A SURVEY ON NON-AUTONOMOUS BASINS IN SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

ALBERTO ABBONDANDOLO, LEANDRO AROSIO, JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS, PIETRO MAJER, HAN PETERS, JASMIN RAISSY, LIZ VIVAS

ABSTRACT. Consider a holomorphic automorphism which acts hyperbolically on some invariant compact set. Then for every point in the compact set there exists a stable manifold, which is a complex manifold diffeomorphic to real Euclidean space. If the point is fixed, then the stable manifold is even biholomorphic to complex Euclidean space. In fact, it is known that the stable manifold of a generic point is biholomorphic to Euclidean space, and it has been conjectured that this holds for every point.

In this article we survey the history of this problem, addressing both known results and the techniques used to obtain those results. Moreover, we present a list of seemingly simpler open problems and prove several new results, all pointing towards a positive answer to the conjecture discussed above.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric, and let $f : X \to X$ be an automorphism which acts hyperbolically on some invariant compact subset $K \subset X$. Let $p \in K$ and write $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ for the stable manifold of f through p. $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ is a complex manifold, say of complex dimension m. In the special case where p is a fixed point it is known that $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ is biholomorphically equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m . It was conjectured by Bedford [5] that this equivalence holds for any $p \in K$.

Conjecture 1 (Bedford). The stable manifold $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ is always equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m .

The usual approach towards this problem is to translate it to the following stronger conjecture regarding non-autonomous basins. Let f_0, f_1, \ldots be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^m satisfying

(1)
$$C||z|| \le ||f_n(z)|| \le D||z||,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all z lying in the unit ball \mathbb{B} , and where the constants 1 > D > C > 0 are independent of n. Define the basin of attraction of the sequence (f_n) by

(2)
$$\Omega = \Omega_{(f_n)} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid f_n \circ \dots \circ f_0(z) \to 0 \}.$$

Conjecture 2. The basin Ω is always biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m .

Here we will present a survey on the history of the two conjectures mentioned above. We will raise a number of other, possibly weaker, open questions, and present several new results, all pointing towards a positive answer to the above conjectures.

In section 2 we give an overview of related known results, and in section 3 we present some of the techniques that were used to prove those results. In section 4 we mention a number of open problems, and in sections 4 and 5 we prove our new results.

The fifth author was supported by a SP3-People Marie Curie Actionsgrant in the project Complex Dynamics (FP7-PEOPLE-2009-RG, 248443).

2. A short history

Let f be an automorphism of a complex manifold X of dimension m, and let $p \in X$ be an attracting fixed point. Define the basin of attraction by

$$\Omega = \{ z \in X \mid f^n(z) \to p \}.$$

The following result was proved independently by Sternberg [17] and Rosay-Rudin [14].

Theorem 3 (Sternberg, Rosay-Rudin). The basin Ω is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m .

If p is not attracting but hyperbolic then if one considers the restriction of f to the stable manifold, the restriction is an automorphism of $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ with an attracting fixed point at p. Moreover, its basin of attraction is equal to the entire stable manifold, which is therefore equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m . This naturally raised Conjecture 1. Equivalence to \mathbb{C}^m of generic stable manifolds was proved by Jonsson and Varolin in [11].

Theorem 4 (Jonsson-Varolin). Let X, f and K be as in Conjecture 1. For a generic point $p \in K$ the stable manifold through p is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m .

Here generic refers to a subset of K which has full measure with respect to any invariant probability measure on K. In fact Jonsson and Varolin showed that Conjecture 1 holds for so-called Oseledec points. Results of Jonsson and Varolin were extended by Berteloot, Dupont and Molino in [7]. More recently the following was shown in [1].

Theorem 5 (Abate-Abbondandolo-Majer). The existence of Lyapunov exponents is enough to guarantee $\Sigma_f^s(p) \cong \mathbb{C}^m$.

Shortly after the positive result of Jonsson and Varolin, Fornæss proved the following negative result, which led many people to believe that Conjectures 1 and 2 must be false. Consider a sequence of maps $(f_n)_{n>0}$ given by

$$f_n: (z,w) \to (z^2 + a_n w, a_n z),$$

where $|a_0| < 1$ and $|a_{n+1}| \le |a_n|^2$.

Theorem 6 (Fornæss). The basin $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is not biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 . Indeed there exist a bounded pluri-subharmonic function on $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ which is not constant.

We note that this result does not give a counterexample to Conjecture 2, as the sequence (f_n) violates the condition

$$C||z|| \le ||f_n(z)|| \le D||z||$$

on any uniform neighborhood of the origin. In Theorem 6 the rate of contraction is not uniformly bounded by below. In fact, we will show (see Lemma 26 in section 4) that under the assumption of uniform contraction (Equation (1)) all bounded pluri-subharmonic functions are constant.

If the bounds C and D satisfy $D^2 < C$ then it was shown by Wold in [18] that the basin of attraction is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m . This result was generalized by Sabiini [15] to the following statement.

Theorem 7. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms which satisfies the conditions in Conjecture 2, and suppose that $D^k < C$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose further that the maps f_n all have order of contact k. Then the basin of attraction $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m .

Here a map f has order of contact k if $f(z) = l(z) + O(||z||^k)$, where l(z) is linear.

More recently the following was shown in [2].

Theorem 8 (Abbondandolo-Majer). There exist a constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(m, k) > 0$ so that the following holds. For any sequence (f_n) with order of contact k, which satisfies the conditions in Conjecture 2 with

 $D^{k+\epsilon} < C,$

the basin $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m .

While this result only seems marginally stronger (indeed, ϵ -stronger), it is in fact a much deeper result. If $D^k < C$ one can ignore all but the linear terms, see Lemma 14 in the next section. But if $D^k \ge C$ then one has to deal with the terms of order k, which is a major difficulty. In fact, looking at more classical results in local complex dynamics, the major difficulty in describing the behavior near a fixed point usually lies in controlling the lowest order terms which are not trivial. Theorem 8 and Theorem 9 below may therefore be important steps towards a complete understanding of Conjecture 2.

Now that techniques have been found to deal with these terms of degree k, it is natural to ask whether the condition $D^{k+\epsilon} < C$ can be pushed to the condition $D^{k+1} < C$, since as long as $D^{k+1} < C$ is satisfied one can ignore terms of degree strictly greater than k. We will show here that indeed we can weaken the requirement to $D^{k+1} < C$, at least in 2 complex dimensions and under the additional assumption that the linear parts of all the maps f_n are diagonal. Whether diagonality is a serious extra assumption or merely simplifies the computations remains to be seen.

Theorem 9. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 which satisfies the conditions in Conjecture 2, and suppose that the maps f_n all have order of contact k. Assume further that the linear part of each map f_n is diagonal and that

$$D^{k+1} < C.$$

Then the basin of attraction $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Theorem 9 will be proved in section (5). The following result was proved in [13].

Theorem 10 (Peters-Wold). Let (f_j) be a sequence of automorphism of \mathbb{C}^m , each with an attracting fixed point at the origin. Then there exist a sequence of integers (n_j) so that the attracting basin of the sequence $(f_j^{n_j})$ is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m .

The idea that appeared in Theorem 10 will also be important in the proof of Theorem 9: if long stretches in the sequence (f_n) behave similarly, then the basin will be biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m . What is meant by similarly will be made clear in later sections.

The next result, from [12], will be important to us not so much for the statement itself but for the ideas used in the proof. See also the more technical Lemma 15, which will be discussed in the next section.

4

Theorem 11 (Peters). Let F be an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^m . Then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ so that for any sequence (f_n) of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^m which all fix the origin and satisfy $||f_n - F||_{\mathbb{B}} < \epsilon$ for all n, one has that $\Omega_{(f_n)} \cong \mathbb{C}^m$.

2.1. **Applications.** The results described above have been used to prove a number of results which are at first sight unrelated. The first example of such an application is of course the classical result of Fatou and Bieberbach which states that there exists a proper subdomain of \mathbb{C}^2 which is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 . Indeed, it is not hard to find an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 with an attracting fixed point, but whose basin of attraction is not equal to the entire \mathbb{C}^2 . Proper subdomains of \mathbb{C}^2 that are equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 are now called *Fatou-Bieberbach* domains.

It should be no surprise that for the construction of Fatou-Bieberbach domains with specific properties, it is useful to work with non-autonomous basins. Working with sequences of maps gives much more freedom than working with a single automorphism. Using Theorem 7 it is fairly easy to construct a sequence of automorphisms satisfying various global properties, while making sure that the attracting basin is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 . We give two examples of results that have been obtained in this way.

Theorem 12 (Wold). There exist a Fatou-Bieberbach domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ which is dense in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Theorem 13 (Peters-Wold). There exists a Fatou-Bieberbach domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^2 whose boundary has Hausdorff dimension 4, and even positive 4-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Here we note that Fatou-Bieberbach domains with Hausdorff dimension equal to to any $h \in (3, 4)$ were constructed by Wolf [20] using autonomous attracting basins. Hausdorff dimension 3 (and in fact C^{∞} -boundary) was obtained earlier by Stensønes in [16], who also used an iterative procedure involving a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 .

The last application we would like to mention is the Loewner partial differential equation. The link with non-autonomous attracting basins was made in [3], where Arosio used a construction due to Fornæss and Stensønes (see Theorem 18 below) to prove the existence of solutions to the Loewner PDE. See also [4] for the relationship between the Loewner PDE and non-autonomous attracting basins.

3. Tools and techniques

3.1. The autonomous case. Essentially the only available method for proving that a domain Ω is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m , is by actually constructing the biholomorphic map from Ω to \mathbb{C}^m . Let us first review how this is done for autonomous basins, before we look at how this proof can be adapted to the non-autonomous setting. We follow the proof in the appendix of [14], but see also the survey [6] written by Berteloot. Given an automorphism F of \mathbb{C}^m with an attracting fixed point at the origin, one can, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, find polynomial maps X_k of the form $X_k = \mathrm{Id} + h.o.t.$, biholomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, and a lower triangular polynomial map G so that

(3)
$$X_k \circ F = G \circ X_k + O(||z||^{k+1}).$$

Here a polynomial map $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_m)$ is called *lower triangular* if $G_i = \lambda_i z_i + H_i(z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Now consider the sequence of holomorphic maps

from Ω_F to \mathbb{C}^m given by

$$\Phi_n = G^{-n} \circ X_k \circ F^n.$$

If k is chosen sufficiently large then it follows from Equation (3) that the maps Φ_n converge, uniformly on compact subsets of Ω_F , to a biholomorphic map from Ω_F to \mathbb{C}^m . Important here is that the lower triangular polynomial maps in many ways behave as linear maps. For example, it follows immediately by induction on m that the degrees of the iterates G^n are uniformly bounded. One can also easily see that the origin is always equal to the whole set \mathbb{C}^m . As we will see below, the fact that F and G are conjugate as jets of sufficiently high degree implies that their basins are equivalent, and hence the basin of the map F is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m .

3.2. Non-autonomous Conjugation. In the non-autonomous setting it is very rare that a single change of coordinates simplifies the sequence of maps. Instead we use a sequence of coordinate changes.

Lemma 14. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms that satisfies the hypotheses of Conjecture 2, and suppose that there exist uniformly bounded sequences (g_n) and (h_n) , with $h_n = \text{Id} + h.o.t.$, such that the diagram

(4)
$$\mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \cdots$$
$$\downarrow_{h_{0}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{h_{1}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{h_{2}}$$
$$\mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{g_{0}} \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{g_{1}} \mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{g_{2}} \cdots$$

commutes as germs of order k. Then $\Omega_{(f_n)} \cong \Omega_{(g_n)}$.

If the maps (g_n) are all lower triangular polynomials then one still has that the basin of the sequence (g_n) is equal to \mathbb{C}^m . This simple fact was used in [12] to prove the following lemma, which for simplicity we state in the case m = 2.

Lemma 15. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms satisfying the conditions in Conjecture 2, and suppose that the linear part of each map f_n is of the form

$$(z,w)\mapsto (a_nz,b_nw+c_nz),$$

with $|b_n|^2 < \xi |a_n|$ for some uniform constant $\xi < 1$. Then we can find bounded sequences (g_n) and (h_n) as in Lemma 14. Moreover, the maps g_n can be chosen to be lower triangular polynomials, and hence $\Omega_{(f_n)} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$.

As was pointed out in [12], we can always find a non-autonomous change of coordinates so that the linear parts of the maps f_n all become lower triangular. Let us explain the technique in the 2-dimensional setting, where a matrix is lower diagonal if and only if [0, 1] is an eigen vector. Using QR-factorization we can find, for any vector v_0 , a sequence of unitary matrices (U_n) so that

$$U_{n+1} \cdot D(f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_0)(0)v_0 = \lambda_n \cdot [0,1],$$

with $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then if we defines $g_n = U_{n+1} \circ f_n \circ U_n^{-1}$, we obtains a new sequence (g_n) whose basin is equivalent (by the biholomorphic map U_0) to the basin of the sequence (f_n) . Notice that the linear parts of all the maps (g_n) are lower triangular. In this construction we are free to choose the initial tangent vector v_0 .

But while we may always assume that the linear parts are lower triangular, the condition $|b_n|^2 < \xi |a_n|$ in Lemma 15 is a strong assumption. In particular there is no reason to think that one can change coordinates to obtain a sequence of lower

triangular polynomial maps. Instead we could aim for obtaining lower triangular polynomials on sufficiently large time-intervals. Let us be more precise. Suppose that we have found unitary matrices $U_{0,0}, \ldots U_{0,p_1}$ so that the maps $g_n = U_{0,n+1} \circ f_n \circ U_{0,n}^{-1}$ are lower triangular polynomials for $n = 0, \ldots p_1$. Suppose further that we can find a strictly increasing sequence p_2, p_3, \ldots and for each j unitary matrices $U_{j,p_j}, \ldots U_{j,p_{j+1}}$ so that the maps $g_n = U_{j,n+1} \circ f_n \circ U_{j,n}^{-1}$ are lower triangular. Then the basin of the sequence (f_n) is equal to the basin of the sequence

(5)
$$U_{0,0}, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{p_1-1}, U_{0,p_1}^{-1}, U_{1,p_1}, g_{p_1}, \dots$$

In the spirit of Theorem 10 one would expect that the basin of this new sequence is equal to \mathbb{C}^2 as long as the sequence (p_j) is sparse enough. Indeed this is the case, as follows from the following Lemma, proved by Abbondandolo and Majer in [2].

Lemma 16. Suppose that the maps g_n in the sequence given in Equation (5) are lower triangular polynomials of degree k, and that

(6)
$$\sum \frac{p_{j+1} - p_j}{k^j} = +\infty.$$

Then the basin of the sequence in Equation 5 is equal to \mathbb{C}^2

The proof of Theorem 8 from [2] can now be sketched as follows. Define $p_{j+1} = k^j + p_j$, and on each interval find a tangent vector v_j which is contracted most rapidly by the maps Df_{p_{j+1},p_j} . Next find the non-autonomous change of coordinates by unitary matrices so that the maps g_n as defined above all have lower triangular linear part. Then on each interval $I_j = [p_j, p_{j+1}]$ the maps g_n satify the conditions of Lemma 15 "on average". This is enough to find another non-autonomous change of coordinates after which the maps g_n are lower triangular polynomial maps on each interval I_j . Then it follows from Lemma 16 that the basin of the sequence (f_n) is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Now we arrive at one of the main points presented in this article. In the argument of Abbondandolo and Majer the intervals $[p_j, p_{j+1}]$ were chosen without taking the maps (f_n) into consideration, it was sufficient to make a simple choice so that Equation (6) is satisfied. In the last section of this article we will show that we can obtain stronger results if we instead let the intervals $[p_j, p_{j+1}]$ depend on the maps (f_n) , or to be more precise, on the linear parts of the maps (f_n) .

3.3. Abstract Basins. Let us discuss a construction due to Fornæss and Stensønes [10]. Let (f_n) now be a sequence of biholomorphic maps from the unit ball \mathbb{B} into \mathbb{B} , satisfying

$$C||z|| \le ||f_n(z)|| \le D||z||$$

for some uniform 1 > D > C > 0 as usual. We define the *abstract basin of attraction* of the sequence (f_n) as follows. Consider all sequences of the form

$$(x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots)$$
, with $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n)$ for all $n \ge k$.

We say that

$$(x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots) \sim (y_l, y_{l+1}, \ldots)$$

if there exists a $j \ge \max(k, l)$ such that $x_j = y_j$. This gives an equivalence relation \sim , and we define

$$\Omega_{(f_n)} = \{(x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots) \mid f_n(x_n) = x_{n+1}\} / \sim$$

We refer to $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ as the *abstract basin of attraction*, sometimes also called the *tail space*. We have now used the notation $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ for both abstract and non-autonomous basins, but thanks to the following lemma this will not cause any problems.

Lemma 17. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^m which satisfy the conditions in Conjecture 2. Then the basin of attraction of the sequence (f_n) is equivalent to the abstract basin of the sequence $(f_n|_{\mathbb{B}})$.

Hence from now on we allow ourselves to be careless and write $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ for both kinds of attracting basins. Abstract basins were used by Fornæss and Stensønes to prove the following.

Theorem 18 (Fornæss-Stensønes). Let f and p be as in Conjecture 1. Then $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ is equivalent to a domain in \mathbb{C}^m .

Remark 19. Working with abstract basins can be very convenient. For example, Lemma 14 also holds for abstract basins which, in conjunction with Lemma 17, implies that in Diagram 4 we do not need to worry about whether the maps h_n and g_n are globally defined automorphisms. From the fact that the sequences (g_n) and (h_n) are uniformly bounded it follows that their restrictions to some uniform neighborhood of the origin are biholomorphisms, which is all that is needed.

4. Open problems

In this section we consider a number of open problems which might be more accessible than Conjectures 1 and 2. As we noted in the previous section, essentially the only available method for proving that a manifold is equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m is by constructing an explicit biholomorphism. It would therefore be very useful to find general conditions which imply that a given domain is equivalent to Euclidean space.

Problem 20. Let Ω be an m-dimensional complex manifold. Describe conditions which are sufficient to conclude that $\Omega \cong \mathbb{C}^2$.

One possible example of such conditions is given in the following Conjecture of Yau [21].

Problem 21 (Yau's uniformization conjecture). A complete noncompact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^m .

The relation of Yau's Uniformization Conjecture to Conjectures 1 and 2 may be even stronger than it seems. For example, the concept of slowly varying maps, which were used by Jonsson and Varolin in [11] to prove Theorem 4, was also used by Chau and Tam to study Yau's Uniformization Conjecture, see for example [8].

Let us go back to non-autonomous basins of attraction. We present several seemingly simpler situations in which it is not known whether the basin is equivalent to Euclidean space.

Problem 22. Let F and G be automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^m , both having an attracting fixed point in the origin. Let (f_n) be a sequence in which each map f_n is equal to either F or G. Is $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ equivalent to \mathbb{C}^m ?

The above problem is open even when F and G are explicit and quite simple maps, such as

$$F(z,w) = (\frac{1}{2}z + w^2, \frac{1}{9}w), \text{ and } G(z,w) = (\frac{1}{9}z, \frac{1}{2}w + z^2).$$

Notice that $(\frac{1}{2})^3 > \frac{1}{9}$, hence Theorem 9 does not apply. As we noted before, it is not clear whether requiring that the maps f_n have diagonal linear part is a significant simplification. In the case $D^{k+1} < C$ discussed in the last section the diagonality assumption significantly reduces the complexity of our computations. It would therefore be worthwhile to study the general problem under the same assumption.

Problem 23. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 satisfying the conditions of Conjecture 2, and suppose that the maps (f_n) all have diagonal linear part. Does it follow that $\Omega_{(f_n)} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$?

Instead of trying to prove that $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is biholomorphic to Euclidean space, one could take a step back and study other (and perhaps weaker) properties of the domains $\Omega_{(f_n)}$.

Problem 24. What properties must a non-autonomous basin $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ satisfy?

For example, we know that $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is an increasing union of balls, and that its Kobayashi metric vanishes identically. The following was proved by Wold in [18].

Theorem 25 (Wold). Every non-autonomous basin $\Omega_{(f_n)} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is Runge. Conversely, each Runge Fatou-Bieberbach domain can be written as the basin of a sequence of automorphisms.

We note that in [19] Wold proved that there exist Fatou-Bieberbach domains which are not Runge, and therefore cannot be written as a non-autonomous basin.

Let us recall the Short \mathbb{C}^2 -example that was constructed by Fornæss in [9]. This domain was shown not to be equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 by constructing a bounded non-constant plurisubharmonic function on Ω . We now prove the following.

Lemma 26. Let (f_n) as in Conjecture 2. Then there is no non-constant bounded pluri-subharmonic function on $\Omega_{(f_n)}$.

Proof. Assume that there does exist a bounded non-constant pluri-subharmonic function ρ on $\Omega_{(f_n)}$. Define

$$B_n = (f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_0)^{-1} \mathbb{B}.$$

We can assume that $\rho = -1$ on $B_0 = \mathbb{B}$, and $\sup \rho = 0$. Consider ρ restricted to B_n . We get a pluri-subharmonic function $\rho_n = \rho \circ (f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_0)^{-1}$ on the unit ball \mathbb{B} . Let

$$\sigma_n(z) = \sup\{\rho_n(w); \|w\| = \|z\|\}.$$

Then $\sigma_n(z) = -1$ if $||z|| < C^n$. Since σ_n is logarithmically convex we have that

$$\sigma_n(z) \le \frac{-1}{n \log C} \log |z|$$

on the unit ball. Next, fix k and assume that n > k. On B_k we have that $|f^n(z)| \le D^{n-k}$. Hence

$$\sigma_n(z) \le \frac{-1}{n \log C} (n-k) \log D,$$

and therefore also

8

$$\rho(z) \le \frac{-1}{n \log C} (n-k) \log D$$

on B_k . Since this is true for all n > k we have that

$$\rho(z) \le \frac{-\log D}{\log C} < 0$$

on B_k . Since this also holds for all k and $\Omega_{(f_n)} = \bigcup B_k$ we cannot have $\sup \rho = 0$.

Another natural question is the following.

Problem 27. Does $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ contain embedded or immersed complex lines? In particular, does there exist a holomorphic line through every point, and in every direction?

We note that in the Short \mathbb{C}^2 example of Theorem 6, the complex lines lying in Ω are severely restricted, since they must lie within level-sets of the pluri-subharmonic function. In particular one can show that for some points $p \in \Omega$ and most tangent vectors v at p, there exists no holomorphic map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \Omega$ with $\phi(0) = p$ and $\phi'(0) = v$. We will proceed to show that this is not the case in our setting, see Corollary 32 below.

In what follows we will write $\Delta(r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for the disk or radius r, and Δ for the unit disk.

Lemma 28. Fix constants R > 1, c < 1, r < 1. Then there exist $L > 0, \delta > 0$ so that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and all large enough N we have uniformly for any analytic function $g(z) = \sum a_n z^n : \Delta \to \Delta$ that

(7)
$$\left|\sum_{n \le LN} a_n z^n\right| \le R^N \qquad \text{if } |z| < 1 + \delta, \text{ and}$$
(8)
$$\left|\sum_{n \le LN} a_n z^n\right| \le a^N \epsilon \qquad \text{if } |z| \le n$$

(8)
$$\left|\sum_{n>LN} a_n z^n\right| \le c^N \epsilon$$
 if $|z| < r$

Proof. From the Cauchy Estimates we know that $|a_n| \leq 1$ for all n. Hence the estimates (7) and (8) follow if

(9)
$$\frac{(1+\delta)^{LN+1}-1}{\delta} < R^N, \text{ and}$$

(10)
$$\frac{r^{LN}}{1-r} < c^N \epsilon.$$

Next choose first L so that $r^L < c$ and then choose δ so that $(1+\delta)^L < R$. Then both (9) and (10) hold for all large enough N independent of g.

We consider the basin $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ of the sequence of automorphisms (f_j) with $C||z|| \le ||f_j(z)|| \le D||z||$ on the unit ball, 0 < C < D < 1. Without lack of generality we can assume that for every z, w in the unit ball, $||f_j(z) - f_j(w)|| \ge C||z - w||$. For the rest of this section we will write f^n for the composition of the first n maps, i.e.

$$f^n = f_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_0.$$

Similarly we write f^{-n} for the inverse of f^n .

Lemma 29. Let $\eta < 1/2$. Suppose that $z \in f_j(\mathbb{B}(0, 1/2))$ and suppose that $||w-z|| < \eta C$. Then $||f_j^{-1}(w) - f_j^{-1}(z)|| < \eta$. In particular, $f_j^{-1}(w) \in \mathbb{B}(0, 1)$.

Proof. The statement follows from the open mapping theorem, since the distance from $f_j(\partial \mathbb{B}(f_j^{-1}(z), \eta))$ to z is at least ηC .

Lemma 30. Let $\eta < 1/2$. Suppose that $z \in f^N(\mathbb{B}(0, 1/2))$ and suppose that $||w - z|| < \eta C^N$. Then $||(f^N)^{-1}(w) - (f^N)^{-1}(z)|| < \eta$. In particular, $(f^N)^{-1}(w) \in \mathbb{B}(0, 1)$.

Proof. The statement follows from applying the previous lemma N times.

Lemma 31. Let r < 1. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all holomorphic maps $F: \overline{\Delta} \to \Omega$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a holomorphic map $G: \Delta(0, 1+\delta) \to \Omega$ such that $|F - G| < \epsilon$ for |z| < r and $G - F = \mathcal{O}(z^2)$.

Proof. We will use Lemma 28 with the choices $R = \frac{1}{D}$ and $c = \frac{C}{D}$. This will determine values L and δ . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Assume now that $F : \overline{\Delta} \to \Omega$. Fix any n_0 so that $f^{n_0} \circ F(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{B}(1/2)$. It suffices to find a G' which approximates $f^{n_0} \circ F$, because then we can set $G = f^{-n_0} \circ G'$. Hence we will assume that $F(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{B}(1/2)$.

Next write $f^N \circ F = (g_N, h_N)$. Then the functions $g'_N = \frac{2}{b^N}g_N, h'_N = \frac{2}{b^N}h_N$ are maps of the unit disc into the unit disc. Let \hat{g}'_N, \hat{h}'_N denote the sum of the terms up to degree LN of the respective series for g'_N, h'_N and also $\tilde{g}'_N, \tilde{h}'_N$ the respective remainders. We use similar notation for g_N, h_N . Then for all large enough N we have that $|\hat{g}'_N|, |\hat{h}'_N| < \frac{1}{D^N}$ if $|z| < 1 + \delta$ and $|\tilde{g}'_N| < c^N \epsilon$ and $|\tilde{h}'_N| < c^N \epsilon$ if |z| < r. Hence $D^N \hat{g}'_N(z)$ and $D^N \hat{h}'_N(z)$ lie in the unit disc if $|z| < 1 + \delta$. Therefore $\hat{g}_N := \frac{D^N}{2} \hat{g}'_N$ and $\hat{h}_N := \frac{D^N}{2} \hat{h}'_N$ map $\Delta(1 + \delta)$ holomorphically into $\Delta(1/2)$. It follows that

$$f^{-N}(\hat{g}_N, \hat{h}_N)(\Delta(1+\delta)) \subset \Omega.$$

It remains to show that $f^{-N} \circ (\hat{g}_N, \hat{h}_N)$ approximates F well on $\Delta(0, r)$. Notice that $|\tilde{g}'_N|, |\tilde{h}'_N| < c^N \epsilon$ if |z| < r. Hence

$$||(g'_N, h'_N) - (\hat{g}'_N, \hat{h}'_N)|| < 2c^N \epsilon$$

on |z| < r. Therefore

10

$$||(g_N, h_N) - (\frac{D^N}{2}\hat{g}'_N, \frac{D^N}{2}\hat{h}'_N)|| < D^N c^N \epsilon$$

on |z| < r. Hence

$$\|f^N \circ F - (\hat{g}_N, \hat{h}_N)\| < D^N c^N \epsilon$$

on |z| < r. Hence it follows from Lemma 30 that

$$\|F - f^{-N}(\hat{g}_N, \hat{h}_N)\| < \frac{D^N c^N}{C^N} \epsilon = \epsilon$$

on |z| < r.

After inductive use of this lemma we obtain the following.

Corollary 32. Let $p \in \Omega_{(f_n)}$ and $v \in T_p(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Then there exists a holomorphic map $\psi : \mathbb{C} \to \Omega_{(f_n)}$ with $\psi(0) = p$ and $\psi'(p) = v$.

Applying the arguments in Lemmas 28 and 31 to maps of balls, we can even get the following.

Corollary 33. For any point $p \in \Omega_{(f_n)}$ there exists a holomorphic map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \Omega_{(f_n)}$, biholomorphic in a neighborhood of the the origin, such that $\Phi(0) = p$.

As a consequence we have obtained another proof of the following.

Corollary 34. There are no bounded nonconstant pluri-subharmonic functions on $\Omega_{(f_n)}$.

Proof. Suppose that there does exist a bounded, non-constant pluri-subharmonic function u. Then there exists a point $p \in \Omega_{(f_n)}$ such that u is non-constant in any neighborhood of p. Let Φ be as in Corollary 33, with $\Phi(0) = p$. Then $u \circ \Phi$ is a non-constant bounded pluri-subharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^2 , which is a contradiction. \Box

Recall that we have a natural exhaustion of $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ by relatively compact domains U_n , each biholomorphic to the unit ball, by writing

$$U_n := (f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_0)^{-1}(\mathbb{B}).$$

From the above proofs we see:

Corollary 35. For any given n the map Φ can be made to arbitrarily well approximate the biholomorphic map from the unit ball to U_n .

Problem 36. How can one improve the map Φ ?

(i) Can we construct Φ with everywhere non-vanishing Jacobian determinant?

- (ii) Can we make sure that Φ is injective?
- (iii) Can we make sure that $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^2) = \Omega_{(f_n)}$?

Note that a positive answer to (ii) and (iii) would give a positive answer to Conjecture 2. The same questions can be asked about holomorphic maps ψ from \mathbb{C} to $\Omega_{(f_n)}$:

Problem 37. What can we say about ψ ?

- (i) Can we construct ψ with constant rank 1?
- (ii) Can we make sure that ψ is injective?
- (iii) Can we make sure that ψ is proper?

To end this section we discuss two models for Conjecture 1. In both cases the setting may well be explicit enough to obtain more control over the stable manifolds.

Problem 38. Let $d \ge 2$ and let F be a holomorphic endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^3 of the form

$$F: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (z_1^d, G_{z_1}(z_2, z_3)).$$

Suppose that for each λ with $|\lambda| = 1$ the map G_{λ} is an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 with an attracting fixed point at the origin. Let $p = (p_1, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ with $|p_1| = 1$. Is the stable manifold $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 ?

In this problem the translation from the the stable manifold $\Sigma_f^s(p)$ to the nonautonomous basin $\Omega_{(q_n)}$, where

$$g_n = G_{p_1^{(d^n)}}$$

is very concrete, and we have a better knowledge of how the maps g_n vary with n. Can this knowledge be used to conclude anything about the non-autonomous basin of attraction? If so, it might be possible to prove Conjecture 1 without proving Conjecture 2.

Note that the skew product setting is not covered by Theorem 4 of Jonsson and Varolin, or Theorem 5 of Abate, Abbondalo and Majer. Consider for example the case where d = 2, and assume that the eigenvalues of $DG_{z_1}(0)$ vary with z_1 . Then we can find a periodic orbit in the z_1 -circle on which the eigenvalues are not equal to the eigenvalues at the fixed point $z_1 = 1$. Now consider a z_1 -orbit that remains very close to 1 for a long time, then in a small number of steps gets close to the periodic orbit and remains close to it for very long time, then returns close to 1

for an even longer time, and so on. We can conclude that there are no Lyapunov exponents.

Problem 39. Let $d \geq 2$ and let F be a holomorphic endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^3 of the form

$$F: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i\theta} z_1, G_{z_1}(z_2, z_3)),$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that for each λ with $|\lambda| = 1$ the map G_{λ} is an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 with an attracting fixed point at the origin. Let $p = (p_1, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ with $|p_1| = 1$. Is the stable manifold $\sum_{f}^{s}(p)$ equivalent to \mathbb{C}^2 ?

5. Proof of Theorem 9

Let us recall the statement of Theorem 9.

Theorem 9. Let (f_n) be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 which satisfies the conditions in Conjecture 2, and suppose that the maps f_n all have order of contact k. Assume further that the linear part of each map f_n is diagonal. Then if $D^{k+1} < C$ the basin of attraction $\Omega_{(f_n)}$ is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 .

The proof will be completed in three steps. In the first step time $[0, \infty)$ will be partitioned into intervals $I_j = [p_j, p_{j+1})$. We will refer to the intervals I_j as trains. On each train one of the coordinates will be contracted most rapidly by the derivatives $Df_n(0)$ on average, but not for each map separately. In the second step we will find a non-autonomous conjugation on each train by linear maps so that in each train the new maps all contract the same coordinate most rapidly. This means that we can apply Lemma 40 below on each train separately. In the third and final step we worry about what happens at times p_j where we switch from one train to the other. We refer to these three steps as respectively selecting, directing, and connecting the trains.

Having directed the trains it will be easy to construct the maps (g_n) and (h_n) on each of the trains using the following Lemma, a special case of Lemma 15.

Lemma 40. Suppose that each map of the sequence (f_n) has linear part of the form $(z, w) \mapsto (a_n z, b_n w)$, with $|b_n| \leq |a_n|$. Then for any $k \geq 2$ we can find bounded sequences (g_n) and (h_n) , with the maps g_n lower triangular, such that Diagram (4) commutes up to jets of order k.

5.1. Selecting the trains. Here we will describe how to select the intervals I_j , and discuss the basic properties of these trains. The trains will be defined recursively depending on the linear parts of the sequence (f_n) . As before we write $(z, w) \mapsto (a_n z, b_n w)$ for the linear part of the map f_n . We then define

(11)
$$f_{m,n} = f_{m-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_n,$$
$$a_{m,n} = a_{m-1} \cdots a_n, \text{ and } b_{m,n} = b_{m-1} \cdots b_n.$$

Then let

(12)
$$\sigma_{m,n} = \log \left| \frac{a_{m,n}}{b_{m,n}} \right|.$$

Our trains will depend only on the values of the function σ .

We let $\tilde{I}_1 = [0, l)$, where l is the smallest integer for which $\sigma_{l,0} \geq k$. We define the trains recursively as follows. Suppose that we have already defined $\tilde{I}_j = [p, q)$. We consider all intervals [r, s) satisfying $s \geq r \geq q$ and

(13)
$$(-1)^j \cdot \sigma_{s,r} \ge k^{j+1}.$$

We let I_{j+1} be that interval [r, s) for which s is minimal and $(-1)^j \sigma_{s,r}$ is maximal, and we define $I_j = [p, r)$.

The intervals I_j have some pleasant properties. First of all, from Equation (13) it is immediately clear that

$$\sum \frac{|I_j|}{k^j} = \infty,$$

Hence we will be able to apply Lemma 16. Let us write $I_j = [p_j, p_{j+1})$, and $\tilde{I}_j = [p_j, q_j)$. Then for $n \in \tilde{I}_j$ we have

(14)
$$(-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{n,p_j} \ge 0.$$

By our choice of \tilde{I}_j we also have

(15)
$$(-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{q_j,n} \ge 0$$
, and $k^j - \log(C) \ge (-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{q_j,p_j} \ge k^j$.

Furthermore, for $p_{j+1} \ge n \ge l \ge q_j$ we have

(16)
$$(-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{n,l} > -k^{j+1} \text{ and } (-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{p_{j+1},q_j} \ge 0.$$

From now on we can forget the precise construction of the sequence (I_j) and only work with the conditions in Equations (14), (15) and (16).

5.2. Directing the trains. We assume that the automorphisms f_0, f_1, \ldots satisfy the conditions of Theorem 9, and that we have constructed intervals (I_j) satisfying Equations (14), (15) and (16). Here we change coordinates with a sequence of linear maps l_n of the form

(17)
$$l_n(z,w) = (\theta_n z, \tau_n w).$$

We write $\tilde{f}_n = l_{n+1} \circ f_n \circ l_n^{-1}$ for the new maps, and we immediately see that \tilde{f}_n is of the form

(18)
$$f_n(z,w) = (\tilde{a}_n z, b_n w) + O(k),$$

where

(19)
$$\tilde{a}_n = \frac{\theta_{n+1}}{\theta_n} a_n, \text{ and} \\ \tilde{b}_n = \frac{\tau_{n+1}}{\tau_n} b_n.$$

Our goal is to define the maps (l_n) in such a way that on each interval I_j the maps \tilde{f}_n satisfy the property $\tilde{a}_n \geq \tilde{b}_n$ (if j is odd), and $\tilde{a}_n \leq \tilde{b}_n$ (if j is even). In order for the higher order terms of the maps \tilde{f}_n not to blow up we also require that

(20)
$$\theta_n^k \ge \tau_n, \text{ and } \theta_n \le \tau_n^k.$$

In order to simplify the notation we let j be odd, and write $\tilde{I}_j = [p,q)$ and $I_j = [p,r)$. The results are analogues for j even. We assume that $\theta_p \ge e^{\frac{k}{k^2-1}k^j}$

and $\tau_p \ge e^{\frac{1}{k^2-1}k^j}$ and that the conditions in (20) are satisfied for n=p. Then for $n+1\in I_j$ we recursively define

(21)
$$\theta_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \theta_n & \text{if } |a_n| \ge |b_n|, \text{ or} \\ \frac{|b_n|}{|a_n|} \theta_n & \text{if } |a_n| < |b_n|. \end{cases}$$

Similarly we define

(22)
$$\tau_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \tau_n & \text{if } |b_n| \ge |a_n|, \text{ or} \\ \min(\frac{|a_n|}{|b_n|}\tau_n, \theta_{n+1}^k) & \text{if } |b_n| < |a_n|. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 41. For $p \leq n \leq q$ we have

(23)
$$\tau_n^k \ge e^{k \cdot \sigma_{n,p}} \theta_n$$

Proof. Follows easily by induction on n.

Note that $\tau_n \leq \theta_n^k$ for all $n \in [p, r]$ follows immediately from the recursive definition of τ_{n+1} and the fact that θ_n can never decrease.

Lemma 42. For $p \leq n \leq r$ we have that $\theta_n \leq \tau_n^k$.

Proof. For $n \leq q$ this is guaranteed by the previous lemma. From q on it follows by induction on n that

(24)
$$\frac{\tau_n^k}{\theta_n} \ge e^{k^{j+1} + \sigma_{n,m}}$$

for all $q \leq m \leq n$ for which $\sigma_{n,m} \leq 0$. The statement in the Lemma now follows from (16).

Finally we need to check that θ_r and τ_r are large enough to satisfy the starting hypothesis for the next interval I_{j+1} .

Lemma 43. We have
$$\theta_r \ge e^{\frac{1}{k^2-1}k^{j+1}}$$
 and $\tau_p \ge e^{\frac{k}{k^2-1}k^{j+1}}$.

Proof. The estimate on θ_r is immediate since θ_n does not decrease with n. The estimate on τ_p follows from

(25)
$$\tau_p \ge \tau_q \ge e^{\sigma_{q,p}} \cdot e^{\frac{k}{k^2 - 1}k^j}.$$

Our conclusion is the following.

Theorem 44. Let (\tilde{f}_n) be the sequence defined by

(26)
$$\tilde{f}_n = l_{n+1} \circ f_n \circ l_n^{-1}$$

Then (\tilde{f}_n) is a bounded sequence of automorphisms, and $\Omega_{(\tilde{f}_n)} \cong \Omega_{(f_n)}$. Moreover

$$(-1)^{n+1}\log(\frac{|\tilde{a}_n|}{|\tilde{b}_n|}) \ge 0$$

for all $n \in I_j$.

14

Proof. The conditions on the coefficients of the linear parts of the maps f_n follows from the discussion earlier in this section. The fact that the sequence (\tilde{f}_n) is bounded follows from the facts that the linear parts stay bounded, and that the higher order terms grow by at most a uniform constant.

To see that the two basins of attraction are biholomorphically equivalent (with biholomorphism l_0), note that $\tilde{f}_{n,0} = l_{n+1} \circ f_{n,0} \circ l_0^{-1}$. Since the entries of the diagonal linear maps l_{n+1} are always strictly greater than 1, it follows that the basin of the sequence (\tilde{f}_n) is contained in the l_0 -image of the basin of the sequence (f_n) . The other direction follows from the fact that the coefficients of the maps l_n grow strictly smaller than the rate at which orbits are contracted to the origin by the sequence (f_n) .

5.3. Connecting the trains. The following result is a direct consequence of Lemma 15.

Theorem 45. Let (f_n) be a bounded sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 whose linear parts are of the form $(z, w) \mapsto (a_n z, b_n w)$ and whose order of contact is k. Suppose that $|a_n| \ge |b_n|$ for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ Then there exists bounded sequences (h_n) and (g_n) such that $g_n \circ h_n = h_{n+1} \circ f_n + O(k+1)$ for all n. Here the maps g_n can be chosen to be lower triangular maps, and the maps h_n can be chosen of the form $(z, w) \mapsto \mathrm{Id} + h.o.t.$.

In fact, even without the condition $|a_n| \ge |b_n|$ we can always change coordinates so that the maps f_n become of the form

(27)
$$f_n(z,w) = (a_n z + c_n w^k, b_n w + d_n z^k) + O(k+1).$$

Hence we may assume that our maps are all of this form. We outline the proof of Theorem 45. Our goal is to find sequences (g_n) and (h_n) so that the following diagram commutes up to degree k.

(28)
$$\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots$$
$$\downarrow_{h_0} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{h_1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{h_2} \\ \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{g_0} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{g_1} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{g_2} \cdots$$

Here h_n will be of the form

(29)
$$h_n: (z, w) \mapsto (z + \alpha_n w^k, w),$$

and g_n will be of the form

(30)
$$g_n: (z,w) \mapsto (a_n z, b_n w + \gamma_n z^k).$$

We need that

(31)
$$h_n = g_n^{-1} \circ h_{n+1} \circ f_n + O(k+1).$$

It is clear that given the map h_{n+1} we can always choose g_n so that h_n is of the form (29), and that the map g_n is unique. Hence we can view α_n as a function of α_{n+1} . In fact, this function is affine and given by

(32)
$$\alpha_n = \frac{b_n^k}{a_n} \alpha_{n+1} + \frac{c_n}{a_n}$$

or equivalently

(33)
$$\alpha_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{b_n^k} \alpha_n + \frac{c_n}{b_n^k}$$

Note that both coefficients of these affine maps are uniformly bounded from above in norm, and that $\left|\frac{a_n}{b_n^k}\right| \geq \frac{1}{D}$. It follows that there exist a unique bounded orbit for this sequence of affine maps. In other words, we can choose a sequence (h_n) whose *k*-th degree terms are uniformly bounded. It follows directly that the maps g_n are also uniformly bounded, which completes the proof.

More strongly we note that we have much more flexibility if we have a sequence of intervals (I_j) (with j odd) and we want to find sequences (h_n) and (g_n) on each I_j that are uniformly bounded over all j. In fact for each interval I_j we can start with any value for α_{r_j-1} and inductively define the maps h_n backwards. We merely need to choose uniformly bounded starting values α_{r_j-1} .

The entire story works just the same for the intervals I_j with j even. In this case we have that $|a_n| \leq |b_n|$, so we work with maps h_n and g_n of the form

(34)
$$h_n: (z, w) \mapsto (z, w + \beta_n z^k),$$

and

(35)
$$g_n: (z,w) \mapsto (a_n z + \delta_n w^k, b_n w).$$

The only matter to which we should pay attention is what happens where the different intervals are connected. Hence let us look at the following part of the commutative diagram

We consider the case where j is odd and j + 1 even, the other is similar. Imagine that we have constructed the maps h_n and g_n on the interval I_{j+1} . Then h_{r_j} is of the form

(37)
$$h_{r_i}: (z, w) \mapsto (z, w + \beta_{r_i} z^k)$$

As before we can find g_{r_j-1} so that the map h_{r_j-1} given by $g_{r_j-1}^{-1} \circ h_{r_j} \circ f_{r_j-1}$ is of the form

(38)
$$h_{r_i-1}: (z,w) \mapsto (z+\alpha_{r_i-1}w^k,w).$$

We note that while the map g_{r_j-1} does depend on the coefficient β_{r_j} , the coefficient α_{r_j-1} (and equivalently also the map h_{r_j-1}) only depends on the coefficients of f_{r_j-1} and not on those of h_{r_j} . Hence we can find uniformly bounded maps h_n on each of the intervals, and therefore also uniformly bounded maps g_n , which are lower triangular for n in each interval $[p_j, r_j)$ with j odd, and upper triangular when j is even. This completes the proof of Theorem 9.

References

- Abate, M, Abbondandolo, A, Majer, P., Stable manifolds for holomorphic automorphisms, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear), published online (2012).
- [2] Abbondandolo, A, Majer, P., Global stable manifolds in holomorphic dynamics under bunching conditions, Int Math Res Notices (to appear), published online (2013).
- [3] Arosio, L., Basins of attraction in Loewner equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 37, (2012), no. 2, 563–570.
- [4] Arosio, L., Bracci, F., Wold, E. F., Solving the Loewner PDE in complete hyperbolic starlike domains of C^N. Adv. Math. **242** (2013), 209–216.

- [5] Bedford, E., Open problem session of the Biholomorphic Mappings Meeting at the American Institute of Mathematics, Palo Alto, CA, July, (2000).
- [6] Berteloot, F., Méthodes de changement d'échelles en analyse complexe. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 15, (2006), no. 3, 427–483.
- [7] Berteloot, F., Dupont, C., Molino, L., Normalization of random families of holomorphic contractions and applications to dynamics, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), no. 6, 2137–2168.
- [8] Chau, A., Tam, L.F., On the complex structure of Kähler manifolds with nonnegative curvature, J. Differential Geometry 73, (2006), 491–530.
- [9] Fornaess, J.E., Short \mathbb{C}^k , Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Mathematical Society of Japan, (2003).
- [10] Fornaess, J.E., Stensønes, B., Stable manifolds of holomorphic hyperbolic maps, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 8, 749–758.
- [11] Jonsson, M., Varolin, D., Stable manifolds of holomorphic diffeomorphisms, Invent. Math. 149, (2002) 409–430.
- [12] Peters, H., Perturbed basins Math. Ann. 337 (2007), no. 1, 1–13.
- [13] Peters, H., Wold, E. F., Non-autonomous basins of attraction and their boundaries, J. Geom. Anal. 15, (2005), no. 1, 123–136.
- [14] Rosay, J.P., Rudin, W., Holomorphic maps from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), no. 1, 47–86.
- [15] Sabiini, G., Les suites de contractions holomorphes et domaines de Fatou-Bieberbach, PhD Thesis, Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier, 2010, available online at http://thesesups. ups-tlse.fr/804/1/Sabiini_Guitta.pdf.
- [16] Stensønes, B., Fatou-Bieberbach domains with C[∞]-smooth boundary, Ann. of Math. 145, (1997), 365–377.
- [17] Sternberg, S., Local contractions and a theorem of Poincaré, Amer. J. Math. 79, (1957), 809–824.
- [18] Wold, E. F., Fatou-Bieberbach domains, Internat. J. Math. 16 (2005), no. 10, 1119–1130.
- [19] Wold, E. F., A Fatou-Bieberbach domain in \mathbb{C}^2 which is not Runge, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 4, 775–780.
- [20] Wolf, C., Dimension of Julia sets of polynomial automorphisms of C², Mich. Math. J. 47, (2000), 585–600.
- [21] Yau, S.-T., A review of complex differential geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 52, (1991), no. 2, 619–625.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, GERMANY *E-mail address*: Alberto.Abbondandolo@rub.de

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, ITALY E-mail address: arosio@mat.uniroma2.it

Department for Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

E-mail address: john.fornass@math.ntnu.no

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, ITALY *E-mail address*: majer@dm.unipi.it

KdV Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands $E\text{-}mail \ address: h.peters@uva.nl$

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE TOULOUSE, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, FRANCE E-mail address: jraissy@math.univ-toulouse.fr

UNIVERSIDAD FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRASIL E-mail address: lizvivas@impa.br