

# On the Different of Abelian Extensions of Global Fields

G. Frey, M. Perret, H. Stichtenoth

## O. Introduction

Let  $q$  be a power of some prime number  $p$ , and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the field with  $q$  elements. Coding theorists are interested in explicitly described function fields over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  having a large number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational places (or, equivalently, irreducible complete smooth algebraic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with many  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points). For small values of the genus, such function fields are often abelian extensions of the rational function field  $\mathbb{F}_q(z)$ . For instance, this is the case for Hermitian curves, some Fermat curves, and some Artin-Schreier extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q(z)$ . Moreover, one way to exhibit families of function fields  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus growing to infinity and having *good asymptotic behaviour* (i.e., the ratio (number of rational places/genus) has a limit  $> 0$ ), is to construct a tower of function fields  $E_0 \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_2 \dots$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , each step  $E_{i+1}/E_i$  being Galois with an abelian Galois group. In other words, *solvable* extensions may have a good asymptotic behaviour, cf. [3].

One aim of our paper is to show that *abelian* extensions  $E_i/F$  (where  $F$  is some fixed function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is assumed to be the full constant field of  $F$  and all  $E_i, i \geq 1$ ) are *asymptotically bad* (i.e., the ratio (number of rational places/genus) tends to 0 as the genus of  $E_i/\mathbb{F}_q$  goes to infinity).

It should be pointed out that our method uses only elementary results from Hilbert's ramification theory, cf. [2,4], and the finiteness of the residue class fields. In the case of global fields, one may also use class field theory in order to obtain some results of this paper.

## 1. Hilbert's Ramification Theory for Locally Abelian Extensions

In this section, we consider the following situation.  $K$  is some field,  $\mathfrak{o} \subseteq K$  a discrete valuation ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $L/K$  be a *finite abelian field extension* with Galois group  $G$  (i.e.  $L/K$  is Galois, and its Galois group  $G$  is abelian). Let  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq L$  be a discrete valuation ring of  $L$  with  $\mathfrak{o} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  and maximal ideal  $\mathcal{P}$ , hence  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathfrak{o}$ . Throughout section 1, we suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  is the only discrete valuation ring of  $L$  containing  $\mathfrak{o}$ . Let  $k := \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$  and  $l := \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$  denote the residue class fields of  $\mathfrak{o}$  resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then  $l/k$  is a finite field extension, and we shall always assume that  $l/k$  is separable. We choose some  $\mathcal{P}$ -prime element  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}$  is the principal ideal generated by  $\pi$ ), and consider the groups

$$G_0 := \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma x \equiv x \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}\}$$

and, for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$G_i := \{\sigma \in G_0 \mid \sigma \pi \equiv \pi \pmod{\mathcal{P}^{i+1}}\}.$$

It is well-known that the definition of  $G_i$  is independent of the choice of  $\pi$ , and  $G \supseteq G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_n = \{1\}$  for sufficiently large  $n \geq 1$ , see [2,4]. The factor groups  $\mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1}$  (for  $i \geq 0$ ) are considered as vector spaces over  $l$  via

$$(x + \mathcal{P}) \cdot (a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) := xa + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} \quad (x \in \mathcal{O}, a \in \mathcal{P}^i),$$

and  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1}$  by

$$\tau(a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) := \tau(a) + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}$$

(in order to see that this action is well-defined observe that  $\mathcal{O}$  is the only extension of  $o$  in  $L$ , hence  $\tau(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$  for all  $\tau \in G$ ). We set

$$X_i := \{a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} \in \mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1} \mid \tau(a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) = a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} \text{ for all } \tau \in G\}.$$

Clearly,  $X_i$  is a  $k$ -subspace of  $\mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1}$ .

**Proposition 1:** The dimension of  $X_i$  as a vector space over  $k$  is at most one.

*Proof:* By Hilbert's ramification theory  $l/k$  is a normal field extension. Due to our assumption  $l/k$  being separable we obtain that  $l/k$  is Galois. Moreover, any automorphism  $\tau_0$  in the Galois group of  $l/k$  is induced by some  $\tau \in G$ , i.e.  $\tau_0(x + \mathcal{P}) = \tau(x) + \mathcal{P}$  for any  $x + \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P} = l$ , see [2]. In order to prove the proposition we can assume that  $X_i \neq \{0\}$ . We choose  $a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} \in X_i$  with  $a \in \mathcal{P}^i \setminus \mathcal{P}^{i+1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1}$  is a one-dimensional vector space over  $l$  (this is obvious) we have for all  $a_1 + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} \in X_i$ :  $a_1 + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} = (c + \mathcal{P}) \cdot (a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1})$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{O}$ . For any  $\tau \in G$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \mathcal{P}) \cdot (a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) &= a_1 + \mathcal{P}^{i+1} = \tau(a_1 + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) \\ &= \tau(c + \mathcal{P}) \cdot \tau(a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}) = \tau(c + \mathcal{P}) \cdot (a + \mathcal{P}^{i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

consequently  $\tau(c + \mathcal{P}) = c + \mathcal{P}$  for any  $\tau \in G$ . Thus  $c + \mathcal{P}$  is invariant under any automorphism of  $l/k$ , i.e.  $c + \mathcal{P} \in k$ . This proves Proposition 1. ■

It is well known that the mappings

$$\psi : \begin{cases} G_0/G_1 & \longrightarrow & l^* \\ \sigma & \longrightarrow & \frac{\sigma\pi}{\pi} \pmod{\mathcal{P}} \end{cases}$$

resp. for  $i \geq 1$

$$\varphi_i : \begin{cases} G_i/G_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1} \\ \sigma & \longrightarrow & \frac{\sigma\pi}{\pi} - 1 \pmod{\mathcal{P}^{i+1}} \end{cases}$$

are embeddings of  $G_0/G_1$  into the multiplicative group of  $l$  (resp. of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  into the additive group  $\mathcal{P}^i/\mathcal{P}^{i+1}$ ), and the definition of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi_i$  is independent of the choice of the prime element  $\pi$ . For our purposes, the following refinement is essential:

**Proposition 2:** Under the hypotheses of this section, the image of  $\psi$  is contained in  $k^*$ , and the image of  $\varphi_i$  is contained in  $X_i$  for any  $i \geq 1$ .

*Proof:* (a) Let  $\sigma \in G$ . We have to show that  $\tau_0(\psi(\sigma)) = \psi(\sigma)$  for all  $\tau_0$  in the Galois group of  $l/k$ . As before,  $\tau_0$  is induced by some  $\tau \in G$ , and we obtain

$$\tau_0(\psi(\sigma)) = \tau_0\left(\frac{\sigma\pi}{\pi} + \mathcal{P}\right) = \frac{\tau(\sigma\pi)}{\tau(\pi)} + \mathcal{P} = \frac{\sigma(\tau(\pi))}{\tau(\pi)} + \mathcal{P} = \psi(\sigma)$$

(we have used that  $G$  is abelian and  $\tau(\pi)$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -prime element as well).

(b) An analogous argument proves that  $\varphi_i(\sigma) \in X_i$  for any  $\sigma \in G_i$ . ■

We recall some facts from ramification theory. Let  $f = f(\mathcal{P} | \wp) = [l : k]$  denote the *residue class degree* and  $e := e(\mathcal{P} | \wp)$  the *ramification index* of  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\wp$ , i.e.  $\wp\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{P}^e$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is the only extension of  $\mathcal{o}$  in  $L$  and  $l/k$  is separable, we have  $e \cdot f = [L : K]$ . Let  $s := \text{char}(k)$  be the characteristic of the residue class field and  $g_i := \text{ord } G_i$  for any  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $G_1$  is the unique  $s$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G_0$ , and  $g_0 = e$ . The extension  $\mathcal{P} | \wp$  is said to be *tame* if  $g_1 = 1$  (hence  $(s, e) = 1$ ), otherwise  $\mathcal{P} | \wp$  is *wildly ramified*.

Let  $W \subseteq k^*$  be the group of roots of unity in  $k$ . If  $W$  is finite, we set  $w := \#W$ .

**Corollary 3:** In addition to the hypotheses of this section, suppose that  $k$  contains only finitely many roots of unity. If  $\mathcal{P} | \wp$  is tame then  $e \leq w$ .

*Proof:* Consider the map  $\psi : G_0 \rightarrow l^*$  as before. Since  $G_1 = 1$ ,  $\psi$  is a monomorphism. By Proposition 2, the image of  $\psi$  is contained in  $W$ . ■

In order to obtain a similar estimate for the ramification index also in the case of wild ramification, we introduce the following notion: an integer  $i \geq 0$  is called a *jump* (for  $\mathcal{P} | \wp$ ) if  $G_i \neq G_{i+1}$ .

**Corollary 4:** In addition to the hypotheses of this section, assume that  $k$  is a finite field. Then  $e \leq (\#k)^r$  where  $r$  denotes the number of jumps.

*Proof:*  $e = g_0 = (g_0/g_1) \cdot (g_1/g_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (g_n/g_{n+1})$  where  $n$  is chosen such that  $g_{n+1} = 1$ . Proposition 1 and 2 yield  $g_i/g_{i+1} \leq \#k$  for any  $i \geq 0$ . The corollary follows immediately. ■

Hilbert's formula [2,4] states that the *different exponent*  $d := d(\mathcal{P} | \wp)$  is given by

$$d = \sum_{i \geq 0} (g_i - 1).$$

This formula can be restated as follows. We consider the set  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r\}$  of jumps (where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_r$  and  $r$  is the number of jumps) and set

$$t_1 := \nu_1 + 1; \quad t_i := \nu_i - \nu_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, r.$$

Then

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i (g_{\nu_i} - 1).$$

Since  $G$  is abelian, the Hasse-Arf theorem [2] applies. It yields

$$t_i \cdot g_{\nu_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Combining this with Hilbert's formula we obtain

**Proposition 5:** Under the hypotheses of this section, the different exponent  $d$  satisfies the estimate

$$d \geq \frac{1}{2} r e$$

where  $r$  denotes the number of jumps.

*Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \sum_{i=1}^r t_i g_{\nu_i} (1 - g_{\nu_i}^{-1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r t_i g_{\nu_i} \geq \frac{1}{2} r e \end{aligned}$$

by the Hasse-Arf theorem. ■

## 2. The Different of Abelian Extensions of Global Fields

In this section,  $F$  denotes a *global field*. This means that either  $F$  is a *number field*, or  $F$  is an *algebraic function field of one variable* over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (we assume that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the full constant field of  $F$ ). A *place* of  $F$  is the maximal ideal of a discrete valuation ring of  $F$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a place of  $F$ , its corresponding valuation ring will be denoted by  $\mathcal{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The residue class field  $\mathcal{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$  is a finite field, and in the function field case we have  $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathcal{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The *degree* of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is defined by

$$\deg \mathfrak{p} := \log \#(\mathcal{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p})$$

(in the number field case,  $\log$  is taken with respect to the basis  $e = 2, 718 \dots$ ; if  $F$  is a function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we take  $\log = \log_q$  - the logarithm with respect to the basis  $q$ ). The definition of the degree is extended to *divisors* of  $F$  (a divisor is a formal finite sum of places) by linearity.

Let  $E/F$  be an *abelian extension* of  $F$  and  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$  be its Galois group. If  $\wp$  is a place of  $F$ , there are  $g = g(\wp)$  places  $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_g$  of  $E$  lying over  $\wp$  (i.e.  $\wp \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\nu$ ). All of them have the same ramification index  $e(\wp) := e(\mathcal{P}_\nu | \wp)$  and the same residue class degree  $f(\wp) := f(\mathcal{P}_\nu | \wp)$ , and we have  $e(\wp) \cdot f(\wp) \cdot g(\wp) = [E : F]$ . For an extension  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_\nu$  of  $\wp$  in  $E/F$ , we consider the *decomposition group*

$$G(\wp) := G(\mathcal{P} | \wp) := \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F) \mid \sigma\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\}$$

(this is independent of the choice of the extension  $\mathcal{P}$  since  $E/F$  is abelian), and the *decomposition field*  $Z = Z(\wp)$ , i.e.  $F \subseteq Z \subseteq E$  and  $G(\wp) = \text{Gal}(E/Z)$ .

There exists the unique *maximal unramified subextension*  $F \subseteq M \subseteq E$ . This means that all places of  $F$  are unramified in  $M/F$ , and  $M$  is a maximal subfield of  $E$  with this property. Let  $S := S(E/F)$  be the set of places of  $F$  which are ramified in  $E/F$  (it is well-known that  $S$  is finite).

**Lemma 6:** With the notations as above, we have

$$\sum_{\wp \in S} \log e(\wp) \geq \log[E : F] - \log[M : F].$$

*Proof:* For any  $\wp \in S$ , let  $G_0(\wp) \subseteq G$  be the *inertia group* of  $\wp$ , see [2,4]. Its order is  $e(\wp)$ , and if  $U \subseteq G$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all  $G_0(\wp)$  (with  $\wp \in S$ ), then  $M$  is the fixed field of  $U$ . Therefore  $\text{ord } U = [E : M] = [E : F]/[M : F]$ . Since  $G$  is abelian,

$$\text{ord } U \leq \prod_{\wp \in S} \text{ord } G(\wp) = \prod_{\wp \in S} e(\wp).$$

Taking logarithms yields the assertion of the lemma. ■

Let  $\mathcal{D}(E/F)$  be the *different* of  $E/F$ . The main result of this section is the following:

**Theorem 7:** Suppose that  $E/F$  is an abelian extension of global fields and  $F \subseteq M \subseteq E$  is the maximal unramified subextension. In the function field case we assume, in addition, that  $E$  and  $F$  have the same constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then the degree of the different  $\mathcal{D}(E/F)$  satisfies

$$\deg \mathcal{D}(E/F) \geq \frac{1}{2}[E : F] \cdot (\log[E : F] - \log[M : F]).$$

*Proof:* For  $\wp \in S$ , let  $d(\wp)$  be the different exponent of a place  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $E$  lying over  $\wp$ , and  $r(\wp)$  be the number of jumps, cf. section 1 (observe that we can apply the results of section 1 if  $F$  is replaced by the decomposition field  $Z(\wp)$ ). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg \mathcal{D}(E/F) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \sum_{\mathcal{P}|\mathfrak{p}} d(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathcal{P} \\
&= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} g(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot d(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot f(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathfrak{p} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} g(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot r(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot e(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot f(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathfrak{p} \quad (\text{by Proposition 5}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [E : F] \cdot \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} r(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \deg \mathfrak{p} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} [E : F] \cdot \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \log e(\mathfrak{p}) \quad (\text{by Corollary 4}) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} [E : F] \cdot (\log [E : F] - \log [M : F]) \quad (\text{by Lemma 6}).
\end{aligned}$$

■

### 3. Abelian Extensions of Function Fields Are Asymptotically Bad

We want to prove a slightly more general result than we announced in the introduction. For an algebraic function field  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  (with  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as its full constant field) we set

$$\begin{aligned}
g(E) &= \text{genus of } E \\
N(E) &= \text{number of rational places of } E/\mathbb{F}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $E/F$  is a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , we let  $G'$  be the *commutator subgroup* of  $G$ . The fixed field  $E^{ab} \supseteq F$  of  $G'$  is the *maximal abelian extension* of  $F$  contained in  $E$ . In particular, if  $G$  is abelian,  $G' = \{1\}$  and  $E^{ab} = E$ .

**Theorem 8:** Let  $F/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an algebraic function field and  $(E_\nu)_{\nu \geq 1}$  be a sequence of extension fields of  $F$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the full constant field of  $F$  and all  $E_\nu$ .
- (ii)  $E_\nu/F$  is Galois with Galois group  $G_\nu$ .
- (iii)  $\text{ord}(G_\nu/G'_\nu) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then the quotient  $N(E_\nu)/g(E_\nu)$  tends to zero as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof:* There is a constant  $h$  (the class number of  $F$ ) such that any abelian unramified extension  $M/F$  with the same constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is of degree  $[M : F] \leq h$ , cf. [1]. We consider the maximal abelian extension  $F_\nu \subseteq E_\nu$  of  $F$  contained in  $E_\nu$ . By (iii), the degree  $n_\nu := [F_\nu : F] \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , and the degree  $d_\nu$  of the different  $\mathcal{D}(F_\nu/F)$  satisfies the estimate

$$d_\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}n_\nu(\log n_\nu - \log h)$$

by Theorem 7. The Hurwitz genus formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(F_\nu) &\geq n_\nu(g(F) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}d_\nu \\ &\geq n_\nu(g(F) - 1) + \frac{1}{4}(\log n_\nu - \log h). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the trivial estimate  $N(F_\nu) \leq n_\nu \cdot N(F)$ , hence

$$\frac{N(F_\nu)}{g(F_\nu)} \leq \frac{N(F)}{g(F) - 1 + \frac{1}{4}(\log n_\nu - \log h)} \longrightarrow 0$$

for  $\nu \longrightarrow \infty$ . Eventually, since  $N(E_\nu) \leq [E_\nu : F_\nu] \cdot N(F_\nu)$  and  $g(E_\nu) \geq [E_\nu : F_\nu](g(F_\nu) - 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}[E_\nu : F_\nu] \cdot g(F_\nu)$  (observe that  $g(F_\nu) \longrightarrow \infty$  for  $\nu \longrightarrow \infty$ ), we obtain  $N(E_\nu)/g(E_\nu) \longrightarrow 0$ . ■

## References

- [1] *Artin, E. and Tate, J.*: Class field theory. New York - Amsterdam 1967
- [2] *Serre, J.P.*: Corps locaux. Paris 1962
- [3] *Serre, J.P.*: Sur le nombre des points rationnels d'une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini. C.R. Acad.Sc. Paris, t. 296 (1983), 397-402
- [4] *Zariski, O. and Samuel P.*: Commutative Algebra, Vol. I. Princeton 1958

**Gerhard Frey**

Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik  
Universität GHS Essen  
Ellernstr. 29, D-4300 Essen 12  
Germany

**Marc Perret**

Equipe Arithmétique et Théorie de l'Information  
CIRM, Luminy Case 916  
F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9  
France

**Henning Stichtenoth**

Fachbereich 6, Universität GHS Essen  
D-4300 Essen 1  
Germany