

# ASYMPTOTIC NUMBER OF TRIANGULATIONS WITH VERTICES IN $\mathbf{Z}^2$

S.YU.OREVKOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{T}_n^2$  be the set of all triangulations of the square  $[0, n]^2$  with all the vertices belonging to  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . We show that  $Cn^2 < \log \text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^2 < Dn^2$ .

Triangulations with integral vertices appear in the algebraic geometry. They are used in Viro's method of construction of real algebraic varieties with controlled topological properties [2]. In [1], the discriminant of a polynomial  $\sum_{a \in A} c_a x^a$  with a fixed finite set of multi-indices  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}^d$  is described in terms of triangulations of the convex hull of  $A$  with vertices in  $A$ . Here we study the asymptotics of the number of triangulations with integral vertices when the size of the triangulated polytope tends to infinity.

Denote by  $I_n$  the segment  $[0, n] \subset \mathbf{R}$  and let  $I_n^d := I_n \times \cdots \times I_n \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  be the  $d$ -dimensional cube with the side  $n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_n^d$  the set of all triangulations of  $I_n^d$  whose vertices are integral points.

**Question.** *What are the asymptotics of  $\log \text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^d$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?*

Only the evident estimates are known for an arbitrary  $d \geq 2$ :

$$A_d n^d < \log \text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^d < B_d n^d \log n, \quad (A_d, B_d > 0).$$

To get the left inequality, divide  $I_n^d$  into  $n^d$  cubes; each of them can be subdivided into simplices at least in two ways. To obtain the right inequality, note that the number of all integral  $d$ -simplices contained in  $I_n^d$  is bounded by  $c_1 n^{c_2}$  and the number of  $d$ -simplices in each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n^d$  is bounded by  $c_3 n^d$ , hence,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^d \leq \sum_{q=1}^{c_3 n^d} \binom{c_1 n^{c_2}}{q} \leq c_4 (c_1 n^{c_2})^{c_3 n^d}$$

(here  $c_1, \dots, c_4$  depend on  $d$  but do not depend on  $n$ ).

In this note we show that for  $d = 2$  the main term of the asymptotics is  $\text{const} \cdot n^2$ .

A triangulation  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n^d$  is called *primitive* if the volume of each  $d$ -dimensional simplex equals  $1/d!$ . Let  $\mathcal{PT}_n^d = \{T \in \mathcal{T}_n^d \mid T \text{ is primitive}\}$ .

**Theorem.** *There exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that*

$$An^2 < \log \text{Card } \mathcal{PT}_n^2 < Bn^2.$$

---

Partially supported by Grants RFFI-96-01-01218 and DGICYT SAB95-0502

**Corollary.** *There exist positive constants  $C$  and  $D$  such that*

$$Cn^2 < \log \text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^2 < Dn^2.$$

Denote by  $\pi : I_n^2 \rightarrow I_n$  the projection  $(x, y) \mapsto x$ . Given a  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n^2$ , let us say that a subset  $U \subset I_n^2$  is  $T$ -univalent if  $U$  is a closed simplicial subcomplex of  $T$  such that  $I_n \times \{0\} \subset U$ , and all the fibers  $(\pi|_U)^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in I_n$  are connected.

**Lemma.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{PT}_n^2$  and let  $U$  be a  $T$ -univalent subset of  $I_n^2$ . If  $U \neq I_n^2$  then there exists a triangle  $\sigma \in T$  such that  $\sigma \not\subset U$  and  $U \cup \sigma$  is  $T$ -univalent.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $T_U$  the set of all triangles  $\sigma \in T$  such that  $\sigma \not\subset U$  and  $\sigma \cap U$  contains a segment. Let  $\sigma \in T_U$ . Denote the vertices of  $\sigma$  by  $a, b, c$  so that  $\pi(a) \leq \pi(b)$ ,  $[ab] \subset U$ . We shall say that  $\sigma$  hangs to the left (resp. to the right) if  $\pi(c) < \pi(a)$  (resp.  $\pi(b) < \pi(c)$ ) and  $c \notin U$ . Denote by  $T_U^{(L)}$  (resp.  $T_U^{(R)}$ ) the set of triangles  $\sigma \in T_U$  hanging to the left (resp. to the right). Clearly that if  $\sigma \in T_U$  and  $U \cup \sigma$  is not  $T$ -univalent then  $\sigma \in T_U^{(L)} \cup T_U^{(R)}$ . Thus, in the case  $T_U^{(L)} = T_U^{(R)} = \emptyset$  we can choose any  $\sigma \in T_U$ . Suppose  $T_U^{(L)} \neq \emptyset$  (the case  $T_U^{(R)} \neq \emptyset$  can be treated the same way). Say that  $\sigma_1 \in T_U$  is to the left of  $\sigma_2 \in T_U$  if  $\sigma_1 \cap U$  is to the left of  $\sigma_2 \cap U$ . Let  $\sigma_0$  be the most left triangle from  $T_U^{(L)}$  and let  $\sigma \in T_U$  be such that  $\sigma \cap U$  is adjacent from the left to  $\sigma_0 \cap U$ . Then  $\sigma \notin T_U^{(L)}$  because  $\sigma_0$  was the most left. We have also  $\sigma \notin T_U^{(R)}$ . Indeed, otherwise  $\sigma$  would intersect  $\sigma_0$  because  $\sigma$  hangs to the right and  $\sigma_0$  hangs to the left. Hence,  $U \cup \sigma$  is  $T$ -univalent.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem.* As we pointed out above, the estimate  $An^2 < \log \text{Card } \mathcal{PT}_n^2$  is evident. Let us prove that  $\log \text{Card } \mathcal{PT}_n^2 < Bn^2$ .

To each  $T \in \mathcal{PT}_n^2$  we associate the sequence of  $T$ -univalent subsets  $I_n \times \{0\} = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_N = I_n^2$ ,  $N = 2n^2$ , where  $U_{j+1} = U_j \cup \sigma_j$  and  $\sigma_j$  is the most left among the triangles  $\sigma \in T$  such that  $\sigma \not\subset U_j$ ,  $\sigma \cup U_j$  is  $T$ -univalent. Denote by  $E$  the set of all edges of  $T$  and put  $E_j = \{e \in E \mid e \subset U_j\}$ ,  $k_j = \text{Card } E_j$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{k_N}$  be all the edges of  $T$  numerated so that  $E_0 = \{e_1, \dots, e_{k_0}\}$  and  $E_{j+1} \setminus E_j = \{e_{k_j+1}, \dots, e_{k_{j+1}}\}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, N$ ), the elements of each  $E_{j+1} \setminus E_j$  being numerated from the left to the right. Let us define the vector  $v_T = (v_1, \dots, v_{k_N})$  as follows. If  $e_j$  is vertical or  $e_j \subset I_n \times \{1\}$  then we put  $v_j = 1$ . Otherwise, if  $e_j = [ab]$ ,  $\pi(a) < \pi(b)$ , and  $\sigma = [abc] \in T$  is the triangle adjacent to  $[ab]$  from above, then put

$$v_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi(c) < \pi(a), \\ 2 & \text{if } \pi(c) = \pi(a), \\ 3 & \text{if } \pi(a) < \pi(c) \leq \pi(b), \\ 4 & \text{if } \pi(c) > \pi(b). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that that the number of all possible vectors  $v_T$  is bounded by  $4^{3n^2}$ . Therefore, to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $T$  is uniquely determined by  $v_T$ . Indeed, if  $v_T$  is known then one can inductively reconstruct all the sets  $E_0, E_1, \dots$  as follows. Suppose  $U_j$  is already reconstructed. Let  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m}$  be all the non-vertical edges (numerated from the left to the right) lying on  $\partial U_j \setminus \partial I_n^2$ . Then the triangle  $\sigma$ , adjacent to  $e_{j_i}$  from above, being attached to  $E_j$  yields a  $T$ -univalent set if and only if one of the following three cases holds: (i)  $2 \leq v_{j_i} \leq 3$ ;

(ii)  $v_{j_{i-1}} = 4$  and  $v_{j_i} = 1$ ; (iii)  $v_{j_i} = 4$  and  $v_{j_{i+1}} = 1$ . In all the cases  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma \cap E_j$  (due to the primitivity condition).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary.* Each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n^2$  can be subdivided to a  $T' \in \mathcal{PT}_n^2$ . Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be the sets of edges of  $T$  and  $T'$  not lying on  $\partial I_n^2$ . Clearly that  $T$  is uniquely determined by  $T'$  and  $E$ . Hence,  $\text{Card } \mathcal{T}_n^2 \leq \sum_{T'} \text{Card}\{E \subset E'\} < (\text{Card } \mathcal{PT}_n^2) \cdot 2^{3n^2}$  since  $\text{Card } E' = 3n^2 - 2n < 3n^2$ .  $\square$

The properties of integral points were essential to our proof. However, the following generalization seems to be true. Given a finite set  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , denote by  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  the set of triangulations of the convex hull of  $A$  with vertices belonging to  $A$ .

**Conjecture.** *There exists a constant  $C_1$  such that  $\log \text{Card } \mathcal{T}(A) \leq C_1 \text{Card } A$  for any finite  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ .*

This is well-known when  $A$  is the set of vertices of a convex polygon. In this case  $\text{Card } \mathcal{T}(A)$  is the Catalan number  $\frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2n-4}{n-2}$  where  $n = \text{Card } A$ . Note also, that an analogue of the Lemma is true for any finite  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ .

I am grateful to V. Kharlamov for suggesting the problem and useful discussions. I thank the referee for useful remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. I.M. Gelfand, M.M. Kapranov, A.V. Zelevinskii, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [2] O.Ya. Viro, *Gluing of plane real algebraic curves and constructions of curves of degrees 6 and 7*, Lect. Notes Math. **1960** (1984), 187–200.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RUSS. ACAD. SCI. GUBKINA 8, MOSCOW, 117966, RUSSIA