

III.5) The F-KPP equation

III.5.1) The link between BBT and F-KPP equation

We write $f(s) = \mathbb{E}[s^L]$, $s \in [0, 1]$, for the generating function of L .

Theorem (McKean 1975): Assume $\mathbb{E}[L] < \infty$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a measurable function.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$, set $u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} g(X_r(t) + x) \right] \in [0, 1]$. Then:

• u is continuous on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and weakly continuous at $t=0$ (ie for

any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) u(t, x) dx \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) u(0, x) dx$),

• $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ exist on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$,

• u is solution to $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(u) - u & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = g(x) \end{cases}$

→ prove it!

Remark: If g is continuous, then u is continuous on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ (which is a more classical sense for a strong solution to the PDE above)

Remark: The F-KPP equation (introduced by Fisher 1937 and Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov 1937) is usually the one obtained by replacing u by $v = 1 - u$ which gives $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + (1-v) - f(1-v)$.

Example: Choosing the initial condition $g(x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \geq 0}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} \mathbb{1}_{X_r(t) + x \geq 0} \right] = \mathbb{P}(\forall r \in \mathcal{D}_t, X_r(t) \geq -x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\min_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} X_r(t) \geq -x) = \mathbb{P}(-\max_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} X_r(t) \geq -x) = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_t \leq x). \end{aligned}$$

has the same law as $-\max_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} X_r(t)$

So $u(t, \cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of Γ_t !

Understanding the asymptotic behavior of Γ_t or of $u(t, \cdot)$ is equivalent.

Before proving the theorem we need some facts on Brownian motion.

For $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable and bounded and $t \geq 0$, we set

$$(P_t h)(x) = \mathbb{E}_x [h(B_t)].$$

Exercise 1: For $s, t \geq 0$, prove that $P_{t+s} = P_t P_s$.

Hint: Markov property!

In other words, $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semi-group. It is called the semi-group associated to Brownian motion. We will use the following results.

Exercise 2:

1. Let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and bounded.

1.a. Prove that $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto (P_t h)(x)$ is continuous.

1.b. Prove that, for any $t > 0$, $P_t h$ is \mathcal{C}^2 , $\|(P_t h)'\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \|h\|_\infty$ and $\|(P_t h)''\|_\infty \leq 2 \frac{\|h\|_\infty}{t}$.

1.c. Assume now that h is differentiable with a bounded derivative.

Prove that, for any $t > 0$, $\|(P_t h)'\|_\infty \leq \|h'\|_\infty$ and $\|(P_t h)''\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \|h'\|_\infty$.

2. Prove that if $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{C}^2 with h, h', h'' bounded, then

$$\bullet \forall t > 0, \left\| \frac{P_t h - h}{t} \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2} \|h''\|_\infty$$

$$\bullet \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{P_t h - h}{t} \right)(x) = \frac{1}{2} h''(x).$$

Hint (elementary approach): Show $(P_t h - h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (h(x + \sqrt{t}z) - h(x)) \frac{e^{-z^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz$ and Taylor expand $h(x + \sqrt{t}z)$.

Hint (stochastic calculus approach): With Itô formula, show $P_t h(x) = h(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x [h''(B_s)] ds$.

3. Prove that, if $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and bounded, then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$t \mapsto (P_t h)(x)$ is differentiable at any $t > 0$ with derivative $\frac{1}{2} (P_t h)''(x)$.

Hint: Combine questions 1.b and 2 with Exercise 1.

Remark: The operator $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t - \text{Id}}{t}$, which is here $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$, is called the infinitesimal generator.

\triangle The precise definition of the infinitesimal generator includes the domain (more subtle!).

Proof: We use the "backward approach" seen at the beginning of the class.

Let $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t, x) &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \leq t} \prod_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{D}_t \\ v \geq i}} g(X_v(t) + x)\right]} + \underbrace{P(T_1 > t)}_{= e^{-t}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[g(B_t + x)]}_{=(P_t g)(x)} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \leq t} \prod_{i=1}^{L_1} \prod_{\substack{v \in \mathcal{D}_t \\ v \geq i}} g(X_v(t) - X_\phi(T_1) + x + X_\phi(T_1))\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \leq t} u(t - T_1, x + X_\phi(T_1))^{L_1}\right] \quad \text{by the branching property at time } T_1 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \leq t} f(u(t - T_1, x + Y_\phi(T_1)))\right] \quad \text{integrating first with respect to } L_1 \\
 &= \int_0^t e^{-s} \mathbb{E}[f(u(t-s, x + Y_\phi(s)))] ds \quad \text{integrating w.r.t. } T_1 \quad (Y_\phi \text{ is indep. of } T_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{so } u(t, x) &= \int_0^t e^{-s} P_s(f(u(t-s, \cdot)))(x) ds + e^{-t} (P_t g)(x) \\
 &\quad \downarrow s = t-r \\
 &= \int_0^t e^{r-t} P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x) dr + e^{-t} (P_t g)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now use this formula to deduce regularity of u and then differentiate it with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ to get the desired PDE:

- u is continuous on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$: it follows from Ex 2 Q1a. that $(t, x) \mapsto (P_t g)(x)$ and $(t, x) \in (r, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x)$ are continuous and the continuity of u follows by dominating $|P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x)| \leq 1$ in the integral.

- u is weakly continuous at $t=0$: Note that $u(0, x) = g(x)$ by definition.

Using again $|P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x)| \leq 1$, we get $u(t, x) = o(1) + e^{-t} \mathbb{E}[g(B_t + x)]$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in x . So, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx \stackrel{\circledast}{=} o(1) + e^{-t} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(B_t + x) \varphi(x) dx\right]$$

by Fubini, using that g, φ are bounded and φ has compact support $\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0}$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \varphi(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(0, y) \varphi(y) dy$ change of variable

by dominated convergence using $\varphi(B_t + y) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(y)$ by continuity of φ and dominating $|g(y) \varphi(B_t + y)| \leq \|g\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_\infty \mathbb{1}_{B_t + y \in [-\pi, \pi]}$ for π such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-\pi, \pi]$

• $x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto u(t, x)$ is differentiable for $t > 0$: $f(u(r, \cdot))$ is bounded by 1 so by Ex2Q1.b, $P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))$ is differentiable and $|[P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]'(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-r}}$.

This provides the domination to justify that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^t e^{-r} P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x) dr = \int_0^t e^{-r} [P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]'(x) dr.$$

and it is bounded by $\int_0^t e^{-r} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{t-r}} \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}} =: C$.

By Ex2Q1.b again, $P_t g$ is differentiable and its derivative is bounded by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$.

It follows that $u(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable with derivative bounded by $C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$.

• $x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto u(t, x)$ is twice differentiable for $t > 0$: We now know that $f(u(r, \cdot))$ is differentiable with derivative bounded by $E[L](C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}})$ (note that $f'(s) \leq E[L]$ for $s \in (0, 1)$).

So we can apply Ex2Q1.c. to get that $P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))$ is twice differentiable and $|[P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]''(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-r}} E[L](C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}})$ which is integrable in r .

Finally, applying Ex2Q1.b again to $P_t g$, we conclude that $u(t, \cdot)$ is twice differentiable and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = \int_0^t e^{-r} [P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]''(x) dr + e^{-t} (P_t g)''(x)$.

• $t > 0 \mapsto u(t, x)$ is differentiable for $x \in \mathbb{R}$: First note that

① By Ex2Q3, for any $r \geq 0$, $t \in (r, \infty) \mapsto P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x)$ is differentiable and its derivative at t equals $\frac{1}{2} [P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]''(x)$ which is bounded by $\frac{E[L]}{\sqrt{t-r}} (C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}})$. So letting $\varphi(t, r) = e^{-t} P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x)$, we have $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, r)| \leq 1 + \frac{E[L]}{\sqrt{t-r}} (C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}})$ for any $t > r$.

② φ is continuous on $\{(t, r) : t \geq r > 0\}$ because

$$P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))(x) = E[f(u(r, x + B_{t-r}))] \text{ and } u \text{ is continuous on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

These two facts are enough to conclude that $t > 0 \mapsto \int_0^t \varphi(t, r) dr$ is differentiable with derivative $\varphi(t, t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, r) dr$ (Exercise 3: show this.)

Moreover, by Ex2Q3, $t > 0 \mapsto (P_t g)(x)$ is differentiable with derivative $\frac{1}{2} (P_t g)''(x)$.

It follows that $u(\cdot, x)$ is differentiable and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) &= f(u(t, x)) + \int_0^t (-e^{-r-t} P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot))) (x) + e^{-r-t} \frac{1}{2} [P_{t-r}(f(u(r, \cdot)))]''(x)) dr \\ &\quad - e^{-t} (P_t g)(x) + e^{-t} \frac{1}{2} (P_t g)''(x) \\ &= f(u(t, x)) - u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Solution to exercise 3: For $\varepsilon, t > 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{t+\varepsilon} \varphi(t+\varepsilon, r) dr - \int_0^t \varphi(t, r) dr \right) = \underbrace{\int_0^t \frac{\varphi(t+\varepsilon, r) - \varphi(t, r)}{\varepsilon} dr}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \varphi(t+\varepsilon, r) dr}_{(II)}$$

For (I): By ①, $\frac{\varphi(t+\varepsilon, r) - \varphi(t, r)}{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, r)$ for any $r \in (0, t)$

and domination by $\sup_{s \in [t, t+\varepsilon]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \varphi(s, r) \right| \leq 1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[L]}{\sqrt{t-r}} \left(C + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \right)$ integrable

so (I) $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(t, r) dr$.

For (II): Changing variables, (II) = $\int_0^1 \varphi(t+\varepsilon, t+u\varepsilon) du$

and domination by 1, $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t, t)$ by ②

so (II) $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \varphi(t, t) du = \varphi(t, t)$.

Exercise 4: Assume $\mathbb{E}[L] < \infty$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable bounded function.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$, set $u(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{r \in \mathcal{D}_t} g(X_r(t+x)) \right]$.

1. Prove that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$,

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[L] \cdot \int_0^t e^{-r-t} P_{t-r}(u(r, \cdot))(x) dr + e^{-t} (P_t g)(x)$$

2. Prove that:

- u is continuous on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and weakly continuous at $t=0$

- $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ exist on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$,

- u is solution to $\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + mu \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{recall } m = \mathbb{E}[L] - 1) \\ u(0, x) &= g(x) \end{aligned} \right\}$

Hint: For the regularity properties, you do not need to do everything over again, note that one can apply the argument in the proof above to $f(s) = s$.