux function

•  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the set of admissible states

**• example**: the shallow-water equations with topography

 $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} h \\ hu \end{pmatrix}, F(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} hu \\ hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2 \end{pmatrix}, S(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -gh\partial_x Z \end{pmatrix}$ 

Hybridization with Finite Volumes

**aim**: derive a SPH approximation of  $\partial_x F(\Phi)$ 



## SPH discretization

rewrite the scheme for the shallow-water equations using this reformulation :

 $\begin{cases} \frac{h_i^{n+1} - h_i^n}{\Delta t} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j X_{ij} (Hu)_{ij} W'_{ij} = 0 \\ \frac{h_i^{n+1} u_i^{n+1} - h_i^n u_i^n}{\Delta t} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j X_{ij} \left( Hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gH^2 \right)_{ij} W'_{ij} = \\ \left( \frac{g}{2} \partial_x (hZ) - gh \partial_x Z \right)_i \end{cases}$ 

- $h \ge 0$  denotes the water height
- $\blacktriangleright u$  denotes the horizontal water velocity
- g > 0 denotes the gravity constant
- $\blacktriangleright Z$  denotes the smooth topography
- ► **objectives**: propose a numerical scheme that:
- ▶ uses the SPH method for space discretization
- ▶ preserves the lake at rest steady state
- ▶ is conservative

Acknowledgements: Victor Michel-Dansac would like to thank the project PEPS SPHINX of Labex AMIES (under contract ANR10-LABX-02). Christophe Berthon would like to thank the ANR-12-IS01-0004-01 GEONUM for financial support.

1. The SPH method

The continuous particle approximation

•  $f(x) = (f * \delta)(x)$ , with  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta$  the Dirac distribution  $= \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(y) \delta(x-y) \, dy$ 

•  $\Pi^h(f)(x) = (f * W)(x)$ , with W a regularizing kernel =  $\int_K f(y)W(x - y, h) \ dy \simeq f(x)$ 

$$\partial_x F_i \simeq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_j (F_i + F_j) W'_{ij}$$
  $\partial_x F_i \simeq \sum_{j \in \gamma(i)} \sigma_j F_{ij}$   
FV-SPH hybridization [2]:  $\partial_x F_i \simeq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_j 2F_{ij} W'_{ij}$   
where  $F_{ij}$  is **any** conservative FV flux (for instance HLL)

Application to the shallow-water model

Applied to  $\partial_t \Phi + \partial_x F(\Phi) = S(\Phi)$  with an explicit Euler time discretization, the hybrid scheme reads:

 $\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \Phi_i^{n+1} - \Phi_i^n \right) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j F_{ij} W_{ij}' = S_i^n,$ 

with  $\Delta t$  the time step and  $\Phi_i^n = \Phi(x_i, t^n)$ .

Applying this scheme to the shallow-water equations yields:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{h_i^{n+1} - h_i^n}{\Delta t} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j (hu)_{ij} W'_{ij} = 0\\ \frac{h_i^{n+1} u_i^{n+1} - h_i^n u_i^n}{\Delta t} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j \left(hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2\right)_{ij} W'_{ij} = s_i. \end{cases}$$

 $s_i$  is a discretization of the source term, which will be

### Source term discretization

to get a *well-balanced* and *conservative* scheme, we adopt the following consistent source term discretization:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{g}{2}\partial_x(hZ) - gh\partial_x Z\right)_i &= \frac{g}{2}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j X_{ij} H_{ij}^2 \left(1 - X_{ij}\right) W_{ij}' - \\ g\bar{X}_i \bar{H}_i \sum_{j\in\mathcal{P}} 2\omega_j \left(1 - X_{ij}\right) W_{ij}' + \\ 2g\bar{H}_i^2 \bar{X}_i (1 - \tilde{X}_i) \sum_{j\in\mathcal{P}} \omega_j W_{ij}' \end{split}$$

averages  $H_{ij}$ ,  $H_i$ ,  $X_i$ ,  $X_i$  and  $X_{ij}$  still need to be determined

Main results

• Assume both free surface averages  $H_{ij}$  and  $H_i$  to satisfy:

 $H_{ij} = \overline{H}_i = H$ , as soon as  $H_i = H_j = H$ .

Assume  $\bar{X}_i$  is defined by the following expression (consistent with X = h/H):





quadrature formula:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \, dy \simeq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega(x_j) f(x_j) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_j f_j$ where  $x_i$  are the quadrature points, or *particles*.

determined later in order to ensure the well-balancedness.

2. A well-balanced scheme

Reformulation of the shallow-water model

recall the lake at rest steady state, where u = 0 and h + Z = cst, and introduce the following reformulation [1]:

• H = h + Z: the free surface

•  $X = \frac{h}{H}$ : the water volume fraction

rewrite the shallow-water equations for weak solutions:

 $\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \partial_x \left( X \left( H u \right) \right) = 0, \\ \partial_t (hu) + \partial_x \left( X \left( H u^2 + \frac{1}{2} g H^2 \right) \right) = \frac{g}{2} \partial_x (hZ) - g h \partial_x Z \end{cases}$ for the lake at rest, note that  $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ Hu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{cst}$ 

Numerical experiments

Then the scheme defined by the SPH hybridization and source term discretization preserves the lake at rest, for any expression of  $X_{ij}$  and  $X_i$  consistent with X.

▶ In addition, *whatever the averages*, the scheme is conservative. We use upwind averages for  $X_{ij}$  and  $H_{ij}$ , and set  $\overline{H}_i = H_i$  and  $X_i = X_i$ .

## References

Christophe Berthon and Françoise Foucher. Efficient well-balanced hydrostatic upwind schemes for shallow-water equations. J. Comput. Phys., 231(15):4993–5015, 2012.

Jean-Paul Vila.

SPH renormalized hybrid methods for conservation laws: applications to free surface flows.

Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng., 43:207–229, 2005.

•  $\Pi^h(f)(x) = \int_{W} f(y)W(x-y,h) dy$ becomes  $\Pi^h(f)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_j f_j W_{ij} \simeq f_i$  $\blacktriangleright \Pi^h(f')(x) = \int_K f(y) W'(x-y,h) \ dy$ becomes  $\Pi^h(f')_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_j f_j W'_{ij} \simeq f'_i$ 

main issue: loss of the consistency; indeed,

•  $\sum \omega_j W_{ij} \neq 1$  and it becomes an approximation of 1 •  $\sum \omega_j W'_{ij} \neq 0$  and it becomes an approximation of 0

We instead use the following conservative operator:

 $\Pi^h(f')_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \omega_j (f_i + f_j) W'_{ij}.$ 

