

POISSON COHOMOLOGY IN DIMENSION TWO

PHILIPPE MONNIER

ABSTRACT. It is known that the computation of the Poisson cohomology is closely related to the classification of singularities of Poisson structures. In this paper, we will first look for the normal forms of germs at $(0,0)$ of Poisson structures on \mathbb{K}^2 ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) and recall a result given by Arnold. Then we will compute locally the Poisson cohomology of a particular type of Poisson structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Poisson cohomology of a Poisson manifold gives several informations on the geometry of the manifold. It was first introduced by Lichnerowicz in [L]. Unfortunately, the computation of these cohomology spaces is quite complicated and few explicit results have been found.

In the symplectic case, Poisson cohomology is naturally isomorphic to de Rham cohomology. The case of regular Poisson manifolds is discussed, for instance, in [V] and [X]. One can find some results on the Poisson cohomology of Poisson-Lie groups in [GW]. Some explicit computations are also done, for instance, in [Co1], [Co2] or [G].

In [Cr], Crainic links Poisson cohomology with the Morita equivalence. Finally, one can find some discussion on Poisson cohomology and Poisson homology in [ELW], [B] or [FT].

In the two-dimensional situation, some special cases on \mathbb{R}^2 have been studied. In [V], Vaisman began to compute the cohomology of $(x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. His idea was to consider the homomorphism $\iota^*: H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\})$ induced by the inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. A few years later, Nakanishi used this idea and computed the Poisson cohomology of quadratic Poisson structures on \mathbb{R}^2 (see [N]). In the present paper, our approach is more direct and uses some tools arising from the theory of singularities. More precisely, we first study (in section 3) the normal forms of the “most interesting” germs at $(0,0)$ of Poisson structures vanishing at $(0,0)$, and we rediscover the list given by Arnold in [A]. These normal forms are of type

$$\Pi = f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where f and h are quasihomogeneous polynomials (there is a relation between their degrees).

Then, in section 4, we then compute locally the Poisson cohomology of Poisson structures of this type.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D17.

Key words and phrases. Poisson structures, singularities, Poisson cohomology.

A generalisation of these results to the n -vectors on an n -dimensional manifold can be found in [Mo2].

2. QUASI-HOMOGENEITY

Throughout this text, \mathbb{K} will indicate the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^*$. We denote by W the vector field $\omega_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ on \mathbb{K}^2 . Now, let T be a non zero p-vector ($p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). We will say that T is **quasihomogeneous** with weights ω_1, ω_2 and of (quasi)degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ if

$$\mathcal{L}_W T = dT,$$

where \mathcal{L}_W indicates the Lie derivative with respect to W . This condition can be written $[W, T] = dT$ where $[.,.]$ indicates the Schouten bracket. Note that T is then polynomial.

If f is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree d then $d = i\omega_1 + j\omega_2$ with $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$; therefore, an integer is not necessarily the quasidegree of a polynomial. If $f \in \mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$, we can write $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i$ with f_i quasihomogeneous of degree i (we adopt the convention that $f_i = 0$ if i is not a quasidegree); f is said to be of order d ($\text{ord}(f) = d$) if all of its monomials have degree d or higher. For more details consult [AGV].

It is important to notice that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is a quasihomogeneous vector field of degree $-\omega_1$ (in the same way $\text{deg}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = -\omega_2$); the minimal degree of a vector field is $-\max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. Note also that an integer can be the quasidegree of a vector field without being the quasidegree of a polynomial. Finally, note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is quasihomogeneous of degree $-\omega_1 - \omega_2$.

3. LOCAL MODELS OF POISSON STRUCTURES IN DIMENSION 2

In the reference [A], Arnold gives a list of normal forms for Poisson structures on a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ in \mathbb{K}^2 . In this section, we recall Arnold's theorem and we give the idea of a proof which is similar to Arnold's (the approach is a little bit different). For more details on this proof, consult [Mo1].

The particularity of the dimension two is that any 2-vector on a 2-dimensional manifold is a Poisson structure. For an introduction to Poisson structures, consult [CW] or [V].

The problem is the following: given $\Pi = F \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, a germ at 0 of Poisson structures on \mathbb{K}^2 , we want to simplify its expression via a suitable local change of coordinates.

Notations : We denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2), \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{K}^2)$) the vector space of germs at $(0, 0)$ of (holomorphic if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, analytic or \mathcal{C}^∞ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) functions (resp. vector fields, 2-vectors). We also denote by $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{K}^2)$ the group of local diffeomorphisms at $(0, 0)$ sending $(0, 0)$ to itself. Finally, $\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ ($\mathcal{X}_t(\mathbb{K}^2), \mathcal{V}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$) indicates the space of germs depending differentiably on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Two germs $\Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\Lambda = g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ are called **equivalent** if there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $\varphi_* \Pi = \Lambda$. This condition yields $g \circ \varphi = (\text{Jac } \varphi) f$ where $\text{Jac } \varphi$ indicates the Jacobian of φ .

Two germs f and g are said to be **R-equivalent** if there exists $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $g \circ \varphi = f$.

Actually, it is not possible to give normal forms for every Poisson structures. We only study Poisson structures determined by the germs of functions F whose R-orbit is “interesting” enough. We will speak about it later.

The splitting theorem ([W]) allows us to assume that $\Pi_{(0,0)} = 0$. Moreover, it is quite easy to show that, if F is regular at 0, then Π is, up to a change of coordinates, the germ $x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Remark 3.1. It is important to note that if two germs f and g are R-equivalent, then the germ $\Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ will be equivalent to the germ of a Poisson structure of type $ga \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where $a(0,0) \neq 0$.

Now, we consider germs of Poisson structures of type

$$\Pi = fa \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where f vanishes and is singular at $(0,0)$, and $a(0,0) \neq 0$.

Moreover, we suppose that f is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d > 0$ with respect to $W = \omega_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ (ω_1 and ω_2 are positive integers). This additional assumption will be justified later.

Here and throughout, the quasihomogeneity will be with respect to W .

Arnold’s theorem is the following:

Theorem 3.2. [A] *Up to a multiplicative constant, Π is equivalent to the germ of a Poisson structure of type $f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where h is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (on condition that $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ is a quasidegree, otherwise this term disappears).*

It is possible to show (see [Mo1]) that Π is equivalent to a germ of Poisson structures of type $f(1+h+R) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(R)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ ($j_0^\infty(R)$ indicates the ∞ -jet of R at $(0,0)$) and h is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Thus, in order to prove the former theorem, we have to “remove” the term R . We are going to use Moser’s path method. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $\Pi_t = f(1+h+tR) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and we try to prove the existence of $X_t \in \mathcal{X}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $[X_t, \Pi_t] = -\frac{d\Pi_t}{dt}$.

Actually we will look for an X_t of type $\alpha_t W$ with $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$.

Then, if we put $R_t = \frac{R}{1+h+tR}$ and $\lambda_t = d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 + \frac{W \cdot (h+tR)}{1+h+tR}$, it is sufficient to prove the existence of α_t in $\mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$, such that

$$W \cdot \alpha_t - \lambda_t \alpha_t = R_t \quad (E).$$

Let us note two things :

- if Π is analytic (\mathcal{C}^∞) then so are R_t and λ_t
- if $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ is a quasidegree, then $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(R_t)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Now, we just have to show that there exists α_t satisfying (E).

Resolubility of equation (E) : The results we give here will be useful in the computation of the Poisson cohomology. That is why we are going to give quite detailed proofs of them.

We can write $\lambda_t = (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) + \mu_t$ where $\mu_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfies $\mu_t(0,0) = 0$. In order to show that (E) admits a solution :

1- we prove that there exists $\beta_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $W \cdot \beta_t - \mu_t \beta_t = 0$ with $\beta_t(0,0) \neq$

0

- 2-** we prove that there exists $\gamma_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $W.\gamma_t - (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)\gamma_t = \frac{R_t}{\beta_t}$
3- $\alpha_t := \beta_t \gamma_t$ will then be a solution of (E).

1- In order to show the first claim, we need the following result whose proof can be found in [R].

Theorem 3.3. *Let X_t be an element in $\mathcal{X}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ having an isolated singularity at $(0,0)$. Moreover, suppose that the eigenvalues of its linear component at $(0,0)$ do not vanish. Take h_t in $\mathcal{C}_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ flat at $(0,0)$. Then there exists $g_t \in \mathcal{C}_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ flat at $(0,0)$ satisfying $X_t.g_t = h_t$ for any t .*

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *If $T_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfies $T_t(0,0) = 0$, then there exists $\nu_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $W.\nu_t = T_t$.*

Proof of the lemma :

Formal case : Assume that $T_t \in \mathbb{K}_t[[x, y]]$; we have $T_t = \sum_{i>0} T_t^{(i)}$ where $T_t^{(i)}$ is quasihomogeneous of degree i . If we put $\nu_t = \sum_{i>0} \frac{T_t^{(i)}}{i}$ we get $W.\nu_t = T_t$.

Analytical case : Assume that T_t is analytic at $(0,0)$. Imitate the former proof noting that, so defined, ν_t is analytic at $(0,0)$.

\mathcal{C}^∞ case : Let $\tilde{T}_t = j_0^\infty(T_t)$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_t \in \mathbb{R}_t[[x, y]]$ be such that $W.\tilde{\varepsilon}_t = \tilde{T}_t$. Borel's theorem ensures the existence of $\varepsilon_t \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $j_0^\infty(\varepsilon_t) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_t$. Thus $W.\varepsilon_t = T_t + m_t$ where m_t is flat at $(0,0)$. Let n_t be flat at $(0,0)$ and such that $W.n_t = -m_t$ (n_t exists by theorem 3.3); $\nu_t = \varepsilon_t + n_t$ suits. ■

Consequently, to prove **1-**, we put $\beta_t = \exp \nu_t$, where ν_t satisfies $W.\nu_t = \mu_t$.

2- Note first that if $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ is a quasidegree (for polynomials), then there exists (i, j) in \mathbb{N}^2 such that $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = i\omega_1 + j\omega_2$ if not, $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 = i\omega_1 - \omega_2$ (or $-\omega_1 + i\omega_2$) with $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The following lemma will prove the second claim.

Lemma 3.5. **i):** *Let k and l be in \mathbb{N} and $T_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ with $\text{ord}((j_0^\infty(T_t))) > k\omega_1 + l\omega_2$. Then there exists $\gamma_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $W.\gamma_t - (k\omega_1 + l\omega_2)\gamma_t = T_t$.*

ii): *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$; then there exists $\gamma_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ satisfying $W.\gamma_t - (k\omega_1 - \omega_2)\gamma_t = T_t$.*

Proof : i) We use an induction :

For $k = l = 0$: see lemma 3.4.

Now, assume that i) is true for $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. We are going to show that it is true for $k + 1$ and l (for k and $l + 1$ the proof is the same).

Let $T_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ with $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(T_t)) > (k + 1)\omega_1 + l\omega_2$ and $\delta_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ verifying $W.\delta_t - (k\omega_1 + l\omega_2)\delta_t = \frac{\partial T_t}{\partial x}$. Then we define γ_t by $\gamma_t(x, y) = \int_0^x \delta_t(u, y) du$ for (x, y) in a neighbourhood of $(0,0)$. An easy computation shows that $W.\gamma_t - ((k + 1)\omega_1 + l\omega_2)\gamma_t = T_t$.

ii) We use again an induction :

For $k = 0$: we know that there exists $\delta_t \in \mathcal{F}_t(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $W.\delta_t = \int_0^y T_t(x, u) du$.

If we put $\gamma_t = \frac{\partial \delta_t}{\partial y}$ then we get $W.\gamma_t + \omega_2 \gamma_t = T_t$.

The end of the proof can be achieved as in i). ■

A list of normal forms : We recall that a germ of Poisson structures on \mathbb{K}^n is determined by the choice of a germ of functions.

We consider a germ $\Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, where f vanishes and is singular at $(0, 0)$. We suppose, in addition, that the germ f is of **finite codimension**. It means that the vector space $Q_f = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)/I_f$ (I_f is the ideal spanned by $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$) is of finite dimension.

Why do we suppose that f is of finite codimension? In fact, one can see I_f as the tangent space of the orbit of f (with respect to the R-equivalence). Thus, the finite-codimensional germs are those whose orbit is “big” enough.

Remark 3.6. It is important to note the following fact:

According to Tougeron’s theorem (see for instance [AGV]), if f is of finite codimension, then f is R-equivalent to its k -jets for k sufficiently large. The set $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is then, from the topological point of view, the same as the set of zeroes of a polynomial. Therefore, if g is a germ at 0 of functions which satisfies $fg = 0$, then $g = 0$.

Moreover, we suppose that the germ f is **simple**. It means that a sufficiently small neighbourhood (with respect to Whitney’s topology; see [AGV]) of f intersects only a finite number of R-orbits. Simple germs are those who present a certain kind of stability under deformation.

Note that simple germs are necessarily of finite codimension (see for instance [AGV]).

We have a classification of such germs in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. [AGV] *Simple germs at $(0,0)$ of functions are given, up to R-equivalence, in the following list:*

A_k $k \geq 1$	D_k $k \geq 4$	E_6	E_7	E_8
$x^2 \pm y^{k+1}$	$x^2 y \pm y^{k-1}$	$x^3 \pm y^4$	$x^3 + xy^3$	$x^3 + y^5$

If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ (or if k is even in the real A_k case), then the symbol \pm disappears.

It is important to note that these models are quasihomogeneous polynomials. Now, applying theorem 3.2 to these models, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. [A] *Let f be a simple germ at $(0,0)$ of finite codimension. Suppose that f has at $(0,0)$ a critical point with critical value 0. Then, the germ $\Pi = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ is equivalent, up to a multiplicative constant, to a germ of type $g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, where g is in the following list:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{2p} & : x^2 + y^{2p+1} \quad p \geq 1 \\
 A_{2p-1}^{\pm} & : (x^2 \pm y^{2p})(1 + \lambda y^{p-1}) \quad p \geq 1 \\
 D_{2p}^{\pm} & : (x^2 \pm y^{2p})(1 + \lambda y^{p-1}) \quad p \geq 2 \\
 D_{2p+1} & : (x^2 y + y^{2p})(1 + \lambda x) \quad p \geq 2 \\
 E_6 & : x^3 + y^4 \\
 E_7 & : (x^3 + xy^3)(1 + \lambda y^2) \\
 E_8 & : x^3 + y^5
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the symbol \pm disappears.

4. POISSON COHOMOLOGY

In this section we compute the Poisson cohomology of some Poisson structures. In fact, we work **locally** and we study the “*germified*” Poisson cohomology. This means that we work with germs of Poisson structures, functions, vector fields, 2-vectors...

We recall that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ ($\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{K}^2)$) indicates the space of germs at 0 of functions (vector fields, 2-vectors).

Let Π be a germ of Poisson structure on \mathbb{K}^2 . We have then the complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{K}^2) \xrightarrow{\delta_3} 0$$

where $\delta_0 = 0$, $\delta_3 = 0$, $\delta_1(g) = [g, \Pi]$ and $\delta_2(X) = [X, \Pi]$ ($[.,.]$ indicates the Schouten bracket).

We will denote by $Z^i(\Pi) = \text{Ker } \delta_{i+1}$, $B^i(\Pi) = \text{Im } \delta_i$ and $H^i(\Pi) = Z^i(\Pi)/B^i(\Pi)$.

If we assume that $\Pi = F \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ where $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ then, for $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, we have

$$\delta_1(g) = F \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - F \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We will denote by X_g this vector field (it is the Hamiltonian of g with respect to Π) and H_g the vector field $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

On the other hand, for $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, we have

$$\delta_2(X) = (X.F - (\text{div}X)F) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We will denote $H^2(F)$ the space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)/\{X.F - (\text{div}X)F \mid X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)\}$. This space is clearly isomorphic to $H^2(\Pi)$.

Actually, we will compute the cohomology of Poisson structures of a particular type.

Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^*$. Here and throughout, the quasihomogeneity will be understood as being in the sense of (ω_1, ω_2) (W will again indicate the vector field $\omega_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \omega_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$).

We take a quasihomogeneous polynomial f of degree d and we assume that f is a germ at 0 of finite codimension c (recall that it means that the vector space $Q_f = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)/I_f$, where I_f is the ideal spanned by $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, is of dimension c). We also give us a quasihomogeneous polynomial h of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (if $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > 0$).

Now we consider two germs of Poisson structures

$$\Pi_0 = f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi = f(1+h) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and we are going to compute the cohomology of these Poisson structures.

In the former section, we saw that the “most interesting” Poisson structures are of this type.

Note that **in the sequel, we do not suppose that the germ f is simple.**

In our computation, it is very important to assume that f is of finite codimension (see the role played by the second claim of lemma 4.2, and the remark 4.8).

It is easy to see that, since f is of finite codimension, the spaces $H^0(\Pi_0)$ and $H^0(\Pi)$ are isomorphic to \mathbb{K} (see remark 3.6).

Remark 4.1. It is important to note that, since f is quasihomogeneous, the computation of $H^\bullet(\Pi_0)$ can be done "degree by degree". For instance, if $\sum_i X^{(i)}$ is the ∞ -jet of X and if X is in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ (resp. $B^1(\Pi_0)$) then $X^{(i)}$ is also in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ (resp. $B^1(\Pi_0)$) for each i . Moreover, if X is polynomial, then X is in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ (resp. $B^1(\Pi_0)$) if and only if each of its quasihomogeneous components is in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ (resp. $B^1(\Pi_0)$). We have the same properties for $B^2(\Pi_0)$.

The computation of the cohomology of Π does not present this property. The following result will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$.*

1- *If $\text{div } X = 0$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $X = H_g$.*

2- *If $X.f = 0$, then $X = \alpha H_f$ with $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$.*

Proof : We can write $X = A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. We consider the 1-form $\omega = -Bdx + Ady$.

1- If $\text{div } X = 0$ then $d\omega = 0$, which implies that $\omega = dg$ with $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, and so $X = H_g$.

2- If $X.f = 0$ then $df \wedge \omega = 0$. Since f has finite codimension, de Rham's division theorem (see [dR] or [M]) enables us to conclude. ■ the Poisson structure Π_0 is easier to manipulate;

4.1. Computation of H^1 . As the Poisson structure Π_0 is easier to manipulate than Π , we first compute the cohomology space $H^1(\Pi_0)$ and then look at the space $H^1(\Pi)$.

Computation of $H^1(\Pi_0)$:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $X = \alpha H_f + \frac{\text{div } X}{d} W$.*

Proof : Direct application of lemma 4.2.

The main idea in the computation of the space $H^1(\Pi_0)$, is to show that every 1-cocycle whose ∞ -jet has a sufficiently large order is a cobord.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ be such that $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. If $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ then $X \in B^1(\Pi_0)$.*

Proof : first case : $\text{div } X = 0$. We then show that f divides X .

Since $X.f - (\text{div } X)f = 0$, we have $X.f = 0$ and then $X = \gamma H_f$ (lemma 4.2) with $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$. Note that, since $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and H_f is quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, we have $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(\gamma)) > 0$.

We prove that f divides γ . Let $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ be such that $W.\mu = \gamma$ (μ exists according to lemma 3.4). Note that, since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ (resp. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$) is quasihomogeneous of degree $N - \omega_1$ (resp. $N - \omega_2$), the order of the ∞ -jet of $H_f.\mu$ is strictly larger than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. We have

$$H_f.(W.\mu) = W.(H_f.\mu) + [H_f, W].\mu = W.(H_f.\mu) + (-(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)H_f).\mu$$

(because H_f is of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$).

Since $H_f.(W.\mu) = H_f.\gamma = \text{div } X = 0$, we have

$$W.(H_f.\mu) = (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)H_f.\mu$$

and so $H_f.\mu$ is either 0 or quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Thus, as $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(H_f.\mu)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, we have $H_f.\mu = 0$.

Now, $H_\mu.f = -H_f.\mu = 0$ so there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} = \nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} = \nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ (lemma 4.2). Therefore, $W.\mu = \nu W.f$, that is $\gamma = \nu(d \times f)$.

We deduce that $X = fZ$ with $Z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$.

Finally, since $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$, $\text{div } Z = 0$ and then $Z = H_g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ (lemma 4.2). Hence $X = fH_g = X_g$.

Second case : $\text{div } X \neq 0$. If we find $\beta \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $\text{div } X = \text{div } X_\beta$, then the 1-cocycle $X - X_\beta$ satisfies $\text{div}(X - X_\beta) = 0$, which implies (see the first case) that $X = X_\beta + X_\varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$. Since $\text{div } X_\beta = H_\beta.f = -H_f.\beta$, we are looking for β such that $H_f.\beta = -\text{div } X$.

We have $X = \alpha H_f + \frac{\text{div } X}{d} W$ with $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ (lemma 4.3) so that $\text{div } X$ satisfies the equation

$$W.(\text{div } X) - (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)\text{div } X = -d \times H_f.\alpha.$$

Note that, if we write the ∞ -jets at 0 in the relation $X = \alpha H_f + \frac{\text{div } X}{d} W$, we see that the order of the ∞ -jet of α is strictly positive so, according to lemma 3.4 we can take $\beta \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $W.\beta = d \times \alpha$ (the order of the ∞ -jet of β is also strictly positive). Since

$$W.(H_f.\beta) = H_f.(W.\beta) + [W, H_f].\beta = d(H_f.\alpha) + ((d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)H_f).\beta,$$

we have $W.(\text{div } X + H_f.\beta) = (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)(\text{div } X + H_f.\beta)$.

We deduce that $\text{div } X + H_f.\beta$ is either 0 or quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Therefore, $\text{div } X = -H_f.\beta$ (because $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(\text{div } X + H_f.\beta)) > d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$). ■

For $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$, we denote by $[X]_{\Pi_0}$ its class modulo $B^1(\Pi_0)$. We also denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ a basis of the vector space of quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (in fact, in order to obtain a vector space, we have to add 0 to the set of quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$).

Theorem 4.5. *The family $\{[H_f]_{\Pi_0}, [e_1 W]_{\Pi_0}, \dots, [e_r W]_{\Pi_0}\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\Pi_0)$. In particular, $H^1(\Pi_0)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension $r + 1$.*

Proof : First we prove that $H^1(\Pi_0)$ is spanned by this family. Lemma 4.4 says that every X in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ is cohomologous to a polynomial vector field of maximum degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Indeed, if $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ then $j_0^{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(X)$ is also in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ ($j_0^{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(X)$ indicates the jet of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ of X at 0). Thus, $X - j_0^{d-\omega_1-\omega_2}(X)$ is in $Z^1(\Pi_0)$ and the order of its ∞ -jet at 0 is strictly higher than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Therefore, using remark 4.1, we can assume that X is quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ or lower.

- If $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ is quasihomogeneous with $\deg X < d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ then $X = 0$. Indeed, according to lemma 4.3, we have $X = \frac{\text{div } X}{d} W$, and so

$$\text{div } X = \frac{\text{div } X}{d} \text{div } W + W.\left(\frac{\text{div } X}{d}\right),$$

which implies that $(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \deg X)\text{div } X = 0$.

- Let $X \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ be quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. We have (lemma 4.3) $X = \alpha H_f + \frac{\text{div } X}{d} W$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\text{div } X$ is a quasihomogeneous polynomial

of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Therefore the family generates $H^1(\Pi_0)$.

Now, we prove that this family is free. Suppose that $\sum_i \lambda_i e_i W + \alpha H_f \in B^1(\Pi)$ where $\alpha, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are scalars. Then $\sum_i \lambda_i e_i W + \alpha H_f = 0$. Indeed, if g is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial, then $\deg X_g = \deg H_f + \deg g = d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 + \deg g$, which is strictly larger than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ as soon as $g \neq 0$.

Consequently, $\text{div}(\sum_i \lambda_i e_i W + \alpha H_f) = 0$ i.e. $\sum_i \lambda_i e_i = 0$. We deduce that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are 0, and so $\alpha = 0$. ■

Computation of $H^1(\Pi)$:

If $X \in Z^1(\Pi)$ we denote by $[X]_{\Pi}$ its class modulo $B^1(\Pi)$.

Theorem 4.6. $\{[(1+h)H_f]_{\Pi}, [(1+h)e_1W]_{\Pi}, \dots, [(1+h)e_rW]_{\Pi}\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\Pi)$. In particular, $H^1(\Pi) \simeq H^1(\Pi_0)$.

Proof : It is sufficient to notice that $X \in Z^1(\Pi)$ (resp. $X \in B^1(\Pi)$) if and only if $\frac{X}{1+h} \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ (resp. $B^1(\Pi_0)$). ■

4.2. Computation of H^2 . Here again, we first compute the cohomology space $H^2(\Pi_0)$ and then the space $H^2(\Pi)$. We will then see that these two spaces are isomorphic.

Computation of $H^2(\Pi_0)$:

Lemma 4.7. Let g be a germ at 0 of functions on \mathbb{K}^2 .

1. If the ∞ -jet at 0 of g does not contain a component of degree $2d - w_1 - w_2$ then

$$g \in B^2(f) \Leftrightarrow g \in I_f.$$

2. If g is quasihomogeneous of degree $2d - w_1 - w_2$ then

$$g \in B^2(f) \Rightarrow g \in I_f.$$

Proof : If $g = X.f - (\text{div } X)f \in B^2(f)$ where $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ then, if we put

$$Y = X - \frac{\text{div } X}{d}W,$$

we have $g = Y.f$

This proves the second claim and the first part of the first one.

Now, we prove the converse of the first claim: we assume that $g \in I_f$ (and the ∞ -jet of g does not contain a component of degree $2d - w_1 - w_2$) and we are going to show that $g \in B^2(f)$.

Formal case : Let $g = \sum_{i \geq 0} g^{(i)} \in \mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$ and $X = \sum_{i \geq d - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2)} X^{(i-d)}$ (with $g^{(i)}$

of degree i and $X^{(i-d)}$ of degree $i-d$) such that $g = X.f$. Note that $X^{(d-\omega_1-\omega_2)} = 0$.

If we put

$$Y = X + \sum_{i \neq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2} \frac{\text{div } X^{(i-d)}}{2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - i} W,$$

a direct computation gives $Y.f - (\text{div } Y)f = X.f = g$.

Analytical case : If X is analytic at $(0,0)$, then $\text{div } X$ is analytic too and since $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - i} = 0$ the vector field defined above is also analytic in $(0,0)$.

\mathcal{C}^∞ case : Let us denote by $\tilde{g} = j_0^\infty(g)$ and $\tilde{X} = j_0^\infty(X)$.

If we write the ∞ -jets in the relation $g = X.f$, we get $\tilde{g} = \tilde{X}.f$. Thus, there exists a formal vector field \tilde{Y} such that

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{Y}.f - (\operatorname{div} \tilde{Y})f.$$

Let Y be a \mathcal{C}^∞ vector field such that $\tilde{Y} = j_0^\infty(Y)$. Since $Y.f - (\operatorname{div} Y)f$ and g have the same ∞ -jet, this vector field satisfies

$$Y.f - (\operatorname{div} Y)f = g + \varepsilon$$

where ε is flat at 0.

Now, since $Y.f - (\operatorname{div} Y)f \in I_f$ (see the beginning of the proof), ε is in I_f so that $\varepsilon = P.f$ where P is a flat vector field. According to lemma 3.5, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $W.\alpha - (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)\alpha = -\operatorname{div} P$. Consequently, setting $Z = P + \alpha W$, we have $Z.f - (\operatorname{div} Z)f = \varepsilon$. ■

Remark 4.8. 1- This lemma is true even if f is not of finite codimension.

2- This lemma gives $B^2(f) \subset I_f$. Thus, there is a surjection from $H^2(f)$ onto Q_f . Therefore, if f is not of finite codimension, then $H^2(\Pi_0)$ is an infinite-dimensional vector space.

3- Finally, according to this lemma, if $\xi \in I_f$, then there exists a quasihomogeneous polynomial $\bar{\xi}$ of degree $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ such that $\xi + \bar{\xi} \in B^2(f)$.

If $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, $[g]_{\Pi_0}$ indicates its class modulo $B^2(f)$. Recall that $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a basis of the space of quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Finally, we denote by $\{u_1, \dots, u_c\}$ a monomial basis of $Q_f = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)/I_f$ (for the existence of such a basis, see [AGV]).

Theorem 4.9. *The family $\{[e_1.f]_{\Pi_0}, \dots, [e_r.f]_{\Pi_0}, [u_1]_{\Pi_0}, \dots, [u_c]_{\Pi_0}\}$ is a basis of $H^2(f)$. In particular, $H^2(\Pi_0)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension $r + c$.*

Proof :- This family generates $H^2(f)$:

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$. We can write $g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + \xi$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\xi \in I_f$. According to lemma 4.7 (and remark 4.8), we can write

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + \bar{g} \pmod{B^2(f)}$$

where \bar{g} is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

We can again write $\bar{g} = \sum_{i=1}^c \bar{\lambda}_i u_i \pmod{I_f}$ where $\bar{\lambda}_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Now, we know (see [AGV] p.200) that $\max\{\deg u_1, \dots, \deg u_c\} = 2d - 2\omega_1 - 2\omega_2$ which is strictly lower than $\deg \bar{g}$. So, \bar{g} is in I_f , i.e. $\bar{g} = X.f$ with X quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Therefore, $\bar{g} = (\operatorname{div} X)f + (X.f - (\operatorname{div} X)f)$ with $\operatorname{div} X$ quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

- This family is free: Let $g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i e_i$ and $g_2 = \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_j u_j$ with λ_i and μ_j in \mathbb{K} for any i and j . We assume that $g_1.f + g_2 \in B^2(f)$. Since $\max\{\deg u_1, \dots, \deg u_c\} < \deg(g_1.f)$, $g_1.f$ and g_2 are both in $B^2(f)$ (see remark 4.1).

On the one hand, we then have $g_2 \in I_f$ which is possible only if $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_c = 0$. On the other hand, since $g_1.f = X.f - (\operatorname{div} X)f$ for some quasihomogeneous vector field X of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, if Y denotes the vector field $\frac{g_1 + \operatorname{div} X}{d} W$ then $(X - Y).f = 0$. Therefore (lemma 4.2), $X = Y + \alpha H_f$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence

$X.f - (\text{div } X)f = 0$, which implies $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = 0$. ■

Computation of $H^2(\Pi)$:

Lemma 4.10. *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$. If the order of the ∞ -jet of g is larger than or equal to $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, then there exists a quasihomogeneous polynomial ε of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ such that $g = \varepsilon f \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}$.*

Proof : According to theorem 4.9, we can write

$$\frac{g}{1+h} = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + \varepsilon f + X.f - (\text{div } X)f$$

where the λ_i are in \mathbb{K} and ε is quasihomogeneous of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

But since $\text{ord}\left(j_0^\infty\left(\frac{g}{1+h}\right)\right) \geq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 > \max\{\deg u_1, \dots, \deg u_c\}$, if we write the ∞ -jets in the former relation, we see that the λ_i are zero and that the order of the ∞ -jet of X is higher than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Now,

$$X.(f + fh) - (\text{div } X)(f + fh) = g + f(X.h) - \varepsilon f(1 + h).$$

We put $\lambda = (d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)\left(1 + \frac{h}{1+h}\right)$. If $X.h - \varepsilon h = 0$, then the lemma is shown. Now, if we suppose that $X.h - \varepsilon h$ is not 0, the order of its ∞ -jet is strictly larger than $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, and so we can take $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$ such that $W.\alpha - \lambda\alpha = \frac{X.h - \varepsilon h}{1+h}$ (see the "Resolubility of equation (E)" in the former section).

If we put $Z = X + \alpha W$, we have $Z.(f + fh) - (\text{div } Z)(f + fh) + f\varepsilon = g$. ■

Theorem 4.11. *The family $\{[e_1 f]_\Pi, \dots, [e_r f]_\Pi, [u_1]_\Pi, \dots, [u_c]_\Pi\}$ is a basis of $H^2(f + fh)$. In particular, $H^2(f + fh) \simeq H^2(f)$ (the space $H^2(\Pi)$ is then of dimension $r + c$).*

Proof :

- This family generates $H^2(f + fh)$.

Given $g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}^2)$, we have $g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_{i,0} u_i + P_0 f + X_0.f - (\text{div } X_0)f$ (theorem 4.9) where P_0 is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$, $\lambda_{i,0} \in \mathbb{K}$ for any i and $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X_0)) \geq -\max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ (remember that $-\max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is the smallest degree for quasihomogeneous vector fields). Since

$$X_0.(f + fh) - (\text{div } X_0)(f + fh) = g - \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_{i,0} u_i - P_0 f + X_0.(fh) - (\text{div } X_0)(fh),$$

we can write

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_{i,0} u_i + P_0 f - (X_0.(fh) - (\text{div } X_0)(fh)) \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}.$$

Now, we have $X_0.(fh) - (\text{div } X_0)fh = -\sum \lambda_{i,1} u_i - P_1 f + X_1.f - (\text{div } X_1)f$ where $\lambda_{i,1}$ is in \mathbb{K} for any i , P_1 is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X_1)) \geq d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. So, in the same way,

$$X_0.(fh) - (\text{div } X_0)fh = -\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_{i,1} u_i - P_1 f - (X_1.(fh) - (\text{div } X_1)(fh)) \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}.$$

Hence

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^c (\lambda_{i,0} + \lambda_{i,1})u_i + (P_0 + P_1)f - (X_1.(fh) - (div X_1)(fh)) \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}.$$

In this way, we get

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^c (\lambda_{i,0} + \dots + \lambda_{i,k})u_i + (P_0 + \dots + P_k)f - (X_k.(fh) - (div X_k)(fh)) \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}$$

where k is the smallest integer such that $k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2) \geq 0$, P_j is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ for any j , $\lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{K}$ for any i and j and $\text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X_k)) \geq k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$.

But since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X_k.(fh) - (div X_k)fh)) &\geq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 + k(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &\geq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2, \end{aligned}$$

lemma 4.10 gives $X_k - (div X_k)f = Qf \pmod{B^2(f + fh)}$ for some quasihomogeneous polynomial Q of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

- This family is free. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be scalars and P a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i + Pf = X.(f + fh) - (div X)(f + fh) \quad (*)$$

with $X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{K}^2)$. Since fh is quasihomogeneous of degree $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ and the order of the ∞ -jet of X is larger than $-\max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(j_0^\infty(X.(fh) - (div X)(fh))) &\geq 2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \max(\omega_1, \omega_2) \\ &> 2(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2) = \max\{\text{deg}u_1, \dots, \text{deg}u_c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we write the ∞ -jets in the relation $(*)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i u_i \in B^2(f)$ and so $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_c = 0$ (theorem 4.9).

We obtain

$$Pf = X.(f + fh) - (div X)(f + fh) \quad (**),$$

where Pf is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $2d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Now, we can write $j_0^\infty(X) = \sum_{i \geq \delta} X^{(i)}$ ($X^{(i)}$ is quasihomogeneous of degree i). If $\delta < d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ then $X^{(\delta)} \in Z^1(\Pi_0)$ and so, $X^{(\delta)} = 0$ (cf proof of theorem 4.5).

In the same way, we can prove that $X^{(i)} = 0$ for any $i < d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$.

Consequently, if we write the ∞ -jets in the relation $(**)$, we get

$$Pf = X^{(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)}.f - div X^{(d - \omega_1 - \omega_2)}f$$

that is, $Pf \in B^2(f)$, which is possible only if $P = 0$ (cf proof of theorem 4.9). \blacksquare

4.3. Examples. We are going to make explicit the cohomology of some Poisson structures given in theorem 3.8.

The regular case : We suppose that $\Pi = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

In this case, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = d = 1$, and so $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2 < 0$. Moreover, $Q_x = \{0\}$.

Therefore, $H^1(\Pi) \simeq \mathbb{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $H^2(\Pi) = \{0\}$.

Morse's singularity (A_1): We suppose that $\Pi = (x^2 + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Here, we have $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1$ and $d = 2$. The only monomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ are the scalars. Moreover, $Q_{x^2+y^2} \simeq \mathbb{K}.1$. Then, we have

$$H^1(\Pi) \simeq \mathbb{K} \cdot (y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot (x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$$

$$\text{and } H^2(\Pi) \simeq \mathbb{K} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

The singularity D_{2p+1} ($p \geq 2$): We suppose that $\Pi = (x^2 y + y^{2p})(1+x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

In this case, we can see that $\omega_1 = 2p - 1$, $\omega_2 = 2$ and $d = 4p$. The only monomials of degree $d - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ are of type λx (with $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$). Moreover, the family $\{1, x, y, y^2, \dots, y^{2p}\}$ is a monomial basis of $Q_{x^2 y + y^{2p}}$.

Therefore,

the family $\left\{ [(1+x)((x^2 + 2py^{2p-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2xy \frac{\partial}{\partial y})], [(1+x)xW] \right\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\Pi)$ and the family

$\left\{ [x(x^2 y + y^{2p}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}], [\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}], [x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}], [y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}], [y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}], \dots, \dots, [y^{2p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y}] \right\}$ is a basis of $H^2(\Pi)$.

In particular, $H^1(\Pi)$ is of dimension 2 and $H^2(\Pi)$ of dimension $2p + 3$.

Remark 4.12. Our first approach to these problems was to use the spectral sequence associated to our complex, filtered by the valuation (with respect to the quasihomogeneous degree). But the method we present here gives better results.

REFERENCES

- [A] V.I. Arnold, *Poisson structures on the plane and other powers of volume forms*, J. Soviet. Math., 47 (1989), 2509-2516.
- [AGV] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps (volume 1)*, Monographs in Math. (82), Birkhäuser (1985).
- [Br] J.-L. Brylinski, *A differential complex for Poisson manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., 28 (1988), 93-114.
- [Co1] J.F. Conn, *Normal forms for analytic Poisson structures*, Annals of Math., 119 (1984), 576-601.
- [Co2] J.F. Conn, *Normal forms for smooth Poisson structures*, Annals of Math., 121 (1985), 565-593.
- [Cr] M. Crainic, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, Van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Preprint math.DG/0008064.
- [CW] A. Cannas da Silva, A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lectures, vol. 10, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1999.
- [dR] G. de Rham, *Sur la division de formes et de courants par une forme linéaire*, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954) 346-352.
- [ELW] S. Evens, J.H. Lu, A. Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class, and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 50 (1999), 417-436.
- [FT] P. Feng, B. Tsygan, *Hochschild and cyclic homology of quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys., 140 (1991), 481-521.
- [G] V.L. Ginzburg, *Equivariant Poisson cohomology and a spectral sequence associated with a moment map*, Internat. J. Math., 10 (1999), 977-1010.
- [GW] V.L. Ginzburg, A. Weinstein, *Lie-Poisson structures on some Poisson Lie groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1992), 445-453.

- [L] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Diff. Geom., 12 (1977), 253-300.
- [M] R. Moussu, *Sur l'existence d'intégrales premières pour un germe de forme de Pfaff*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 26 (2) (1976), 171-220.
- [Mo1] Ph. Monnier, *Une cohomologie associée à une fonction; applications aux cohomologies de Poisson et de Nambu-Poisson*, Thesis (2001), Université de Montpellier.
- [Mo2] Ph. Monnier, *Computations of Nambu-Poisson cohomologies*, to appear in Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., Preprint math.DG/0007103.
- [N] N. Nakanishi, *Poisson cohomology of plane quadratic Poisson structures*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 33 (1997), 73-89.
- [R] R. Roussarie, *Modèles locaux de champs de vecteurs et formes*, Astérisque (1975) vol. 30, Société de Math. de France.
- [V] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifold*, Progress in Math. (118), Birkhäuser (1994).
- [W] A. Weinstein, *The local structure of Poisson manifolds*, J. Diff. Geometry, 18 (1982) 523-557.
- [X] P. Xu, *Poisson cohomology of regular Poisson manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 42 (1992), 967-988.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, LISBON, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: pmonnier@math.ist.utl.pt