

OPTIMAL QUANTUM CLONING

Ion Nechita¹ · Clément Pellegrini² · Denis Rochette²

Duplication of quantum information

In quantum mechanics, the information is encoded in a **quantum state** ρ , a **positive & trace one** operator of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A quantum state is **pure** if it is a rank one projector. Quantum information is transmitted by a **quantum channel** Φ , a **completely positive & trace preserving** linear map between spaces of operators.

The duplication of a pure quantum state, or **quantum cloning**, is a protocol:

$$\rho \mapsto \rho^{\otimes N},$$

when one wants to obtain N copies of ρ .

The **no-cloning theorem** states that such a quantum channel exists only for families of perfectly distinguishable pure states, that is **mutually orthogonal** pure states.

This scenario can be relaxed by allowing the output $\Phi(\rho)$ to be **non product states**. In this case, only the partial traces $\Phi_i(\rho)$ are required to be **as close as possible** to the input ρ . The quality of the partial traces are measured in terms of the **quantum fidelity** F : for a pure state ρ , and any state σ ,

$$F(\rho, \sigma) = \text{Tr}[\rho \sigma].$$

The **average quantum cloning problem** is defined as the optimization problem on quantum channel Φ :

$$\sup_{\Phi} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \mathbb{E} [F(\rho, \Phi_i(\rho))],$$

where the expectation is taken on a family of pure states ρ , and $\alpha \in [0, 1]^N$.

Choi matrix

The **Choi matrix** C_{Φ} of any linear map Φ from \mathcal{M}_d to $\mathcal{M}_{d'}$ is defined by:

$$C_{\Phi} = (\text{id}_d \otimes \Phi) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| \right),$$

with the formula $\Phi(X) = \text{Tr}_d [C_{\Phi}(X^T \otimes I_{d'})]$.

$$\Phi(X) = \text{Tr}_d [C_{\Phi}(X^T \otimes I_{d'})]$$

A linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d'}$ is a quantum channel if and only if

- (1) $C_{\Phi} \geq 0$
- (2) $\text{Tr}_{d'} [C_{\Phi}] = I_d$.

Phase-covariant quantum cloning

The **phase-covariant quantum cloning** is the cloning of pure states of the form: $|\psi_{\theta}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j=1}^d e^{i\theta_j} |j\rangle$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathbb{E}_{\text{phase-co.}} [F(\rho, \Phi_i(\rho))] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr}(\rho \Phi_i(\rho))] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr}(\rho_{(i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} \Phi(\rho))] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr}(\rho_{(1)}^T \otimes \rho_{(i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} C_{\Phi})]. \end{aligned}$$

The expectation $\mathbb{E}[\rho^T \otimes \rho]$ on phase-covariant pure states is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\rho^T \otimes \rho] &= \frac{1}{d^2} \left(\sum_{ij=1}^d |ij\rangle\langle ij| + \sum_{ij=1}^d |ii\rangle\langle jj| - \sum_{i=1}^d |ii\rangle\langle ii| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{d^2} (I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega - X). \end{aligned}$$

The optimization problem is then upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathbb{E}_{\text{phase-co.}} [F(\rho, \Phi_i(\rho))] &= \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \text{Tr}[(I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega - X)_{(1i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} C_{\Phi}] \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Tr}[C_{\Phi}]}{d^2} \lambda_{\max} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot (I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega - X)_{(1i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d} \lambda_{\max} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot (I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega - X)_{(1i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ is the **largest eigenvalue**.

Symmetric quantum cloning of qubits [N = 2]

When $\alpha = (1, 1)$ we are in the case of **symmetric quantum cloning**. The largest eigenvalues can be found in the qubit case ($d = 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\max} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i \cdot (I_2^{\otimes 2} + \omega - X)_{(1i)} \otimes I_2 \right] &= 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \lambda_{\max} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i \cdot (I_2^{\otimes 2} + \omega)_{(1i)} \otimes I_2 \right] &= \frac{5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We find the well-known bounds on the fidelity of phase-covariant quantum cloning (PQC) and universal quantum cloning (UQC):

$$\text{(PQC)} \quad \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.85,$$

$$\text{(UQC)} \quad \frac{5}{6} \approx 0.83.$$

Universal quantum cloning

In the case of the **universal quantum cloning**, the expectation is taken on all the pure states ρ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathbb{E}_{\text{pure}} [F(\rho, \Phi_i(\rho))] = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \mathbb{E} [\text{Tr}(\rho_{(1)}^T \otimes \rho_{(i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} C_{\Phi})].$$

The expectation $\mathbb{E}[\rho^T \otimes \rho]$ on all pure states becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[\rho^T \otimes \rho] = \frac{1}{d(d+1)} (I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega).$$

Similarly the optimization problem is then upper bounded by

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathbb{E}_{\text{pure}} [F(\rho, \Phi_i(\rho))] \leq \frac{1}{d+1} \lambda_{\max} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot (I_d^{\otimes 2} + \omega)_{(1i)} \otimes I_d^{\otimes(N-1)} \right].$$

Expectations on families of pure states

The expectation taken on all the pure states ρ is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{pure}} [f(\rho)] = \int f(|x\rangle\langle x|) d\nu(x),$$

where ν is the **uniform measure** on the set of pure states. Equivalently we can write

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{pure}} [f(\rho)] = \int_{\mathcal{U}_d} f(U|+\rangle\langle +|U^*) dU,$$

where the integral is taken with respect to the normalized **Haar measure** on the unitary group \mathcal{U}_d , and $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle$. Similarly the expectation taken on phase-covariant pure states is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{phase-co.}} [f(\rho)] = \int_{\text{diag.}} f(U|+\rangle\langle +|U^*) dU,$$

where the integral is taken this time on diagonal unitaries.

The two previous formulas

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{pure}} [\rho^T \otimes \rho] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\text{phase-co.}} [\rho^T \otimes \rho]$$

can be found using **Weingarten calculus** and **integration over random diagonal unitary matrices** [I. Nechita, S. Singh].

1. Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Toulouse, UPS, France.

2. Institut de mathématiques, Université de Toulouse, UPS, France.

This research was supported by the ANR project **ESQuisses** (ANR-20-CE47-0014-01).