

Chapter 3: linear regression

EDOUARD PAUWELS

Statistics and optimization in high dimensions
M2RI, Toulouse 3 Paul Sabatier

Generative model

$$Y_i = f^*(X_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)^T \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$ and $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$.

$f^*: x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[Y|X=x]$ of form $f^*: x \mapsto x^T \theta^*$ for an unknown $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Fixed design: the design points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are fixed and are given by the rows of $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. We have the identity in \mathbb{R}^n .

$$Y = \mathbb{X}\theta^* + \epsilon \tag{LM}$$

Measure of performance: the notion of risk is not meaningful here (no randomness on X), we use the mean squared error.

$$g: x \mapsto \theta^T x \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\text{MSE}(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(x_i) - f^*(x_i))^2$$

$$\text{MSE}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbb{X}(\theta - \theta^*)\|_2^2.$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{LS} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbb{X}\theta - \mathbf{Y}\|_2^2 \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma (3.2.1)

We have

$$\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X} \hat{\theta}^{LS} = \mathbb{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

and one solution is given by $\hat{\theta}^{LS} = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^\dagger \mathbb{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$, where \dagger denotes the Moore-Menrose pseudo inverse.

Let K denote a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^d , the K constrained least squares estimator is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_K^{LS} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in K} \|\mathbb{X}\theta - Y\|_2^2 \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma (3.3.1)

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be closed and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ denote any function. Assume that model (LM) holds and that $\theta^* \in K$, and set, assuming that the infimum is attained

$$\hat{\theta}_{Kg}^{LS} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in K} \|\mathbb{X}\theta - Y\|_2^2 + g(\theta).$$

Then, almost surely

$$\|\mathbb{X}(\hat{\theta}_{Kg}^{LS} - \theta^*)\|_2^2 \leq 2\epsilon^T \mathbb{X}(\hat{\theta}_K^{LS} - \theta^*) + g(\theta^*) - g(\hat{\theta}_{Kg}^{LS}).$$

Theorem (3.3.1)

Assume that (LM) holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{LS}) \right] \leq 16\sigma^2 \frac{r}{n}$$

where $r = \text{rank}(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})$, furthermore, for any $\delta > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{LS}) \leq \frac{64\sigma^2 (2r + \log(1/\delta))}{n}$$

If \mathbb{X} has full possible rank, then $r = \min(n, d) = d$ assuming $n \geq d$ and

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{LS}) = (\hat{\theta}^{LS} - \theta^*)^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} (\hat{\theta}^{LS} - \theta^*) \geq \lambda_{\min} \left(\frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \right) \|\hat{\theta}^{LS} - \theta^*\|_2^2.$$

Theorem (3.3.2)

Suppose that $Y = \xi + \theta$ where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2/n)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$:

$$\inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left(\|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\alpha}{256} \frac{\sigma^2 d}{n} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha$$

where the infimum is taken over all measurable functions of Y .

Reduction to finite hypothesis testing, information theoretic lower bounds, see chapter 4 of the lecture notes.

ℓ_1 constrained least squares

We let B_1 denote the unit ball of the ℓ_1 norm in \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$B_1 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This is a polytope with $2d$ vertices given by the elements of the canonical basis and their opposite.

Theorem (3.3.3)

Let $K = B_1$ and $d \geq 2$. Assume that model (LM) holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$ and $\theta^* \in K$. Assume also that the columns of \mathbb{X} are normalized such that $\|\mathbb{X}_j\| \leq \sqrt{n}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Then, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_K^{LS}) \right] \leq \frac{4\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2 \log(2d)}$$

and for any $\delta > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, it holds that

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_K^{LS}) \leq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{32 \log(2d/\delta)}{n}}.$$

ℓ_0 constrained least squares

ℓ_0 pseudonorm: cardinality of the set of non zero coordinates of a vector. A vector with small ℓ_0 norm is called sparse. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|\theta\|_0 = \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{I}(\theta_i \neq 0)$$

$$\text{supp}(\theta) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \theta_j \neq 0\},$$

$\|\theta\|_0 = \text{card}(\text{supp}(\theta))$ and for any $k = 1, \dots, d$, $B_0(k)$ denotes the set of k -sparse vectors.

Theorem (3.3.4)

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $k \leq d/2$, let $K = B_0(k)$ and assume that model (LM) holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$ and $\theta^* \in K$. Then, for any $\delta > 0$, with probability $1 - \delta$, it holds

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_K^{LS}) \leq \frac{32\sigma^2}{n} \left(2k \log \left(\frac{ed}{2k} \right) + 2k \log(6) + \log(1/\delta) \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_K^{LS}) \right] \leq \frac{32\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 + 2k \log \left(\frac{ed}{2k} \right) + 2k \log(6) \right)$$

Require the knowledge of properties of the unknown θ^* .

Sub-gaussian sequence model: $y = \theta^* + \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\xi \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2/n)$. For any $\delta > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\xi_i| \leq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2d/\delta)}{n}} = \tau.$$

If $|y_j| \gg \tau$ for some j , then it must correspond to $\theta_j^* \neq 0$. If $|y_j| \leq \tau$, then $|\theta_j^*| \leq |y_j| + |\xi_j| \leq 2\tau$ with high probability.

Hard-thresholding estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_j^{HT} = y_j \mathbb{I}(|y_j| \geq 2\tau), \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Conditioning on the event $\mathcal{A} = \{\max_i |\xi_i| \leq \tau\}$, we have for all j , $|y_j| \geq 2\tau \Rightarrow |\theta_j^*| \geq \tau$ and $|y_j| \leq 2\tau \Rightarrow |\theta_j^*| \leq 3\tau$ and

$$\|\hat{\theta}^{RT} - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \frac{32 \|\theta\|_0 \sigma^2 \log(2d/\delta)}{n}.$$

It turns out that

$$\hat{\theta}^{HT} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - \theta\|^2 + 4\tau^2 \|\theta\|_0.$$

Under model (LM), we set, for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\hat{\theta}^{\ell_0} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbb{X}\theta - Y\|^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_0$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{\ell_1} \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbb{X}\theta - Y\|^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_1$$

Theorem (3.4.1)

Assume that model (LM) holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$ then choosing $\lambda = 8 \log(6)\sigma^2/n + 16\sigma^2 \log(ed)/n$, we have for any $\delta > 0$ with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{\ell_0}) \leq \frac{32\sigma^2 (2\|\theta^*\|_0 (\log(6) + \log(ed)) + \log(1/\delta) + \log(2))}{n}$$

Theorem (3.4.2)

Assume that model (LM) holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$. Moreover assume that the columns of \mathbb{X} have norm at most \sqrt{n} . Then, for any $\delta > 0$, choosing

$\lambda = \sigma/\sqrt{n} \left(\sqrt{2 \log(2d)} + \sqrt{2 \log(1/\delta)} \right)$, we have for any $\delta > 0$ with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{\ell_1}) \leq \frac{4\|\theta^*\|_1\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sqrt{2 \log(2d)} + \sqrt{2 \log(1/\delta)} \right).$$

Definition (3.5.1)

A matrix $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is said to have incoherence $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, if

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} - I_d \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{32k},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the largest absolute value of a matrix.

Proposition (3.5.1)

Let $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be a random matrix which entries are independent Rademacher variables (± 1 with probability $1/2$). Then, for any $\delta > 0$, if $n \geq 2^{11} k^2 \log(1/\delta) + 2^{13} k^2 \log(d)$, with probability $1 - \delta$ over the random draw of its entries, \mathbb{A} has incoherence k .

For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $S \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\theta_S \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the vector which entries agree with those of θ on S the others being 0.

Lemma (3.5.1)

For any $k \leq d$ and \mathbb{X} having incoherence k , any S with $|S| \leq k$ and any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the cone condition: $\|\theta_{S^c}\|_1 \leq 3\|\theta_S\|_1$, we have $\|\theta\|_2^2 \leq 2 \frac{\|\mathbb{X}\theta\|_2^2}{n}$.

Theorem (3.5.1)

For $n \neq 2$, assume that model LM holds with $\epsilon \sim \text{subG}(\sigma^2)$. Assume that $\|\theta^*\|_0 \leq k$ and that \mathbb{X} has incoherence k . Then, for any $\delta > 0$, the Lasso estimator $\hat{\theta}^{\ell_1}$ with $\lambda = 8\sigma/n(\sqrt{\log(2d)} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta)})$ satisfies with probability $1 - \delta$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}^{\ell_1}) &\leq (2^{12}) \frac{k\sigma^2 \log(2d/\delta)}{n} \\ \|\hat{\theta}^{\ell_1} - \theta^*\|_2^2 &\leq (2^{13}) \frac{k\sigma^2 \log(2d/\delta)}{n}\end{aligned}$$

The signal to be recovered is $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ which is unknown and assumed to be sparse, that is $\|\theta^*\|_0 = k < d$. $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times d}$ is a sensing matrix which will result in the following measurements:

$$\mathbb{X}\theta^* = y \tag{3.10}$$

How many measurements are required so that θ^* can be inferred accurately only from the knowledge of y and \mathbb{X} ?

We introduce the estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_{CS}^{\ell_0} \in \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\theta\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{X}\theta = y. \quad (3.11)$$

under mild assumption on the sensing matrix \mathbb{X} , this estimator deterministically recovers the unknown signal θ^* .

Proposition (3.6.1)

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq d/2$, assume that $\|\theta^\|_0 \leq k$, and assume that for any S , $|S| \leq 2k$, that \mathbb{X}_S has full column rank. Then, the solution of (3.11) is unique and is equal to θ^* .*

We introduce an estimator.

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{CS}}^{\ell_1} \in \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\theta\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{X}\theta = y. \quad (3.12)$$

Corollary (3.6.1)

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq d$, and $\delta > 0$, assume that \mathbb{X} is a Rademacher matrix with $n \geq 2^{11} k^2 \log(1/\delta) + 2^{13} k^2 \log(d)$. Assume furthermore that $\|\theta^\|_0 \leq k$ in (3.10). Then with probability $1 - \delta$ over the random draw of \mathbb{X} , the solution of (3.12) is unique and is equal to θ^* .*

Recap on complexity for linear regression

Least squares estimator	Mean squared error	Assumptions
Unconstrained/unpenalized	$\frac{\sigma^2 d}{n}$	Design full column rank
ℓ_1 constrained	$\frac{\sigma \log(d)}{\sqrt{n}}$	$\ \theta^*\ _1 \leq 1, \ \mathbb{X}_j\ _2 \leq \sqrt{n}$
ℓ_0 constrained	$\frac{\sigma^2 k \log(d)}{n}$	$\ \theta^*\ _0 \leq k$
ℓ_1 penalized	$\frac{\sigma \log(d)}{\sqrt{n}}$	$\ \mathbb{X}_j\ _2 \leq \sqrt{n}$
ℓ_0 penalized	$\frac{\sigma^2 \ \theta^*\ _0 \log(d)}{n}$	
ℓ_1 penalized	$\frac{\sigma^2 k \log(d)}{n}$	$\ \theta^*\ _0 \leq k, \mathbb{X}$ incoherence k

General conclusion:

- In high dimension, prior knowledge on θ^* is required to obtain meaningful bounds.
- For sparsity, ℓ_0 pseudo norm has more favorable statistical properties than ℓ_1 norm.
- Penalized estimators are adaptive to unknown properties of θ^* , contrasting with constrained estimators.