

Duration : 1.5 hours. No documents, no calculator, no cell-phone.

In this exam, $S(t) = e^{it\Delta}$ will denote the Schrödinger group, i.e. $S(t)u_0$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Exercise 1. We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (1)$$

in dimension $d = 2$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we use the notation $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and the partial derivatives with respect to x_1 and x_2 are denoted by ∂_1 and ∂_2 . We define the *angular momentum* by

$$X(u) = \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) u dx.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the Schwartz space of functions $v : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ smooth and rapidly decaying.

1. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

(a) Express $\partial_1 (|v|^{p+1})$ in terms of $\partial_1 v$ and v (do not forget that v is complex valued !).

Solution: To avoid the possible singularities at 0, we write

$$|v|^{p+1} = (v\bar{v})^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

Differentiating using the usual rules, we get

$$\partial_1 (|v|^{p+1}) = \frac{p+1}{2} \partial_1 (v\bar{v}) (v\bar{v})^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \frac{p+1}{2} (\partial_1 v \bar{v} + v \partial_1 \bar{v}) |v|^{p-1} = (p+1) \text{Re} (|v|^{p-1} v \partial_1 \bar{v}).$$

(b) What is the value of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 \partial_1 (|v|^{p+1}) dx ?$$

Solution: Since $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can integrate by part without boundary terms and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 \partial_1 (|v|^{p+1}) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_1 x_2) |v|^{p+1} dx = 0.$$

(c) Show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \Delta v dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_1 v dx.$$

Solution: Expanding the Laplace operator, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \Delta v dx = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{11} v dx + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{22} v dx. \quad (2)$$

We treat each part in the second member separately, starting by the first one. Integrating by part in x_1 , we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{11} v dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_1 v dx - \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_{12} \bar{v} \partial_1 v dx.$$

Remark that

$$\partial_{12} \bar{v} \partial_1 v = \frac{1}{2} \partial_2 (|\partial_1 v|^2),$$

Therefore, after integrating by part in x_2 we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_{12} \bar{v} \partial_1 v dx = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 (|\partial_1 v|^2) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_2 x_1) |\partial_1 v|^2 dx = 0.$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{11} v dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_1 v dx.$$

We now show that the second part of the right hand side of (2) is 0. Indeed, integrating by part in x_2 , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{22} v dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_{22} \bar{v} \partial_2 v dx$$

which implies that

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{v} \partial_{22} v dx = 0.$$

This ends the proof.

2. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ be a solution of (1). Show that the angular momentum X is a constant of motion for u , i.e. that

$$X(u(t)) = X(u(0)) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Solution: To obtain the result, we simply show that $X(u(t))$ is constant by differentiating with respect to t . The solution u being smooth and rapidly decaying, all the following calculations

are justified. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(u(t)) &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u}_t - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}_t) u dx + \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) u_t dx \\
&= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (-x_1 \bar{u}_t \partial_2 u + x_2 \bar{u}_t \partial_1 u) dx + \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) u_t dx \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) u_t dx \\
&= -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) i u_t dx \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) (\Delta u + |u|^{p-1} u) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark now that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\partial_1 \bar{u} |u|^{p-1} u) = \frac{1}{p+1} \partial_1 (|u|^{p+1}),$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u} |u|^{p-1} u dx = \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 \partial_1 (|u|^{p+1}) dx = 0.$$

And similarly we also have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} |u|^{p-1} u dx = 0.$$

We have already seen that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} \Delta u dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_2 \bar{u} \partial_1 u dx,$$

and from similar arguments we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u} \Delta u dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_1 \bar{u} \partial_2 u dx.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1 \partial_2 \bar{u} - x_2 \partial_1 \bar{u}) \Delta u dx = 0.$$

Combining all previous equalities, we infer that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(u(t)) = 0,$$

which gives the desired result.

Exercise 2. Let $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\lambda > 0$. We define u_0^λ by $u_0^\lambda(x) = u_0(\lambda x)$.

1. Show that $S(t)u_0^\lambda$ is given by

$$(S(t)u_0^\lambda)(x) = (S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x).$$

Solution: Several approaches are possible. Here, we show that the function u^λ defined by

$$u^\lambda(t, x) = (S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x)$$

indeed solves the linear Schrödinger equation with initial data u_0^λ . First, when $t = 0$, we have

$$u^\lambda(0, x) = (S(0)u_0)(\lambda x) = u_0(\lambda x) = u_0^\lambda(x).$$

Moreover, differentiating in t , we obtain

$$\partial_t u^\lambda(t, x) = \partial_t (S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 (\partial_t S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 (i\Delta S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x).$$

Now, differentiating in x we have

$$\Delta u^\lambda(t, x) = \Delta (S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 (\Delta S(\lambda^2 t)u_0)(\lambda x) = -i\partial_t u^\lambda(t, x),$$

which concludes the proof.

2. Express $\|u_0^\lambda\|_{L_x^2}$ in terms of $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$.

Solution: By a change of variable, we obtain

$$\|u_0^\lambda\|_{L_x^2} = \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}.$$

3. Given $q, r \in [2, \infty]$, express $\|S(t)u_0^\lambda\|_{L_t^q L_x^r}$ in terms of $\|S(t)u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r}$.

Solution: Using the expression of $S(t)u_0^\lambda$ previously given, by a change of variable (in time and in space), we obtain

$$\|S(t)u_0^\lambda\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{q} - \frac{d}{r}} \|S(t)u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r}.$$

4. Give a necessary condition (the answer should be justified) on q, r and d for the following property to hold: there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\|S(t)u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Solution: Since the inequality should be valid for any u_0 , it should in particular be valid for any u_0^λ , hence necessarily

$$\frac{2}{q} - \frac{d}{r} = \frac{d}{2}.$$

Exercise 3. In this exercise, the space dimension is $d = 2$. We recall the dispersive estimate: there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $q \in [2, \infty]$, for $u_0 \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$) and for all $t > 0$ we have

$$\|S(t)u_0\|_{L_x^q} \leq C |t|^{-1 + \frac{2}{q}} \|u_0\|_{L_x^{q'}}.$$

We define the function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(z) = |z|^2 z.$$

Let $\lambda > 0$. Let $W, H : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$\|W\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4} + \left\| e^{\lambda t} \|H(t)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}} \right\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq 1.$$

Define the functional Φ for $\eta : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Phi(\eta) = -i \int_t^\infty S(t-s) (f(W(s) + \eta(s)) - f(W(s)) + H(s)) ds.$$

Define the ball

$$B = \left\{ \eta : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \left\| e^{\lambda t} \|\eta(t)\|_{L_x^4} \right\|_{L_t^\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Endowed with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_B = \left\| e^{\lambda t} \|\cdot\|_{L_x^4} \right\|_{L_t^\infty},$$

the ball B is a complete metric space.

1. Preliminary: Show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq C |z_1 - z_2| (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2).$$

Solution: We have

$$||z_1|^2 z_1 - |z_2|^2 z_2| \leq |z_1|^2 |z_1 - z_2| + (|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2) |z_2|.$$

Moreover

$$||z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2| = ||z_1| - |z_2|| \cdot (|z_1| + |z_2|).$$

and

$$||z_1| - |z_2|| \leq |z_1 - z_2|.$$

Thus

$$||z_1|^2 z_1 - |z_2|^2 z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_2| (|z_1|^2 + |z_2| (|z_1| + |z_2|)) \leq 3 |z_1 - z_2| (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2).$$

2. Let $\eta \in B$ and $t \geq 0$. Show that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_{L_x^4} \leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda s} ds.$$

Solution: We have, using the dispersive estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_{L_x^4} &\leq \int_t^\infty \|S(t-s) (f(W(s) + \eta(s)) - f(W(s)) + H(s))\|_{L_x^4} ds \\ &\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f(W(s) + \eta(s)) - f(W(s)) + H(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen,

$$|f(W(s) + \eta(s)) - f(W(s))| \leq C|\eta(s)|(|W(s)|^2 + |\eta(s)|^2).$$

Therefore

$$\|f(W(s) + \eta(s)) - f(W(s))\|_{L_x^4} \leq C\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}\|W(s)\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}^3.$$

We have $\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}}^3 = \|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}^3$ and by Hölder inequality

$$\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}}\|W(s)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq C\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}\|W(s)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq C\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}\|W(s)\|_{L_x^4}^2.$$

Gathering the previous inequalities and using the assumptions on W and H we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_{L_x^4} &\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}\|W(s)\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \|\eta(s)\|_{L_x^4}^3 + \|H(s)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}}) ds \\ &\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e^{-\lambda s} + e^{-3\lambda s} + e^{-\lambda s}) ds \leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

3. Given any $C > 0$, show that there exists λ^* sufficiently large such that if $\lambda > \lambda^*$, then for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda s} ds \leq e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Solution: By changes of variables, we have

$$\int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda s} ds = \int_0^\infty |\sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda\sigma - \lambda t} d\sigma = e^{-\lambda t} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} dz.$$

Hence choosing λ large enough gives the desired result.

4. Show that Φ maps B into B for $\lambda > \lambda^*$.

Solution: Combining the previous results, if $\lambda > \lambda^*$, then for any $\eta \in B$ we have

$$\|\Phi(\eta)(t)\|_{L_x^4} \leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\lambda s} ds \leq e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Thus

$$\|e^{\lambda t} \Phi(\eta)\|_{L_x^4} \|L_t^\infty\| \leq 1$$

and $\Phi(\eta) \in B$.

5. Show that there exists $\lambda^{**} > 0$ such that Φ is a contraction mapping on B for $\lambda > \lambda^{**}$.

Solution: The proof that Φ is a contraction follows similar lines. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in B$. Then, at fixed t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(\eta_1) - \Phi(\eta_2)\|_{L_x^4} &\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f(W + \eta_1) - f(W + \eta_2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}} ds \\
&\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\| (|W|^2 + |\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2)_{L_x^{\frac{4}{3}}} ds \\
&\leq C \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{L_x^4} (\|W\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \|\eta_1\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \|\eta_2\|_{L_x^4}^2) ds \\
&\leq C \|e^{\lambda t}\|_{L_x^4} \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{L_t^\infty} e^{\lambda t} \int_t^\infty |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\lambda s} ds \\
&\leq \|e^{\lambda t}\|_{L_x^4} \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{L_t^\infty} e^{-\lambda t}.
\end{aligned}$$

6. Let $\eta \in B$ be such that $\Phi(\eta) = \eta$. Assume that W verifies the equation

$$i\partial_t W + \Delta W + f(W) = H.$$

What is the equation verified by u defined by $u = W + \eta$?

Solution: Differentiating η in time, we observe that

$$\partial_t \eta = i(f(W + \eta) - f(W) + H) + i\Delta \eta.$$

Therefore, η verifies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \eta + \Delta \eta + f(W + \eta) - f(W) = -H.$$

Summing up with the equation of W and using $u = W + \eta$, we obtain for u the equation

$$iu_t + \Delta u + f(u) = 0.$$