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#### Abstract

We consider an inhomogeneous version of the Barak-Erdős graph, i.e. a directed Erős-Rényi random graph on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with no loop. Given $f$ a Riemann-integrable non-negative function on $[0,1]^{2}$ and $\gamma>0$, we define $G(n, f, \gamma)$ as the random graph with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for each $i<j$ the directed edge $(i, j)$ is present with probability $p_{i, j}^{(n)}=\frac{f(i / n, j / n)}{n \gamma}$, independently of any other edge. We denote by $L_{n}$ the length of the shortest path between vertices 1 and $n$, and take interest in the asymptotic behaviour of $L_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.


## 1 Introduction

The Barak-Erdős graph is a random directed graph with no loop constructed in the following fashion. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in(0,1)$, the Barak-Erős graph $G(n, p)$ is a graph with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for each $i<j$, the edge ( $i, j$ ) from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$ is present with probability $p$, independently of any other directed edge. This graph is a directed acyclic version of the well-known Erdős-Rényi graph. It can be used to model community food webs in ecology [10], or the task graph for parallel processing in computer sciences [6].

In particular, the length (number of edges) of the longest (directed) path, denoted $M_{n}$, has been the subject of multiple studies, as $M_{n}+1$ is the number of steps needed to complete the task graph assuming maximal parallelization. Newman [9] proved that $\frac{M_{n}}{n}$ converges in law to a deterministic function $p \mapsto C(p)$. Increasingly precise bounds were obtained on this function and its generalizations by $[3,2,4,7,8,5]$.

In the present article, we take interest in the length $L_{n}$ of the shortest path between vertices 1 and $n$ in this graph, which has been much less studied. It is worth noting that for fixed value of $p$, one has

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(L_{n}=1\right)=p \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(L_{n}=2\right)=1-p
$$

[^0]as with probability $1-\left(1-p^{2}\right)^{n-2}$, there is a vertex $j \in\{2, \ldots n-1\}$ connect to both 1 and $n$, hence $L_{n}$ is equal to 1 or 2 with high probability. In particular, the length of the shortest path in dense graphs remains tight.

This fact is mentioned in [12], which takes interest in the asymptotic behaviour of $L_{n}^{(\gamma)}$, the length of the shortest path between vertices 1 and $n$ in a graph with connexion constant $p_{n}=n^{-\gamma}$, i.e. in the limit of sparse graphs. There, it is shown that for all $k \geq 2$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(L_{n}^{(\gamma)} \leq k\right)=0 \quad \text { if } 1-\frac{1}{k}<\gamma
$$

We extend this result in the present article by obtaining the convergence in distribution of $L_{n}^{(\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in(0,1)$.

We consider here the asymptotic behaviour of the length of the shortest path between 1 and $n$ in a time-inhomogeneous version of the Barak-Erdős graph, defined as follows. Let $f$ be a Riemann-integrable positive function on $[0,1]^{2}$ and $\gamma \in(0,1)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i<j$, we set $p_{i, j}^{(n)}=\frac{f(i / n, j / n)}{n^{\gamma}}$. The timeinhomogeneous sparse Barak-Erdős graph $G(n, f, \gamma)$ is defined as a graph with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for each $i<j$, the directed edge $(i, j)$ is present with probability $p_{i, j}^{(n)}$.

The main result of the article is the following estimate on the asymptotic behaviour of $L_{n}$ for $\gamma=1-\frac{1}{k}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a Riemann-integrable non-negative function on $[0,1]^{2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We fix $\gamma=1-\frac{1}{k}$ and we set

$$
c_{k}(f)=\int_{0<u_{1}<\ldots<u_{k-1}<1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f\left(u_{j}, u_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{d} u_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} u_{k-1} \in[0, \infty]
$$

with $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{1}=1$. Writing $L_{n}$ for the length of the shortest path in $G(n, f, \gamma)$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(L_{n}=k+1\right)=1-\mathbf{P}\left(L_{n}=k\right)=\exp \left(-c_{k}(f)\right)
$$

By coupling arguments, Theorem 1.1 can be extended to describe the convergence in distribution of $L_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any time-inhomogeneous Barak-Erdős graph.

Corollary 1.2. Let $f$ be a Riemann-integrable positive function on $[0,1]^{2}$ and $\gamma>0$. For $k \geq 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(L_{n} \leq k\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } k<\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \\ e^{-c_{k}(f)} & \text { if } k=\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that for $\gamma=1$, the Barak-Erdős graph becomes unconnected, so that $L_{n}=\infty$ with positive probability. In the present article, we do not treat the case $n p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ with $n^{1-\varepsilon} p_{n} \rightarrow 0$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. However, a phase transition should be observed for the asymptotic behaviour of $L_{n}$ when $p_{n} \approx \frac{\log n}{n}$, as the graph becomes disconnected.

### 1.1 Some examples and applications

A class of inhomogeneous Barak-Erdős graphs previously studied strongly inhomogeneous graph. In this class of graphs, the probability of presence of the edge $(i, j)$ is given by $\theta \frac{(j-i)^{\alpha}}{n^{\beta}}$, with $\theta>0, \beta>0$ and $\alpha \in(-1, \beta)$. This model can be constructed as an inhomogeneous Barak-Erdős graph, setting $f(x, y)=\theta(y-x)^{\alpha}$ and $\gamma=\beta-\alpha$. Applying Corollary 1.2, we observe that for any $k \geq 2$, if $1-\frac{1}{k-1}<\beta-\alpha<1-\frac{1}{k}$, we have $L_{n} \rightarrow k$ in probability. Additionally, if $\beta-\alpha=1-\frac{1}{k}$, we set

$$
c=\theta^{k} \int_{S_{k}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} t_{k-1}=\frac{\theta^{k} \Gamma(1+\alpha)^{k}}{\Gamma(k(1+\alpha))},
$$

where $S_{k}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{k}\right): t_{1}+\cdots+t_{k}=1\right\}$. We conclude by Theorem 1.1 that $L_{n}$ converges in distribution to $e^{-c} \delta_{k}+\left(1-e^{-c}\right) \delta_{k+1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark that using the coupling given in Proposition 2.3, for a similar model with $\alpha \leq-1$, we can obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n}=k \text { in probability if } \beta-\alpha=\left[1-\frac{1}{k-1}, 1-\frac{1}{k}\right) .
$$

This result is an extension of Tesemnikov's [12] estimates on the length of the shortest path in the inhomogeneous Barak-Erdős graph, setting $\beta=0$. Outside of the boundary cases, the convergence in probability of $L_{n}$ to $k \in \mathbb{N}$ can be obtained through first- and second-moment methods, using see Lemma 2.1. We handle the boundary cases by using the Chen-Stein method, showing in Lemma 2.2 that the law of the number of paths of length $k$ is close to a Poisson distribution for $n$ large enough.

An other example of interest in the case of Barak-Erdős graphs with exponential density of connexion. Setting $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma>0$, we consider a Barak-Erdős graph with $p_{i, j}^{(n)}=\frac{e^{\lambda(j-i) / n+\mu}}{n^{\gamma}}$. In this case, we have

$$
c_{k}(f)=\int_{0<u_{1}<\ldots<u_{k-1}<1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} e^{\lambda\left(u_{j+1}-u_{j}\right)+\mu} \mathrm{d} u_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} u_{k-1}=\frac{e^{\lambda+k \mu}}{k!}
$$

and Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 apply.

## 2 Proof of the main result

For each $k \leq n$, we denote by $\Gamma_{k}(n)=\left\{\rho \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}: \rho_{0}=1<\rho_{1}<\cdots<\right.$ $\left.\rho_{k-1}<\rho_{k}=n\right\}$ the set of increasing paths from 1 to $n$. As a first step towards estimating the length of the shortest in a time-inhomogeneous Barak-Erdős graph, we compute the mean number of paths of length $k$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\gamma>0$ and $f$ a Riemann-integrable non-negative function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $Z_{n}(k)$ for the number of paths of length $k$ between 1 and $n$ in $G(n, f, \gamma)$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right) \sim n^{(k-1)-k \gamma} c_{k}(f)
$$

Proof. By linearity of the expectation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right) & =\sum_{\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} p_{\rho_{j}, \rho_{j+1}}^{(n)} \\
& =n^{(k-1)-k \gamma} \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f\left(\frac{\rho_{j}}{n}, \frac{\rho_{j+1}}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} \sum_{\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f\left(\frac{\rho_{j}}{n}, \frac{\rho_{j+1}}{n}\right)=c_{k}(f)$, by Riemann integration, which completes the proof.

In particular, we remark that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the mean number of paths of length $k$ in $G(n, f, \gamma)$ converges to $c_{k}(f)$. Using this observation, we now prove that the number of paths of length $k$ converges to a Poisson variable.
Lemma 2.2. With the notation and assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{n}(k)=\mathcal{P}\left(c_{k}(f)\right) \quad \text { in distribution }
$$

Proof. We use the Chen-Stein method $[1,11]$ to prove the convergence in distribution of $Z_{n}(k)$. More precisely, we show that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} j \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right) \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j-1\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with a tightness argument (due to the fact that $\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)$ converges), it proves that $Z_{n}(k)$ converges in distribution to a Poisson variable with parameter $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)=c_{k}(f)$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j\right)=\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\rho \text { open }\}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{Z_{n}(k)=j\right\}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is said to be open if all edges $\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i+1}\right)$ are present in the graph. Moreover for all $\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j \mid \rho \text { open }\right)-\mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j-1\right) \mid \\
& \quad \leq \mathbf{P}(\text { exists a path of length } k \text { between } 1 \text { and } n \text { sharing an edge with } \rho) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, to construct a graph with same law as $G(n, f, \gamma)$ conditionally on $\rho$ being open, it is enough to add to the graph $G(n, f, \gamma)$ the edges $\left(\rho_{j}, \ldots \rho_{j+1}\right)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ if these are not already present. If opening these edges creates new paths, then these path would have to share at least one edge with $\rho$.

We remark that if there exists a path of length $k$ between 1 and $n$, there exists $0 \leq i<j \leq k$ and $2 \leq \ell<k$ such that there exists a path of length $\ell$ between $\rho_{i}$ and $\rho_{j}$ that does not intersect $\rho$. Writing $Y_{i, j, \ell}$ the number of such paths, with the same method as in Lemma 2.1, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{i, j, \ell}\right) & =\sum_{\rho_{i}<\bar{\rho}_{1}<\cdots<\bar{\rho}_{\ell-1}<\rho_{j}} \prod_{q=0}^{\ell-1} p_{\bar{\rho}_{q}, \bar{\rho}_{q+1}}^{(n)} \\
& \leq n^{-\gamma \ell} \sum_{\bar{\rho} \in \Gamma_{k}(\ell)} \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} f\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}_{q}}{n}, \frac{\bar{\rho}_{q+1}}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by union bound, we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j \mid \rho \text { open }\right)-\mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j-1\right)=0,
$$

which then yields by (2.2)

$$
\sum_{\rho \in \Gamma_{k}(n)} \mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j \text { and } \rho \text { open }\right)-\mathbf{P}\left(Z_{n}(k)=j-1\right) \mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)=o\left(\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)\right) .
$$

As $\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)$ is bounded, we obtain (2.1).
We remark that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)<\infty$, hence $\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)$ is tight. Consider any subsequence $\left(n_{j}\right)$ so that $Z_{n_{j}}(k)$ converges in distribution as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Writing $Y$ a random variable with this distribution, we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
j \mathbf{P}(Y=j)=c_{k}(f) \mathbf{P}(Y=j-1)
$$

using that $\mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n_{j}}(k)\right) \rightarrow c_{k}(f)$. Hence $\mathbf{P}(Y=j)=\frac{c_{k}(f)^{j}}{j!} \mathbf{P}(Y=0)$, with $\mathbf{P}(Y>n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that $Y$ is a $\mathcal{P}\left(c_{k}(f)\right)$ random variable.

As any converging subsequence of $\left(Z_{n}(k)\right)$ is converging to $\mathcal{P}\left(c_{k}(f)\right)$ in law, we conclude that $Z_{n}(k)$ converges to $\mathcal{P}\left(c_{k}(f)\right)$ in law as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Before turning to the corollary, we introduce the following coupling estimate, which loosely states that a more connected graph will have a shorter shortest path between 1 and $n$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G_{n}, \bar{G}_{n}$ be two inhomogeneous Barak-Erdös graphs such that an edge between $i$ and $j$ is present with probability $p_{i, j}^{(n)}$ and $\bar{p}_{i, j}^{(n)}$ respectively. If $p_{i, j}^{(n)} \leq \bar{p}_{i, j}^{(n)}$ for any $i$ and $j$, then there exists a coupling between $G_{n}$ and $\bar{G}_{n}$ such that $L_{n} \geq \bar{L}_{n}$.

Proof. We assume $\bar{G}_{n}$ to be constructed on some probability space. Take any existing edge $(i, j)$ of $\bar{G}_{n}$ and do the following procedure: chosen edge is stayed in graph with probability $p_{i, j}^{(n)} / \bar{p}_{i, j}^{(n)}$ and deleted with remained probability. This procedure creates a random graph distributed exactly as $G_{n}$ and is a subgraph of $\bar{G}_{n}$. Therefore, as no new edge was added, the length of the shortest path cannot have decrease.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We assume first that $k<\frac{1}{1-\gamma}$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{E}\left(Z_{n}(j)\right)=0
$$

therefore $\mathbf{P}\left(L_{n} \leq k\right) \rightarrow 0$ by Markov inequality.
The case $k=\frac{1}{1-\gamma}$ is covered by Theorem 1.1.
Finally, if $k>\frac{1}{1-\gamma}$, then for all $A>0$, the Barak-Erdős graph $G(n, f, \gamma)$ can be coupled with $G\left(n, A f, \frac{k-1}{k}\right)$ for $n$ large enough, using Proposition 2.3. Therefore

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(L_{n} \leq k\right) \geq 1-e^{-A^{k} c_{k}(f)}
$$

using Theorem 1.1 and that $c_{k}(A f)=A^{k} c_{k}(f)$. As $f$ is positive, $c_{k}(f)$ is positive, and letting $A \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that $\mathbf{P}\left(L_{n} \leq k\right) \rightarrow 1$.
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