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- Stochastic Approximation
- Examples of SA: stochastic gradient and beyond

Stochastic Gradient is an example of SA, but SA encompasses broader scenarios (compressed stochastic gradient; Reinforcement Learning via TD learning; Computational Statistics via EM)

Understanding the behavior of these algorithms and designing improved algorithms require new insights that depart from the study of traditional SG algorithms.

- Non-asymptotic analysis
best strategy after $T$ iterations, complexity analysis
- Variance Reduction for SA

Improved SA schemes.

- Conclusion
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## Stochastic Approximation: is a root-finding method

Robbins and Monro (1951) Wolfowitz (1952), Kiefer and Wolfowitz (1952), Blum (1954), Dvoretzky (1956)

Problem:
Given a mean field $h: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, solve

$$
\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad h(\omega)=0
$$

Available: for all $\omega$, stochastic oracles of $h(\omega)$.

The Stochastic Approximation method:
Choose: a sequence of step sizes $\left\{\gamma_{k}\right\}_{k}$ and an initial value $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Repeat:

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)
$$

where $H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$ is a stochastic oracle of $h\left(\omega_{k}\right)$.

# Examples of SA: Stochastic Gradient and beyond 
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## Stochastic Gradient is a SA method

Find a root of $h: \quad \omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$ where $H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \approx h\left(\omega_{k}\right)$

SG is a root finding algorithm

- designed to solve $\quad \nabla R(\omega)=0$

SG is a SA algorithm

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}-\gamma_{k+1} \widehat{\nabla R\left(\omega_{k}\right)}
$$

see e.g. survey by Bottou (2003, 2010); Lan (2020). Non-convex case: Bottou et al (2018); Ghadimi and Lan (2013)
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## Empirical Risk Minimization for batch data

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\omega) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\omega, Z_{i}\right) \quad h(\omega)=-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{D}_{10} \ell\left(\omega, Z_{i}\right) \\
H\left(\omega, X_{k+1}\right) & =-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}} \sum_{i \in X_{k+1}} \mathrm{D}_{10} \ell\left(\omega, Z_{i}\right) \quad X_{k+1} \text { a random subset of }\{1, \ldots, n\}, \text { cardinal b. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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SG is a SA algorithm with goal: optimization

- for convex and non-convex optimization
- Key property: $\quad\langle\nabla R(\omega), h(\omega)\rangle=-\|\nabla R(\omega)\|^{2} \leq 0$


## SA beyond the gradient case

The "gradient case":

- the mean field $h$ is a gradient: $\quad h(\omega)=-\nabla R(\omega)$
- the oracle is unbiased: $\mathbb{E}[H(\omega, X)]=h(\omega)$

SA beyond the gradient case: two examples.

## Policy evaluation of a Markov Reward Process

by a Temporal Difference (TD) method with linear function approximation
A Markov Reward Process:

- State $s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{S})=n$.
- Markov process: transition matrix $P, \pi P=\pi$
- Reward $\mathrm{R}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$
$\mathrm{P}, \pi$ and R depend on the policy $\mu$
- Value function:

$$
\lambda \in(0,1)
$$



$$
\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad V_{\star}(s):=\sum_{t \geq 0} \lambda^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{R}\left(S_{t}, S_{t+1}\right) \mid S_{0}=s\right]
$$

- The value function evaluation is a root-finding problem

Bellman equation:
$\mathrm{B} V_{\star}-V_{\star}=0$

$$
\mathrm{B} V(s):=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{R}\left(S_{0}, S_{1}\right)+\lambda V\left(S_{1}\right) \mid S_{0}=s\right]
$$

Linear Function Approximation: $\quad V^{\omega} \in \operatorname{Span}\left(\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{d}\right)$

$$
\text { find } V^{\omega} \Leftrightarrow \text { find } \Phi \omega \Leftrightarrow \text { find } \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$
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- TD(0) with linear function approximation is SA Sutton (1987); Tsitsiklis and Van Roy (1997)
$\operatorname{TD}(0)$ is a SA with mean field $\quad h(\omega):=\Phi^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}(\pi)(\mathrm{B} \Phi \omega-\Phi \omega)$
Oracle:

$$
H\left(\omega,\left(S_{k}, S_{k+1}, R\left(S_{k}, S_{k+1}\right)\right)\right):=\left(\mathrm{R}\left(S_{k}, S_{k+1}\right)+\lambda[\Phi \omega]_{S_{k+1}}-[\Phi \omega]_{S_{k}}\right)\left(\Phi S_{S_{k}},:\right)^{\prime}
$$

## Stochastic Expectation-Maximization

$$
\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta}-\log \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x ; \theta) \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \quad p(x ; \theta)>0
$$

- EM is a root-finding algorithm
- EM is a Majorize-Minimization algorithm
- The majorizing function defined by $\int_{\mathcal{X}} S(x) \pi\left(x ; \theta_{k}\right) \nu(\mathrm{d} x)$
- Fixed points of EM: Delyon et al (1999)

$$
\theta_{\star}:=\mathrm{T}\left(s_{\star}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad s_{\star} \text { s.t. } \overline{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{~T}\left(s_{\star}\right)\right)-s_{\star}=0
$$


$\theta_{x+1}$
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When $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ intractable, the most popular/efficient Stochastic EM is SA

$$
\overline{\mathrm{S}}(\cdot):=\int_{\mathcal{X}} S(x) \pi(x ; \cdot) \nu(\mathrm{d} x) \quad \text { or (and) } \quad \overline{\mathrm{S}}(\cdot):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{i}(\cdot),
$$

Stochastic EM is a SA with mean field $\quad h(\omega):=\overline{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{T}(\omega))-\omega$
[U,B] Oracle for SAEM: $\quad H\left(\omega, X_{k+1}\right):=m^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} S\left(X_{k+1, \ell}\right)-\omega \quad X_{k+1, \cdot} \sim \operatorname{MCMC} \pi(\cdot ; \mathrm{T}(\omega))$
[U] Oracle for mini-batch EM: $\quad H\left(\omega, X_{k+1}\right):=\mathrm{b}^{-1} \sum_{i \in X_{k+1}} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{i}(\mathrm{~T}(\omega))-\omega$

## SA: why does it work?
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## Stochastic Approximation: the intuition

SA: $\quad \omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \quad$ with an oracle $H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \approx h\left(\omega_{k}\right)$
ODE with vector field $h$

- A function $t \in[0,+\infty) \mapsto \bar{w}_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ s.t.

$$
\bar{w}_{0}=\omega_{0}, \quad \frac{d \bar{w}_{t}}{d t}=h\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right)
$$

- A fixed point $\omega^{\star}$ is a root of $h$.
- Under assumptions (Lyapunov), $\lim _{t} \operatorname{dist}\left(\bar{w}_{t}, \mathcal{L}\right)=0$.

- $\{h=0\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.


## A Lyapunov function for $h$

- $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, continuously differentiable, and inf-compact.
- $t \mapsto V\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right)$ decreasing i.e. $\quad\left\langle\nabla V\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right), h\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0$
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- $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, continuously differentiable, and inf-compact.
- $t \mapsto V\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right)$ decreasing i.e. $\quad\left\langle\nabla V\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right), h\left(\bar{w}_{t}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0$

SA is an approximation ( $\times 2$ ): Euler and oracle

$$
u_{k+1}=u_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} h\left(u_{k}\right) \quad \omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)
$$

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)
$$



Lyapunov for the theory of SA

- Assume there exists a Lyapunov fct: smooth, inf-compact and

$$
\langle\nabla V(\omega), h(\omega)\rangle \leq 0
$$

## A Robbins-Siegmund type inequality

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \mid \text { past }_{k}\right] \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), h\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle+\gamma_{k+1} \rho_{k}
$$

$\rho_{k}$ depends on the conditional bias and conditional $L^{2}$-moment of the oracle.

- For the (a.s.) boundedness of the random path, and its convergence.


## Stochastic Approximation: the step sizes and the oracles

Algorithm: $\quad \omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \quad$ with an oracle $H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \approx h\left(\omega_{k}\right)$

- $\gamma_{k}>0$
- $\sum_{k} \gamma_{k}=+\infty$
- The oracles can be unbiased or biased

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \text { past }_{k}\right]=h\left(\omega_{k}\right) \\
& \mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \text { past }_{k}\right] \neq h\left(\omega_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\lim _{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_{k}\left(H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)-h\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right)$ exists (wp1)

$$
\text { unbiased case with bounded variance: } \sum_{k} \gamma_{k}^{2}<\infty
$$

- $\lim _{k} \gamma_{k}=0$


## Non-asymptotic analysis
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## Analyses

- Asymptotic convergence analysis, when the horizon tends to infinity

Benveniste et al (1987/2012), Benaïm (1999), Kushner and Yin (2003), Borkar (2009)

- almost-sure convergence of the sequence $\left\{\omega_{k}, k \geq 0\right\}$
- to (a connected component of) the set $\mathcal{L}:=\{\omega:\langle\nabla V(\omega), h(\omega)\rangle=0\}$
- CLT, ...
- Non-asymptotic analysis

Given a total number of iterations $T$

- After $T$ calls to an oracle, what can be obtained ?
$\epsilon$-approximate stationary point and sample complexity
- How many iterations to reach an $\epsilon$-approximate stationary point

$$
\forall \epsilon>0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{\bullet}\right)\right] \leq \epsilon
$$

## The assumptions

$\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$

Lyapunov function $V$ and control $W$
There exist $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0,+\infty), W: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and positive constants s.t.

- $V$ and $W$ :
- $V$ smooth
$\forall \omega, \omega^{\prime}\left\|\nabla V(\omega)-\nabla V\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq L_{V}\left\|\omega-\omega^{\prime}\right\|$

|  |  | $h(\omega)$ | $V(\omega)$ | $W(\omega)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gradient case |  | $-\nabla R(\omega)$ | $R(\omega)$ | $\\|h(\omega)\\|^{2}$ |
| and $R$ convex | $\omega_{\star}$ solution | $-\nabla R(\omega)$ | $0.5\left\\|\omega-\omega_{\star}\right\\|^{2}$ | $-\left\langle\omega-\omega_{\star}, h(\omega)\right\rangle$ |
| and $R$ strongly cvx | $\omega_{\star}$ solution | $-\nabla R(\omega)$ | $0.5\left\\|\omega-\omega_{\star}\right\\|^{2}$ | $W=V$ or, as above |
|  |  | $\bar{s}(\mathrm{~T}(\omega))-\omega$ | $F(\mathrm{~T}(\omega))$ | $\\|h(\omega)\\|^{2}$ |
| Stochastic EM |  | $\Phi^{\prime} D(\mathrm{~B} \Phi \omega-\Phi \omega)$ | $0.5\left\\|\omega-\omega_{\star}\right\\|^{2}$ | $\left(\omega-\omega_{\star}\right)^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime} D \Phi\left(\omega-\omega_{\star}\right)$ |
| TD $(0)$ | $\Phi \omega_{\star}$ solution | $\Phi^{\prime}(\omega)$ |  |  |

The assumptions
$\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)$

On the oracles and the mean field
There exist non-negative constants s.t.

- The mean field $\quad \forall \omega\|h(\omega)\|^{2} \leq c_{0}+c_{1} W(\omega)$
for all $k$, almost-surely,
- Bias

$$
\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]-h\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \tau_{0}+\tau_{1} W\left(\omega_{k}\right)
$$

- Variance

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] \leq \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2} W\left(\omega_{k}\right)
$$

- If biased oracles i.e. $\tau_{0}+\tau_{1}>0$,

$$
\sqrt{{ }^{c} V}\left(\sqrt{\tau_{0}} / 2+\sqrt{\tau_{1}}\right)<\rho, \quad \quad c_{V}:=\sup _{\omega} \frac{\|\nabla V(\omega)\|^{2}}{W(\omega)}<\infty .
$$

Includes cases:

- Biased oracles, unbiased oracles
- Bounded variance of the oracles, unbounded variance of the oracles


## A non-asymptotic convergence bound in expectation

Theorem 1, Dieuleveut-F.-Moulines-Wai (2023)
Assume also that $\gamma_{k} \in\left(0, \gamma_{\max }\right)$,

$$
\eta_{1} \geq \sigma_{1}^{2}+c_{1}>0
$$

$$
\gamma_{\max }:=\frac{2\left(\rho-\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)}{L_{V} \eta_{1}}
$$

Then, there exist non-negative constants s.t. for any $T \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{\gamma_{k} \mu_{k}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{k-1}\right)\right] \leq 2 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
&+L_{V} \eta_{0} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \gamma_{k}^{2}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
&+c_{V} \sqrt{\tau_{0}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \gamma_{k}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
& \mu_{\ell}=2\left(\rho-\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)-\gamma_{\ell} L_{V} \eta_{1}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\eta_{\ell}$ depends on the bias and variance of the oracles; $\eta_{0}>0$.
- For unbiased oracles: $\tau_{0}=\mathrm{b}_{1}=0$
- Better bounds when $V=W$; not discussed here


## Sketch of proof of the Theorem

A Lyapunov function $V$ with $L_{V}$-Lipschitz gradient

$$
V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \omega_{k+1}-\omega_{k}\right\rangle+\frac{L_{V}}{2}\left\|\omega_{k+1}-\omega_{k}\right\|^{2}
$$
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& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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The mean field $h$ and the bias term

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] & \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
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& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] & \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]-\mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Cond $L^{2}=$ Cond Var $+(\text { Cond Exp })^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] & \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]-\mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] \\
& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2}\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] & \leq V\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\gamma_{k+1} \quad\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\gamma_{k+1}\left\langle\nabla V\left(\omega_{k}\right), \quad \mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]-\mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]\right\|^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right] \\
& +\frac{L_{V}}{2} \gamma_{k+1}^{2}\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right]-\mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\mathrm{h}\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumptions: the drift term, the bias and variance of the oracles, and the mean field are controlled by $W$.
Apply the expectation.
There exist constants s.t. for any $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k+1}\right)\right] & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right]-\gamma_{k+1} \quad\left(\rho-\mathrm{b}_{1}-\gamma_{k} \frac{L_{V} \eta_{1}}{2}\right) \quad \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right] \\
& +\gamma_{k+1} \mathrm{~b}_{0}+\gamma_{k+1}^{2} \frac{L_{V} \eta_{0}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A drift term for $\gamma_{k}$ small enough. Sum from $k=0$ to $k=T-1$; conclude.

## A non-asymptotic convergence bound in expectation

Theorem 1, Dieuleveut-F.-Moulines-Wai (2023)
Assume also that $\gamma_{k} \in\left(0, \gamma_{\max }\right)$,

$$
\eta_{1} \geq \sigma_{1}^{2}+c_{1}>0
$$

$$
\gamma_{\max }:=\frac{2\left(\rho-\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)}{L_{V} \eta_{1}}
$$

Then, there exist non-negative constants s.t. for any $T \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{\gamma_{k} \mu_{k}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{k-1}\right)\right] \leq 2 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
&+L_{V} \eta_{0} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \gamma_{k}^{2}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
&+c_{V} \sqrt{\tau_{0}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \gamma_{k}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{T} \gamma_{\ell} \mu_{\ell}} \\
& \mu_{\ell}=2\left(\rho-\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)-\gamma_{\ell} L_{V} \eta_{1}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\eta_{\ell}$ depends on the bias and variance of the oracles; $\eta_{0}>0$.
- For unbiased oracles: $\tau_{0}=\mathrm{b}_{1}=0$
- Better bounds when $V=W$; not discussed here


## After $T$ iterations

- Reached with a constant step size

$$
\gamma_{k}=\gamma:=\frac{\gamma_{\max }}{2} \wedge \frac{\sqrt{2 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]}}{\sqrt{\eta_{0} L_{V}} \sqrt{T}}
$$

$$
\underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{k}\right)\right]}_{\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{\mathcal{R}_{T}}\right)\right]} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2 L_{V} \eta_{0}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]}}{\left(\rho-b_{1}\right) \sqrt{T}} \vee \frac{8 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]}{\gamma_{\max }\left(\rho-b_{1}\right) T}+c_{V} \frac{\sqrt{\tau_{0}}}{\rho-b_{1}}
$$

When $\tau_{0}=0$ i.e. unbiased oracles, or bias scaling with $W$

- Random stopping: return $\omega_{\mathcal{R}_{T}}$ where $\mathcal{R}_{T} \sim \mathcal{U}(\{0, \cdots, T-1\})$
- When $W$ is convex: return the Polyak-Ruppert-Juditsky averaged iterate $T^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \omega_{k}$
- Upper bound depending on $T: \propto 1 / \sqrt{T}$

For all $\epsilon>0$, let $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for all $T \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon), \quad \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{\mathcal{R}_{T}}\right)\right] \leq \epsilon$.

For unbiased oracles,

$$
\mathcal{T}(\epsilon)=\left[T_{\epsilon},+\infty\right) \text { with }
$$

$$
T_{\epsilon}:=8 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right] \frac{\eta_{0} L_{V}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \vee \frac{\eta_{1}}{2 \eta_{0} \epsilon}\right)
$$

- Low precision regime: $\epsilon>2 \eta_{0} / \eta_{1}$,

$$
T_{\epsilon}=4 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right] \frac{\eta_{1} L_{V}}{\rho^{2} \epsilon}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\gamma_{\max }}{2}
$$

- High precision regime: $\epsilon \in\left(0,2 \eta_{0} / \eta_{1}\right]$,

$$
T_{\epsilon}=8 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right] \frac{\eta_{0} L_{V}}{\rho^{2} \epsilon^{2}}, \quad \gamma=\frac{\rho \epsilon}{2 \eta_{0} L_{V}}
$$

EM $\quad h(\omega)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{i}(\mathrm{~T}(\omega))-\omega \quad$ where $\quad \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{i}(\tau):=\int_{\mathcal{X}} S_{i}(x) \pi(x ; \tau) \mathrm{d} x$
The SA-EM oracle

- Monte Carlo sum with m points,
- case Self-normalized Importance Sampling: biased oracles, with bias $\beta_{0} / m$ and variance $\beta_{1} / \mathrm{m}$.


## Complexity

For all $\epsilon>0$, let $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ s.t. for all $(T, m) \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\omega_{\mathcal{R}_{T}}\right)\right] \leq \epsilon .
$$

$$
T \geq \frac{16 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right]\left(1+\sigma_{1}^{2} / m\right)}{v_{\min }^{2} \kappa \epsilon} \vee \frac{32 \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right] \bar{\sigma}_{0}^{2} L_{V}}{m v_{\min }^{2} \kappa^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \quad m \geq \frac{4 c_{b}}{(1-\kappa) v_{\min } \epsilon}
$$

For high precision regime,

$$
T_{\epsilon}=\frac{C_{1}}{\epsilon}, \quad m_{\epsilon}=\frac{C_{2}}{\epsilon}, \quad \operatorname{cost}_{\mathrm{comp}}=T_{\epsilon}\left(n m_{\epsilon} \operatorname{cost}_{\mathrm{MC}}+\operatorname{cost}_{\mathrm{opt}}\right)
$$

Other rates for low precision regime.

## Variance Reduction within SA

## Stochastic Approximation <br> Examples of SA: Stochastic Gradient and beyond

SA: why does it work?

Non-asymptotic analysis

Variance Reduction within SA

## Conclusion

- Choose $U$ correlated with the natural oracle $H(\omega, X)$ s.t.

$$
\operatorname{Var}(H(\omega, X)+U)<\operatorname{Var}(H(\omega, X))
$$

- Bias

$$
\mathbb{E}[H(\omega, X)+U]=\mathbb{E}[H(\omega, X)] \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbb{E}[U]=0
$$

- Control variates classical in Monte Carlo; introduced in Stochastic Gradient; extended to SA

```
Survey on Variance Reduction in ML: Gower et al (2020)
Gradient case: Johnson and Zhang (2013), Defazio et al (2014), Nguyen et al (2017), Fang et al (2018), Wang et al (2018), Shang et al
(2020)
Riemannian non-convex optimization: Han and Gao (2022)
Mirror Descent: Luo et al (2022)
Stochastic EM: Chen et al (2018), Karimi et al (2019), Fort et al. (2020, 2021), Fort and Moulines (2021,2023)
```

Adapted from the gradient case: Stochastic Path-Integrated Differential EstimatoR
Nguyen et al (2017), Fang et al (2018), Wang et al (2019)

In the finite sum setting: $\quad h(\omega)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\omega) \quad$ and $n$ large

- At iteration $\#(k+1)$, a natural oracle for $h\left(\omega_{k}\right)$ is

$$
H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right):=\frac{1}{\mathbf{b}} \sum_{i \in X_{k+1}} h_{i}\left(\omega_{k}\right) \quad x_{k+1} \text { mini-batch from }\{1, \ldots, n\} \text {, of size b }
$$

- The SPIDER oracle is

$$
H_{k+1}^{\mathrm{sp}}:=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}} \sum_{i \in X_{k+1}} h_{i}\left(\omega_{k}\right)+\underbrace{H_{k}^{\mathrm{sp}}}_{\substack{\text { oracle } \\
\text { for } h\left(\omega_{k-1}\right)}}-\underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}} \sum_{i \in X_{k+1}} h_{i}\left(\omega_{k-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { oracle } \\
\text { for } h\left(\omega_{k-1}\right)
\end{array}}
$$

- Implementation: refresh the control variate every $K_{\text {in }}$ iterations


## Efficiency ... via plots (here)

Application: Stochastic EM with ctt step size, mixture of twelve Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}^{20}$; unknown weights, means and covariances.


Estimation of 20 parameters, one path of SA


Estimation of 20 parameters, one path of SPIDER-SA

Squared norm of the mean field $h$, after 20 and 40 epochs; for SA and three variance reduction methods



Application: Stochastic EM with ctt step size, mixture of two Gaussian in $\mathbb{R}$, unknown means.


For a fixed accuracy level, for different values of the problem size $n$, display the number of examples processed to reach the accuracy level (mean nbr over 50 indep runs).

## Conclusion


#### Abstract

Stochastic Approximation

Examples of SA: Stochastic Gradient and beyond


SA: why does it work?

Non-asymptotic analysis

Variance Reduction within SA

Conclusion

- SA methods with non-gradient mean field and/or biased oracles - in ML and compurational statistics.
- A non-asymptotic analysis for general Stochastic Approximation schemes, and variance reduction via control variates.
- Oracles, from Markovian examples
- Roots of $h=0$, on a $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- Federated SA: compression, control variateS, partial participation, heterogeneity, local iterations, ...


## Compressed Stochastic Gradient

Compression: when frugal algorithms are mandatory
Compression operator $\mathcal{C}$ :

- a mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{C}(x, U)$
- s.t. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the cost for storing/transmitting $\mathcal{C}(x, U)$ is lower than the cost for storing/transmitting $x$.
- examples: projection, quantization
- random or deterministic
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Compression: when frugal algorithms are mandatory
Compression operator $\mathcal{C}$ :

- a mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{C}(x, U)$
- s.t. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the cost for storing/transmitting $\mathcal{C}(x, U)$ is lower than the cost for storing/transmitting $x$.
- examples: projection, quantization
- random or deterministic


## Compression within a Stochastic Gradient step:

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} \mathcal{C}\left(H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right), U_{k+1}\right)
$$

increasing interest in distributed optimization

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\mathcal{C}\left(\omega_{k}, U_{k+1}\right), X_{k+1}\right)
$$

gradient at a perturbed iterate: Straight-Through Estimator

$$
\omega_{k+1}=\mathcal{C}\left(\omega_{k}+\gamma_{k+1} H\left(\omega_{k}, X_{k+1}\right), U_{k+1}\right)
$$

