DOI 10.4171/JEMS/983



Stéphane Lamy · Susanna Zimmermann

# Signature morphisms from the Cremona group over a non-closed field

Received August 28, 2017

**Abstract.** We prove that the plane Cremona group over a perfect field with at least one Galois extension of degree 8 is a non-trivial amalgam, and that it admits a surjective morphism to a free product of groups of order 2.

Keywords. Cremona group, Sarkisov program, abelianization, non-closed fields

# Introduction

The *Cremona group*  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the group of birational symmetries of the projective plane defined over a field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Its elements are of the form

 $[x:y:z] \vdash \to [f_0(x, y, z): f_1(x, y, z): f_2(x, y, z)]$ 

where  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2 \in \mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$  are homogeneous polynomials of equal degree with no common factor, and such that there exists an inverse of the same form. Equivalently, working in an affine chart one can define the Cremona group as the group of birational selfmaps of the affine plane, which is also (anti-)isomorphic to the group Aut<sub>k</sub>  $\mathbf{k}(x, y)$  of **k**-automorphisms of the fraction field  $\mathbf{k}(x, y)$ . The Cremona group contains the group of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane over **k**. In particular, it is a rather huge group. It is neither finitely generated (see [Can17, Proposition 3.6]), nor finitedimensional, even when working over a finite base field. It was recently shown that  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is not a simple group, over any base field **k** [CL13, Lon16]. Then it is natural to ask for nice quotients of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , for instance abelian ones. Over an algebraically closed field, it is known (see also §4.3) that the automorphism group  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \operatorname{PGL}_3(\mathbf{k})$  and the Jonquières group  $\operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}(T)) \rtimes \operatorname{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  both embed in any quotient of the Cremona group. In particular in this situation any morphism from  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  to an abelian group, or to a finite group, is trivial. On the other hand, it was shown by the second author [Zim18]

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 14E07, 14E30

S. Lamy, S. Zimmermann: Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse UMR 5219, Université de Toulouse, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France; current address: Laboratoire angevin de recherche en mathématiques (LAREMA), CNRS, Université d'Angers, 49045 Angers Cedex 1, France; e-mail: slamy@math.univ-toulouse.fr, susanna.zimmermann@univ-angers.fr

that the situation is drastically different over the field **R** of real numbers. The real Cremona group admits an uncountable collection of morphisms to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and precisely we have the following result about the abelianization of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ :

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbb{P}^2)/[\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbb{P}^2),\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbb{P}^2)] \simeq \bigoplus_{(0,1]} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}.$$
 (†)

One consequence is that  $Bir_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is a nontrivial amalgamated product of two factors along their intersection [Zim17].

In this paper we explore a similar question, over any perfect base field  $\mathbf{k}$  that admits at least one Galois extension of degree 8. Observe that this condition corresponds to a large collection of fields, which includes the case of all number fields and finite fields.

The special role of degree 8 extensions is explained by their relation to Bertini involutions. Indeed, given a point of degree 8 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , that is, an orbit of cardinality 8 under the natural action of the absolute Galois group of the base field **k**, we can consider the surface *S* obtained by blowing up this orbit. If the point is sufficiently general, the surface *S* is del Pezzo and admits another birational morphism to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and the induced birational selfmap of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is an example of a Bertini involution. Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  be a set of representatives of such Bertini involutions with a base point of degree 8, up to conjugacy by automorphisms. We prove that if **k** admits at least one Galois extension of degree 8, then the set  $\mathcal{B}$  is quite large: it has at least the same cardinality as **k**, and in the case of a finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$  one can be more precise and give a lower bound for that cardinality which is polynomial in *q* (see §4.2).

These Bertini involutions are part of a system of elementary generators  $\mathcal{E}$  for the group  $\operatorname{Bir}_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$  which was found by Iskovskikh [Isk91]. The set  $\mathcal{E}$  is always huge, because for instance it contains all Jonquières maps (up to left-right composition with automorphisms).

Before stating our results we introduce a bit more notation. For each Bertini involution  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we set  $G_b = \langle \operatorname{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^2), b \rangle$ . Moreover, we denote by  $G_e = \langle \operatorname{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^2), \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{B} \rangle$  the subgroup generated by automorphisms and all non-Bertini elementary generators. Our first result gives an amalgamated product structure for  $\operatorname{Bir}_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , in terms of these subgroups.

**Theorem A.** Let **k** be a perfect field admitting at least one Galois extension of degree 8. Consider the subgroups  $G_i$  as defined above, for  $i \in \mathcal{B} \cup \{e\}$ . Then  $G_i \cap G_j = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and the Cremona group is the amalgamated product of the  $G_i$  along their common intersection:

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \underset{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)}{*} G_i.$$

Moreover,  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts faithfully on the corresponding Bass–Serre tree.

It was shown by Cornulier [CL13, appendix] that the Cremona group over an algebraically closed field is not a non-trivial amalgam of two groups. In contrast, we deduce from the above theorem the following structure result, where we denote by  $G_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), \mathcal{B} \rangle$  the subgroup generated by all  $G_b$ :

**Corollary B.** Let **k** be a perfect field admitting at least one Galois extension of degree 8. Then  $G_e \cap G_B = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , and

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq G_{\mathcal{B}} *_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)} G_e,$$

and  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts faithfully on its Bass–Serre tree.

It turns out that each subgroup  $G_b$  admits a structure of free product, and this allows one to obtain a lot of morphisms from the Cremona group to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ :

Theorem C. Let k be a perfect field with at least one Galois extension of degree 8.

(1) For each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $G_b \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and we can write the Cremona group as a free product:

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq G_e * \left( \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\ast} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \right).$$

(2) In particular, there is a surjective morphism

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \to \underset{\mathcal{B}}{*} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$$

whose kernel is the smallest normal subgroup containing  $G_e$ , and which sends each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  to the corresponding generator on the right-hand side.

(3) In particular, the abelianization of the Cremona group over **k** contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{B} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

We see that even if no Bertini involutions were involved in [Zim18], we obtain a similar looking (even if less precise) result. In particular, the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in the target group in (†) have nothing to do with the fact that the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{R}$  has order 2, but rather with the fact that we are able to produce a natural set of generators for the Cremona group that contains involutions. In this sense, we like to think of the above morphisms  $\operatorname{Bir}_k(\mathbb{P}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  as some analogues of the classical signature morphism on the symmetric group. The huge collection of such morphisms corresponds to the existence of a system of generators with a lot of non-conjugate involutions. In this paper, we focus on Bertini involutions associated to a base point of degree 8 because they seem to be the easiest to handle technically. When the base field is  $\mathbb{R}$ , a similar role was played by the so-called "standard quintic involutions". It seems quite plausible that other "signature morphisms" exist on the Cremona group, associated to other type of involutions, such as the Geiser involution associated to a base point of degree 7. Also, we see no obvious obstruction why such morphisms could not exist in higher dimension, even over the field of complex numbers.

The strategy to prove the above results is to use the Sarkisov program. The Sarkisov program is a way to factorize a given birational map between Mori fiber spaces into elementary links. We recall that even if the starting map is a birational selfmap of a given variety X (for instance  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ ), the elementary links are not in general elements of the group Bir(X). In other words, even if one is primarily interested in the group Bir(X), the Sarkisov program naturally produces generators for the groupoid of birational maps  $Y \longrightarrow Z$ , where Y, Z can be any Mori fiber spaces birational to X. Nevertheless the

Sarkisov program turns out to be an efficient tool to produce some systems of generators for  $Bir_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , and also to describe relations between them [Isk91, IKT93, Isk96]. The Sarkisov program was revisited recently in light of the progresses in the theory of the Minimal Model Program, and is now established in any dimension (over C) [HM13]. Moreover the relations between Sarkisov links were described by Kaloghiros [Kal13].

In Section 2 we encode Sarkisov links and relations between them in a square complex  $\mathcal{X}$  on which the group  $Bir_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts naturally. Then in Section 3 we give an account of the proof of the Sarkisov program in the simpler case of surfaces, but working over an arbitrary perfect field. This allows us to prove that the square complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is connected and simply connected.

In Section 4 we recall the notion of elementary generators for the group  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , following the work of Iskovskikh. Among the elementary generators we discuss in particular the Bertini involutions and prove their existence (and in fact abundance). We also discuss the Jonquières maps, and in any dimension we recall the following basic dichotomy: given a morphism  $\varphi$  from the Cremona group to another group H, either the subgroup generated by the Jonquières maps lies in the kernel, or  $\varphi$  induces an embedding of the subgroup into H.

Finally, in Section 5 we use Bass–Serre theory to prove our results. The general idea is that the Bass–Serre trees of the various amalgams appearing in Theorem A, Corollary B and Theorem C are realized either as a quotient or as a subcomplex of the square complex  $\mathcal{X}$ .

When one encounters a cube complex in geometric group theory, a natural question is whether this complex has non-positive curvature. It turns out that this is not the case for our square complex  $\mathcal{X}$ , but we should mention that  $\mathcal{X}$  is essentially a subcomplex of an infinite-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex associated with the Cremona group that was constructed by Lonjou in her PhD thesis.

# 1. Birational maps between surfaces over an arbitrary field

In this section we review some results of the birational geometry of surfaces, with a focus on the case of an arbitrary perfect base field.

#### 1.1. Factorization into blow-ups

Let **k** be a perfect field, and  $\mathbf{k}^a$  an algebraic closure. All field extensions of **k** that we shall consider will be supposed to lie in  $\mathbf{k}^a$ . By a *surface* (over **k**) we shall mean a smooth projective surface defined over **k**. We denote by  $S(\mathbf{k})$  the set of **k**-rational points on *S*. The Galois group Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) acts on  $S \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}}$  Spec  $\mathbf{k}^a$  through the second factor. In particular, Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) acts on the set  $S(\mathbf{k}^a)$  of  $\mathbf{k}^a$ -rational points. By a *point of degree d* on *S* we mean an orbit  $p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_d\} \subset S(\mathbf{k}^a)$  of cardinality *d* under the action of Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ). Observe that the points in  $S(\mathbf{k})$  are exactly the fixed points for the action of Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) on  $S(\mathbf{k}^a)$ , or in other words the points of degree 1. Let  $\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{k}$  be a field extension such that the  $p_i$  are **L**-rational points. We define the blow-up of *p* to be the blow-up of these *d* points, which is a morphism  $\pi : S' \to S$  defined over **k**, with exceptional divisor

 $E = C_1 + \dots + C_d$ , where the  $C_i$  are disjoint (-1)-curves defined over **L**, and  $E^2 = -d$ . We shall refer to this situation by saying that *E* is an *exceptional divisor of degree d*.

We recall the following classical factorization results (see e.g. [Liu02, Theorems 9.2.2 and 9.2.7]).

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\pi: S' \to S$  be a birational morphism between surfaces defined over **k**. Then  $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_n$ , where each  $\pi_i: S_i \to S_{i-1}$  is the blow-up of a point of degree  $d_i \ge 1$  on  $S_{i-1}$ , with exceptional divisor  $E_i$  on  $S_i$  satisfying  $E_i^2 = -d_i$  (in particular  $S = S_0$ , and  $S' = S_n$ ).

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $\varphi: S \longrightarrow S'$  be a birational map between surfaces defined over **k**. Then there exist a surface Z defined over **k**, and sequences of blow-ups  $\pi: Z \to S$ ,  $\pi': Z \to S'$  of orbits of points under  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k})$  such that  $\pi' = \varphi \circ \pi$ .

We should mention that even if the Cremona group was explicitly defined in the introduction in terms of homogeneous polynomials, in practice we almost always think of an element of  $\text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  as given by two sequences of blow-ups defined over  $\mathbf{k}$ , as provided by Proposition 1.2.

**Remark 1.3.** Over a non-perfect field **k**, there is no reason why the base points of a birational map should be defined over a separable closure of **k**, and so we can no longer identify closed points with Galois orbits as we did in the statements of Propositions 1.1 and 1.2. As a simple example of this phenomenon, consider  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{F}_2(t)$ , and denote by  $t^{1/2}$  the unique square root of t in  $\mathbf{k}^a$ . Then  $\mathbf{k}(t^{1/2})/\mathbf{k}$  is a non-separable extension. Now consider the birational involution  $f \in \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  given by

$$f: [x_0:x_1:x_2] \mapsto [x_0x_2:x_1x_2:x_0^2+tx_1^2].$$

As a quadratic birational map, f admits three base points defined over  $\mathbf{k}^{a}$ , which are

$$p_1 = [0:0:1], \quad p_2 = [t^{1/2}:1:0],$$

and a point  $p_3$  infinitely near to  $p_2$ . In particular  $p_2$  is not defined over a separable extension of **k**.

# 1.2. Negative maps, minimal and ample models, scaling

Let *S* be a surface defined over **k**, and *S<sup>a</sup>* the same surface over  $\mathbf{k}^a$ . We define the *Néron–Severi space*  $N^1(S^a)$  as the space of numerical classes of **R**-divisors:

$$N^1(S^a) := \operatorname{Div}(S^a) \otimes \mathbf{R} / \equiv.$$

The action of Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) on  $N^1(S^a)$  factors through a finite group, and we denote by  $N^1(S)$  the subspace of invariant classes. Since we only consider surfaces with  $S(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{k}^a[S^a]^* = (\mathbf{k}^a)^*$ ,  $N^1(S)$  is also the space of classes of divisors defined over  $\mathbf{k}$  (see [San81, Lemma 6.3(iii)]). The dimension of this finite-dimensional **R**-vector space is called the *Picard number* of *S* over  $\mathbf{k}$ , and denoted by  $\rho(S)$ . **Remark 1.4.** When working on a surface *S*, we can identify the space  $N^1(S)$  of divisors and the space  $N_1(S)$  of 1-cycles, and similarly the subspaces Eff(S) or NE(S) of effective divisors or 1-cycles. We shall use the notation that seems most natural in view of the extension of the results in higher dimension. For instance the Cone Theorem 1.7 is about 1-cycles, so there we use the notation NE(S).

Let  $\pi: S' \to S$  be a birational morphism between surfaces defined over **k**, and D' a **Q**-divisor on S' with push-forward  $D = \pi_*(D')$ . By Proposition 1.1, we can write  $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_n$ , where  $\pi_i: S_i \to S_{i-1}$  is the blow-up of a point of degree  $d_i$ , with  $S = S_0$  and  $S' = S_n$ . For any *i*, we denote by  $E_i$  the exceptional divisor of  $\pi_i$ , and by  $D_i$  the push-forward of D' on  $S_i$ . We say that  $\pi$  is D'-negative if  $D_i \cdot E_i < 0$  for all *i*. Observe also that on S' we can write

$$D' = \pi^* D + \sum a_i E_i$$

for some  $a_i \in \mathbf{Q}$ , where the  $E_i$  denote strict transforms on S'.

**Lemma 1.5.** With the above notation, the morphism  $\pi$  is D'-negative if and only if  $a_i > 0$  for all *i*.

*Proof.* On  $S_i$ , we have  $D_i = \pi_i^* D_{i-1} + a_i E_i$ , so that

$$0 = \pi^* D_{i-1} \cdot E_i = D_i \cdot E_i - a_i E_i^2.$$

Since  $E_i^2 = -d_i$ , where  $d_i \ge 1$  is the degree of the point blown up by  $\pi_i$ , we get  $a_i = -\frac{D_i \cdot E_i}{d_i}$ , so that  $a_i$  and  $D_i \cdot E_i$  have opposite signs as expected.

If  $\pi: S' \to S$  is a *D'*-negative birational morphism, and  $D = \pi_*(D')$  is nef, we call *S* a *D'*-minimal model of *S'*. Such a model, if it exists, is unique:

**Lemma 1.6** ([Mat02, p. 94]). Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be two D'-minimal models of S'. Then the induced map  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is an isomorphism.

We shall use the above setting for divisors D' of the form  $D' = K_{S'} + A$ , with A an ample **Q**-divisor (or A = 0). Observe that a  $(K_{S'} + A)$ -negative birational morphism is also a  $K_{S'}$ -negative morphism, hence simply a sequence of inverse of blow-ups. There exist surfaces with infinitely many (-1)-curves: a classical example is given by  $\mathbb{P}^2$  blown up at (sufficiently general) nine points. This gives a countable collection of curves  $C_i$  with  $K_{S'} \cdot C_i < 0$  and  $C_i^2 < 0$ . However, after perturbing  $K_{S'}$  by adding any **Q**-ample divisor, we get a finite collection:

**Theorem 1.7** ([Rei97, Cone Theorem D.3.2]). Let *S* be a surface defined over  $\mathbf{k}^a$ . Then if  $\rho(S) \ge 3$ , all  $K_S$ -negative extremal rays of the cone NE(*S*) are of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}C$  with *C* a (-1)-curve. Moreover, for any ample **Q**-divisor *A* on *S*, there are only finitely many (-1)-curves  $C_i$  such that  $(K_S + A) \cdot C_i < 0$ .

*Comments on the proof.* In the Cone Theorem, the main delicate point to check when working in arbitrary characteristic is vanishing. If A is ample, by Serre duality we have  $H^2(S, K_S + A) = H^0(S, -A)^* = 0$ . So by Riemann–Roch on a surface we have, for the divisor D = K + A,

$$h^{0}(S, D) \ge h^{0}(S, D) - h^{1}(S, D) = \frac{1}{2}D(D - K_{S}) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S}).$$
 (1)

In fact when S is rational, we even have  $H^1(S, K_S + A) = 0$  (Kodaira vanishing in positive characteristic can only fail for surfaces of Kodaira dimension  $\geq 1$ , see [Ter99, Theorem 1.6]). But this extra information is not necessary in the argument given by Reid, as inequality (1) is enough.

**Remark 1.8.** (1) Over an arbitrary perfect field  $\mathbf{k}$ , we have an equivariant version of the Cone Theorem with respect to the action of Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) [KM98, p. 48]. Essentially we only have to change "(-1)-curve" to "orbit of pairwise disjoint (-1)-curves under the action of Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ )". By the Castelnuovo Contraction Theorem, we can contract such an orbit and obtain a new smooth projective surface. Thus by running the Minimal Model Program with respect to the canonical divisor K, or more generally with respect to K + A with A ample, we stay in the category of smooth surfaces, and a posteriori this justifies that we restrict ourselves to this setting.

(2) In the case where the Picard number  $\rho(S)$  is equal to 2, NE(*S*) is a convex cone in a real 2-dimensional vector space, thus we have at most two extremal rays, which correspond either to the contraction of an exceptional divisor or to a Mori fibration. This case is particularly interesting in a relative setting, and is then often referred to as a *two rays game*. More precisely, we start with a morphism  $\pi : S \rightarrow Y$  from a surface *S*, with relative Picard number equal to 2. We assume that any curve *C* contracted by  $\pi$ satisfies  $K_S \cdot C < 0$ . Then there exist exactly two morphisms of relative Picard number 1,  $\pi_i : S \rightarrow Y_i$ , i = 1, 2, such that  $\pi$  factors through each of the  $\pi_i$ :



**Theorem 1.9** (Base Point Free Theorem, see [Rei97, D.4.1]). Let *S* be a surface, and let *D* be a nef divisor on *S* such that  $D - \varepsilon K_S$  is ample for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the linear system |mD| is base point free for all sufficiently large *m*.

If *D* is nef and of the form D = K + A with *A* ample, we denote by  $\varphi_D$  the morphism from *S* associated to the linear system |mD| for  $m \gg 0$ . This morphism has connected fibers, and it contracts precisely the curves *C* such that  $(K + A) \cdot C = 0$ . Now we extend the definition of  $\varphi_D$  to any pseudo-effective divisor D = K + A, by using the notion of scaling.

Let *S* be a surface, and *A*,  $\Delta$  ample **Q**-divisors on *S* (we also admit the case A = 0). The K + A minimal model program with scaling of  $\Delta$  is a sequence of birational morphisms  $\pi_i : S_{i-1} \rightarrow S_i$  defined iteratively as follows. We set  $S_0 = S$ . If  $S_i$  is constructed, we denote by  $A_i$  and  $\Delta_i$  the direct images of *A* and  $\Delta$  on  $S_i$ , and we consider  $t_i$  such that  $D_i = K_{S_i} + A_i + t_i \Delta_i$  is nef but not ample on  $S_i$ . Then the morphism  $\pi_{i+1}$  from  $S_i$  is obtained by applying Theorem 1.9 to  $D_i$ . If  $\pi_{i+1}(S_i) = S_{i+1}$  is a surface, we repeat the construction. At some point,  $\pi_{i+1}$  is a fibration to a curve or a point, in which case we have reached a Mori fiber space and the program stops. In particular, this process gives a finite sequence of rational numbers

$$t_0 > t_1 > \cdots > t_n$$

such that  $K_{S_i} + A_i + t\Delta_i$  is ample on  $S_i$  for any  $t > t_i$ . We shall say that a birational morphism  $\pi : S \to S'$  is (K + A)-negative with scaling of  $\Delta$  if S' is one of the  $S_i$  in the above process.

Now assume that *D* is a pseudo-effective **Q**-divisor on *S* of the form  $D = K + \Delta$ , with  $\Delta$  ample. We run the *K* minimal model program with scaling of  $\Delta$ , and we look where the coefficient t = 1 corresponding to *D* fits into the sequence  $t_0 > t_1 > \cdots > t_n$ . More precisely, we set  $j = \max\{i; t_i \ge 1\}$  and we denote  $\varphi_D = \pi_j \circ \cdots \circ \pi_1$ . Observe that the morphism  $\varphi_D$  is birational if and only if  $j \le n - 1$ , and in any case  $\varphi_D(D)$  is ample. We say that  $\varphi_D$  is the *ample model* of *D*.

**Remark 1.10.** (1) The above construction only depends on the numerical class of *D*, which would not be the case for more general *D* (that is, not of the form  $K + \Delta$  with  $\Delta$  ample) [KKL16, Example 4.8].

(2) The morphism  $\varphi_D$  coincides with the morphism from *S* to Proj( $\bigoplus H^0(Z, mD)$ ) [KKL16, Remark 2.4]. In particular, if we write  $D = K + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$  with  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  ample, and run the  $K + \Delta_1$  minimal model program with scaling of  $\Delta_2$ , we will get the same morphism  $\varphi_D$ , but possibly by another sequence of contractions.

#### 2. A square complex associated to the Cremona group

In this section we construct a square complex that encodes Sarkisov links and relations between them. First we introduce the key notion of rank *r* fibration.

#### 2.1. Rank r fibrations

If not stated otherwise, all varieties and morphisms are defined over **k**. Let *S* be a surface, and  $r \ge 1$  an integer. We say that *S* is a *rank r fibration* if there exists a surjective morphism  $\pi: S \to B$  with connected fibers, where *B* is a point or a smooth curve, with relative Picard number equal to *r*, and such that the anticanonical divisor  $-K_S$  is  $\pi$ ample. The last condition means that for any curve *C* contracted to a point by  $\pi$ , we have  $K_S \cdot C < 0$ . Observe that the condition on the Picard number translates as  $\rho(S) = r$  if *B* is a point, and  $\rho(S) = r + 1$  if *B* is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . If *S* is a rank *r* fibration, we will write S/B if we want to emphasize the basis of the fibration, and S' when we want to emphasize the rank. An isomorphism between two fibrations S/B and S'/B' (necessarily of the same rank *r*) is an isomorphism  $S \xrightarrow{\sim} S'$  such that there exists an isomorphism on the bases (necessarily uniquely defined) that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{c} S \xrightarrow{\sim} S' \\ \pi \downarrow & \downarrow \pi \\ B \xrightarrow{\sim} B' \end{array}$$

As the following examples make it clear, there are sometimes several choices for a structure of rank r fibration on a given surface, that may even correspond to distinct ranks.

**Example 2.1.** (1)  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with the morphism  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \text{pt}$ , or the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_n$  with the morphism  $\mathbb{F}_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$ , are rank 1 fibrations.

(2)  $\mathbb{F}_1$  with the morphism  $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \text{pt}$  is a rank 2 fibration. Idem for  $\mathbb{F}_0 \to \text{pt}$ . The blow-up  $S^2 \to \mathbb{F}_n \to \mathbb{P}^1$  of a Hirzebruch surface along a point of degree d, such that each point of the orbit is in a distinct fiber, is a rank 2 fibration over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

(3) The blow-up of two distinct points on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , or of two points of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  not lying on the same fiber, give examples of rank 3 fibrations, with morphisms to the point or to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  respectively.

**Remark 2.2.** Observe that the definition of a rank *r* fibration puts together several wellknown notions. If *B* is a point, then *S* is a del Pezzo surface of Picard rank *r* (over the base field **k**). If *B* is a curve, then *S* is a conic bundle of relative Picard rank *r*: a general fiber is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and (over  $\mathbf{k}^a$ ) any singular fiber is the union of two (-1)-curves secant at one point. Note also that rank 1 fibrations are exactly the usual 2-dimensional Mori fiber spaces.

We will be only interested in rational surfaces, and we define a *marking* on a rank *r* fibration S/B to be a choice of a birational map  $\varphi: S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ . Observe that if *S* is rational and *B* is a curve, then *B* is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . We say that two marked fibrations  $\varphi: S/B \to \mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\varphi': S'/B' \to \mathbb{P}^2$  are *equivalent* if  $\varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi: S/B \to S'/B'$  is an isomorphism of fibrations. We denote by  $(S/B, \varphi)$  an equivalence class under this relation. The Cremona group  $Bir_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts on the set of equivalence classes of marked fibrations by post-composition:

$$f \cdot (S/B, \varphi) := (S/B, f \circ \varphi).$$

If S'/B' and S/B are marked fibrations of respective ranks  $r' > r \ge 1$ , we say that S'/B' factorizes through S/B if the birational map  $S' \to S$  induced by the markings is a morphism, and moreover there exists a (uniquely defined) morphism  $B \to B'$  such that the following diagram commutes:



In fact, if B' = pt the last condition is empty, and if  $B' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  it means that  $S' \to S$  is a morphism of fibrations over a common basis  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

#### 2.2. Square complex

We define a 2-dimensional complex  $\mathcal{X}$  as follows. Vertices are equivalence classes of marked rank r fibrations, with  $3 \ge r \ge 1$ . There is an oriented edge from  $(S'/B', \varphi')$ 

to  $(S/B, \varphi)$  if S'/B' factorizes through S/B. If r' > r are the respective ranks of S'/B'and S/B, we say that the edge has *type* r', r. For each triplet of pairwise linked vertices  $(S''^3/B'', \varphi'')$ ,  $(S'^2/B', \varphi')$ ,  $(S^1/B, \varphi)$ , we glue a triangle. In this way we obtain a 2dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{X}$  on which the Cremona group acts.

**Lemma 2.3.** For each edge of type 3, 1 from S''/B'' to S/B, there exist exactly two triangles that admit this edge as a side.

*Proof.* In short, the proof is a two rays game (see Remark 1.8). By assumption S''/B'' factorizes through S/B, so by setting Y = S (if  $B \simeq B'$ ) or Y = B (if  $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  and B' = pt), we obtain via diagram (2) a morphism  $\pi : S'' \to Y$  with relative Picard number  $\rho(S''/Y)$  equal to 2. We have exactly two extremal rays in the cone NE(S''/Y), and since  $\rho(S'') = 3$  or 4, both correspond to divisorial contractions. Denote by  $S'' \to S'$  and  $S'' \to \tilde{S}'$  these two contractions. Then the two expected triangles are S''/B'', S'/B', S/B and S''/B'',  $\tilde{S}'/B'$ , S/B.

In view of the lemma, by gluing all the pairs of triangles along edges of type 3, 1, and keeping only edges of types 3, 2 and 2, 1, we obtain a square complex that we still denote  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let *vertices of type*  $\mathbb{P}^2$  be the vertices in the orbit of the vertex ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ /pt, id) under the action of Bir<sub>k</sub>( $\mathbb{P}^2$ ). When drawing subcomplexes of  $\mathcal{X}$  we will often drop part of the information which is clear by context, about the markings, the equivalence classes and/or the fibration. For instance *S*/*B* must be understood as (*S*/*B*,  $\varphi$ ) for an implicit marking  $\varphi$ , and ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\varphi$ ) as ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ /pt,  $\varphi$ ).

**Example 2.4.** Let *S* be the surface obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in two distinct points *a* and *b* of degree 1. Denote by  $\mathbb{F}_{1,a}/\mathbb{P}_a^1$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{1,b}/\mathbb{P}_b^1$  the two intermediate Hirzebruch surfaces with their fibrations to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Finally, denote by  $\mathbb{F}_0$  the surface obtained by contracting the strict transform on *S* of the line through *a* and *b*. All these surfaces fit into the subcomplex of  $\mathcal{X}$  pictured in Figure 1, where the dotted arrows are the edges of type 3, 1 that we need to remove from the simplicial complex in order to get a square complex.



Fig. 1

**Example 2.5.** Consider the blow-ups of three points a, b, c on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . These give three squares around the corresponding vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (see Figure 2). In particular, the square complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is not CAT(0), as mentioned in the introduction.



#### 2.3. Sarkisov links and elementary relations

In this section we show that the complex  $\mathcal{X}$  encodes the notion of Sarkisov links, and of elementary relations between them.

First we rephrase the usual notion of Sarkisov links between 2-dimensional Mori fiber spaces. Let  $(S/B, \varphi)$ ,  $(S'/B', \varphi')$  be two marked rank 1 fibrations. We say that the induced birational map  $S \rightarrow S'$  is a *Sarkisov link* if there exists a marked rank 2 fibration S''/B'' that factorizes through both S/B and S'/B'. Equivalently, the vertices corresponding to S/B and S'/B' are at distance 2 in the complex  $\mathcal{X}$ , with middle vertex S''/B'':



This definition is in fact equivalent to the usual definition of a link of type I, II, III or IV from S/B to S'/B' (see [Kal13, Definition 2.14] for the definition in arbitrary dimension). Below we recall these definitions in the context of surfaces, in terms of commutative diagrams where each morphism has relative Picard number 1 (such a diagram corresponds to a "two rays game"), and we give some examples. Note that these diagrams are not part of the complex  $\mathcal{X}$ : in each case, the corresponding subcomplex of  $\mathcal{X}$  is just a path of two edges, as described above.

• Type I: *B* is a point,  $B' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $S' \to S$  is the blow-up of a point of degree  $d \ge 1$  such that we have a diagram



Then we take S''/B'' := S'/pt.

Examples are given by the blow-up of a point of degree 1, or a general point of degree 4, on  $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ . The fibration  $S'/\mathbb{P}^1$  corresponds respectively to the lines through the point of degree 1, or to the conics through the point of degree 4.

• Type II: B = B', and there exist two blow-ups  $S'' \to S$  and  $S'' \to S'$  that fit into a diagram of the form



Then we take S''/B'' := S''/B.

An example is given by blowing up a point of degree 2 on  $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ , and then by contracting the transform of the unique line through this point. The resulting surface S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 8, which has rank 1 over **k**, but has rank 2 over  $\mathbf{k}^a$  (being isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{k}^a} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbf{k}^a}$ ). Other examples, important for this paper, are provided by blowing up a point of degree 8 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ : see §4.2.

• Type III: a situation symmetric to a link of type I.

• Type IV:  $(S, \varphi)$  and  $(S', \varphi')$  are equal as marked surfaces, but the fibrations to *B* and *B'* are distinct. In this situation *B* and *B'* must be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and we have a diagram



Then we take S''/B'' := S/pt.

For rational surfaces, a type IV link always corresponds to the two rulings on  $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , that is,  $S/B = \mathbb{F}_0/\mathbb{P}^1$  is one of the rulings,  $S'/B' = \mathbb{F}_0/\mathbb{P}^1$  the other one, and  $S''/B'' = \mathbb{F}_0/\text{pt}$ . See [Isk96, Theorem 2.6 (iv)] for other examples in the context of non-rational surfaces.

A path of Sarkisov links is a finite sequence of marked rank 1 fibrations

$$(S_0/B_0,\varphi_0),\ldots,(S_n/B_n,\varphi_n)$$

such that for all  $0 \le i \le n - 1$ , the induced map  $g_i : S_i/B_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/B_{i+1}$  is a Sarkisov link.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(S'/B, \varphi)$  be a marked rank 3 fibration. Then there exist finitely many squares in  $\mathcal{X}$  with S' as a corner, and the union of these squares is a subcomplex of  $\mathcal{X}$  homeomorphic to a disk with center corresponding to S'.

*Proof.* Since  $\rho(S') = 3$  or 4, we can factorize the fibration S'/B into

$$S' \to S \to Y \to B$$
,

where  $S' \to S$  is a divisorial contraction, and Y is either a surface or a rational curve. By playing the two rays game on S'/Y (see Remark 1.8), we obtain another surface  $\tilde{S}$  and a divisorial contraction  $S' \to \tilde{S}$  that fits into a commutative diagram



If *Y* is a surface, we obtain the following square in  $\mathcal{X}$ :



On the other hand, if  $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$  is a curve (and so *B* is a point), then we obtain the following two squares in  $\mathcal{X}$ :



Now in both cases we consider the two rays game on  $\tilde{S}/B$ : this produces  $\tilde{Y}$ , which is either a surface or a curve, and which fits into a diagram



Then by considering the two rays game on  $S'/\tilde{Y}$ , we produce one or two new squares in  $\mathcal{X}$  that are adjacent to the previous ones. After finitely many such steps, the process must stop and produce the expected disk, because by Theorem 1.7 there are only finitely many divisorial contractions that we can use to factor S'/B.

**Remark 2.7.** If  $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ , then at each step Y is a surface, and in this case the disk produced by the proof consists of exactly four squares in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

More precisely, with the notation of the proof, the morphisms S/Y and  $\tilde{S}/Y$  correspond to the blow-ups of points p and  $\tilde{p}$ , and S' is the blow-up of both. Observe that

 $p, \tilde{p}$  can have arbitrary degrees, but they are not on the same fiber of  $Y/\mathbb{P}^1$  because otherwise  $S'/\mathbb{P}^1$  would not be a rank 3 fibration (the anticanonical divisor would not be ample because of the presence of (-2)-curves). Let  $E_p, E_{\tilde{p}}$  be the corresponding exceptional divisors in S', and  $F_p, F_{\tilde{p}}$  the strict transforms of the fibers through p and  $\tilde{p}$  respectively. Then the four squares correspond to the four possible choices of contraction of two divisors from S' over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ : either  $E_p$  or  $F_p$ , and independently either  $E_{\tilde{p}}$  or  $F_{\tilde{p}}$ .

On the other hand, if B is a point the number of squares might vary: for instance in Example 2.4 we saw five squares.

In the situation of Proposition 2.6, by going around the boundary of the disk we obtain a path of Sarkisov links whose composition is the identity (or strictly speaking, an automorphism). We say that this path is an *elementary relation* between Sarkisov links, coming from  $S'^3/B$ . More generally, any composition of Sarkisov links that corresponds to a loop in the complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a *relation* between Sarkisov links.

# 3. Relations in the Sarkisov program in dimension 2

In this section we prove that the complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is connected and simply connected, which will be the key in proving Theorem A. These connectedness results will follow from the Sarkisov program, and more precisely from the study of relations in the Sarkisov program, which we can state as follows:

# Theorem 3.1 (Sarkisov program).

- (1) Any birational map  $f: S \dashrightarrow S'$  between rank 1 fibrations is a composition of Sarkisov links (and automorphisms).
- (2) Any relation between Sarkisov links is generated by elementary relations.

In arbitrary dimension over **C**, these results correspond to [HM13, Theorem 1.1] and [Kal13, Theorem 1.3]. We give an account of the proof of these results in the simpler case of surfaces, but working over an arbitrary perfect base field.

#### 3.1. Polyhedral decomposition

Let  $\{(S_1/B_1, \varphi_1), \dots, (S_m/B_m, \varphi_m)\}$  be a finite collection of marked rank 1 fibrations. In the context of Theorem 3.1, we will take m = 2,  $S_1 = S$ ,  $S_2 = S'$  when proving (1), or the entire collection of rank 1 fibrations visited by a relation of Sarkisov links when proving (2).

By repetitively applying Proposition 1.2, we produce a marked surface Z dominating all the  $S_i$ , that is, such that all induced birational maps  $f_i: Z \to S_i$  are morphisms. We pick a sufficiently small ample **Q**-divisor A on Z such that for all i,  $f_i$  is (K + A)negative, and  $-K_{S_i} - f_{i*}(A)$  is relatively ample over  $B_i$ . The point of choosing such an ample divisor A is to ensure that there exist only finitely many (K+A)-negative birational morphisms from Z (up to post-composition with an isomorphism). Indeed, this follows from Theorem 1.7, which says that at each step there are only finitely many possible divisorial contractions. If there are only finitely many birational morphisms from Z (for instance if Z is a del Pezzo surface), we also admit the choice A = 0.

For each i = 1, ..., m, applying the following two steps we construct an (effective) ample divisor  $\Delta_i$  on Z such that  $f_i: Z \to S_i$  is (K + A)-negative with scaling of  $\Delta_i$ , and more precisely  $f_i$  will be a  $(K + A + \Delta_i)$ -ample model of Z:

(1) If  $B_i \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ , pick a large multiple  $G_i$  of the fiber of  $S_i/B_i$  such that  $-K_{S_i} - f_{i*}(A) + G_i$  is ample on  $S_i$ . If  $B_i = \{\text{pt}\}$ , then  $-K_{S_i} - f_{i*}(A)$  is already ample, so we just set  $G_i = 0$ . In both cases  $G_i$  is a nef divisor on  $S_i$ .

(2) Now pick an effective **Q**-divisor  $P_i$  on  $S_i$ , equivalent to the ample divisor  $-K_{S_i} - f_{i*}(A) + G_i$ , and set  $\Delta_i = A + f_i^*(P_i)$ , which is ample as the sum of ample and nef divisors. One checks that

$$f_{i*}(K + A + \Delta_i) = K_{S_i} + f_{i*}(A) + f_{i*}(A) - K_{S_i} - f_{i*}(A) + G_i = f_{i*}(A) + G_i,$$

which is an ample divisor on  $S_i$  as expected.

We can assume that the family  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$  generates  $N^1(Z)$  (throw in more ample divisors if necessary). We choose some rationals  $r_i > 0$  such that each  $K + A + r_i \Delta_i$  is ample. We say that a **Q**-divisor  $\Delta$  is a *subconvex combination* of the  $r_i \Delta_i$  if

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i r_i \Delta_i \quad \text{with } t_i \ge 0, \ \sum t_i \le 1.$$

This family  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$  of ample divisors being fixed, let  $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^s$  be the finite collection of  $(K + A + \Delta)$ -negative birational morphisms (up to isomorphism on the range), for all choices of a subconvex combination  $\Delta$  as above. Observe that this collection is indeed finite because of Theorem 1.7. Note also that the initial  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ , are part of this collection by construction, and so is the identity id:  $Z \rightarrow Z$  (corresponding for instance to taking one of the  $t_i$  equal to 1, and all the other equal to 0, because then  $D = K + A + r_i \Delta_i$  is ample by assumption, and the corresponding embedding  $\varphi_D$  is equivalent to the identity morphism).

For any family  $\{D_i\}_{i \in I} \in N^1(Z)$  of divisors in the vector space  $N^1(Z)$ , we denote by Conv° $(D_i; i \in I)$  the cone over the convex hull of the  $D_i$ . Now we intersect the cone

$$\operatorname{Conv}^{\circ}(K + A, K + A + r_1\Delta_1, \dots, K + A + r_m\Delta_m)$$

with the pseudo-effective cone Eff(Z) to get a cone  $\mathcal{C}^{\circ}$ . Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{C}^{\circ} = \left\{ D = \lambda \left( K + A + \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i r_i \Delta_i \right); \ \lambda, t_i \ge 0, \ \sum t_i \le 1, \ D \text{ pseudo-effective} \right\} \subseteq N^1(Z).$$

We denote by C the intersection of  $C^{\circ}$  with an affine hyperplane defined by K + A:

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ D \in \mathcal{C}^{\circ}; \ (K+A) \cdot D = -1 \}.$$

Recall from Proposition 1.1 that each  $g_j: Z \to S_j$  is a finite sequence of contractions of exceptional divisors, that is, orbits under Gal( $\mathbf{k}^a/\mathbf{k}$ ) of pairwise disjoint (-1)-curves. We denote by  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  the finite collection of classes in  $N^1(Z)$  obtained as pull-back of such exceptional divisors contracted by  $g_j$ , for all j = 1, ..., s. We introduce a notation for the hyperplane and half-spaces defined by  $C_i$  in  $N^1(Z)$ :

$$C_i^{\perp} = \{ D \in N^1(Z); \ D \cdot C_i = 0 \},\$$
  
$$C_i^{\geq} = \{ D \in N^1(Z); \ D \cdot C_i \ge 0 \},\$$

and similarly for  $C_i^>$ ,  $C_i^{\leq}$ ,  $C_i^{\leq}$ .

Let  $D \in C$  be a big divisor. Then we get a partition  $I = I^+ \cup I^-$  such that

$$D \in \bigcap_{i \in I^+} C_i^> \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^-} C_i^\le.$$

There exists *j* such that the morphism  $\varphi_D$  associated with *D* (see discussion after Theorem 1.9) coincides with  $g_j : Z \to S_j$ . The classes  $C_i, i \in I^-$ , correspond to the curves contracted by  $\varphi_D = g_j$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** The cone  $C^{\circ} \subset N^1(Z)$  is rational polyhedral and convex, hence so is the affine section C.

Proof. First we prove that

$$\operatorname{nef}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\circ} = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i^{\geq} \cap \operatorname{Conv}^{\circ}(K + A, K + A + r_1 \Delta_1, \dots, K + A + r_m \Delta_m),$$

from which it follows that  $nef(Z) \cap C^{\circ}$  is a rational polyhedral convex cone.

If  $D = K + A + \Delta \in C^{\circ}$  is not nef, then by construction of  $\varphi_D$  there exists  $i \in I$  such that the exceptional divisor  $C_i$  is contracted by  $\varphi_D$ , and  $D \cdot C_i < 0$ . On the other hand, if  $D \in C^{\circ}$  is nef, then for any irreducible component *C* in the support of one of the  $C_i$  we have  $D \cdot C \ge 0$ , hence  $D \cdot C_i \ge 0$  for all *i*.

Now we show that  $C^{\circ}$  is a rational polyhedral convex cone. Let  $D \in C^{\circ}$  be a big divisor, and  $\varphi_D \colon Z \to S$  the associated birational morphism. Up to reordering the  $C_i$ , we can assume that  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$  are the classes contracted by  $\varphi_D$ , where *r* is the relative Picard number of *Z* over *S*. Then we can write

$$D = \varphi_D^*(\varphi_{D*}(D)) + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i C_i,$$

where  $\varphi_D^*(\varphi_{D*}(D)) \in \operatorname{nef}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}^\circ$ , and the  $a_i$  are positive by Lemma 1.5. Together with  $K + A + r_i \Delta_i \in \operatorname{nef}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}^\circ$  this gives

$$\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}^{\circ}(\operatorname{nef}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\circ}, K + A) \cap \operatorname{Conv}^{\circ}(\operatorname{nef}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\circ}, C_{i}; i \in I).$$

This inclusion is in fact an equality because the first cone on the right-hand side is just  $\text{Conv}^{\circ}(K + A, K + A + r_1\Delta_1, \dots, K + A + r_m\Delta_m)$  and the second cone consists of

pseudo-effective divisors, so the right-hand side is contained in  $C^{\circ}$ . It follows that  $C^{\circ}$  is rational polyhedral and convex, as expected.

We set

$$\mathcal{A}_j := \bigcap_{i \in I^+} C_i^> \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^-} C_i^\le \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

In particular,  $A_j$  is a rational polyhedral subset of C, and is equal to the set of divisors  $D \in C$  such that  $\varphi_D = g_j$ . Observe that the chamber A of ample divisors in C is one of the  $A_j$ , associated to  $g_j = id$ , and to the partition  $I^+ = I$ ,  $I^- = \emptyset$ . Clearly the  $A_j$  form a partition of the interior of C.

We have just re-proved [KKL16, Theorem 4.2] (which is stated in arbitrary dimension, but over **C**):

**Theorem 3.3.** The interior of the cone C admits a finite partition into polyhedral chambers,  $Int(C) = \bigcup_i A_i$ .

For further reference we sum up the above discussion:

- **Set-Up 3.4.** We start with a finite collection  $\{(S_1/B_1, \varphi_1), \dots, (S_m/B_m, \varphi_m)\}$  of marked rank 1 fibrations.
- We pick Z a common resolution with Picard number  $\rho(Z) \ge 4$ .
- We choose A an ample Q-divisor on Z such that each map  $Z \to S_i$  is (K+A)-negative with scaling of an ample divisor  $\Delta_i$ . We may add some ample divisors  $\Delta_i$  so that the family  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$  generates  $N^1(Z)$ . If there are finitely many birational morphisms from Z, we allow A = 0.
- We construct a convex cone  $C^{\circ}$  in  $N^{1}(Z)$ , by considering the union of all segments  $[\Delta, K + A] \cap \overline{\text{Eff}}(Z)$ , for all convex combinations  $\Delta$  of the ample divisors  $K + A + r_i \Delta_i$ , i = 1, ..., m, and by taking the cone over these. In practice, we work with C, the section of  $C^{\circ}$  by the affine hyperplane corresponding to classes D such that  $(K + A) \cdot D = -1$ .
- Each class D in the interior of C corresponds to a (K + A)-birational morphism  $\varphi_D \colon Z \to S_D$ .
- Conversely, given a (K + A)-negative birational morphism g<sub>j</sub>: Z → S<sub>j</sub>, the divisors D in C such that g<sub>j</sub> = φ<sub>D</sub> form a polyhedral chamber A<sub>j</sub> with non-empty interior.

**Remark 3.5.** In higher dimension several complications arise that we avoided in the above discussion. In particular in dimension  $\geq 3$  it is not true anymore that each  $A_j$  spans  $N^1(Z)$ , because of the appearance of small contractions.

We should also mention that in dimension 2, the decomposition of Theorem 3.3 can be phrased in terms of Zariski decompositions (see [BKS04]). Namely, in each chamber  $A_j$ the support of the negative part of the Zariski decomposition is constant, and corresponds to the support of the classes  $C_i$  with  $i \in I^-$ .

Finally, as already noticed in Remark 1.10, since we only consider adjoint divisors of the form  $K + \Delta$  with  $\Delta$  ample, we can work directly in the Néron–Severi space  $N^1(Z)$  instead of choosing a subspace of the space of Weil divisors, as in [HM13, Kal13].

**Example 3.6.** In [Kal13, Figures 1 and 6] the above construction is illustrated by the case of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  blown up at two or three distinct points. Here we consider the case of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  blown up at two points (of degree 1), with one infinitely near the other. More precisely, let Z be the surface obtained from  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by blowing up a point  $p = L \cap L'$  of intersection of two lines, producing an exceptional divisor E, and then  $p' = E \cap L'$ , producing an exceptional divisor E' (see Figure 3, where the numbers in brackets denote self-intersection, and where we use the same notation for a curve and its strict transforms).



**Fig. 3.**  $\mathbb{P}^2$  blown up at *p* and *p'*.

On Z, the curves E, E' and L' are the only irreducible divisors with negative selfintersection, and they generate the pseudo-effective cone  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(S)$ . We also denote by H the class of a generic line from  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and as usual K is the canonical divisor. On Z we have

$$H = L' + E + 2E', \quad -K = 3L' + 2E + 4E'.$$

The classes  $C_i$  of contracted curves are

$$C_1 = L', \quad C_2 = E', \quad C_3 = E + E'.$$

In this simple example, it turns out that any class D in the pseudo-effective cone  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(Z)$  corresponds to a birational morphism  $\varphi_D$ . There are six possibilities for this morphism  $\varphi_D$ , and Figure 4 shows the chamber decomposition for the whole  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(Z)$ .



Fig. 4

However, Set-Up 3.4 only guarantees the chamber decomposition for classes in C, which is pictured on Figure 5 (where we work with the choice A = 0). Observe in particular that the chambers  $\mathcal{A}_E^s$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{E,L'}^s$  in Figure 4 correspond to singular surfaces, namely the blow-down of E, or the blow-down of E, L'. Equivalently, a wall between chambers in Figure 4 does not always correspond to the contraction of a (-1)-curve. In contrast, the chambers  $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_4$  in Figure 5 correspond to smooth surfaces, and the chambers are delimited by the hyperplanes  $C_i^{\perp}$ .



**Fig. 5.** The partition  $Int(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} \mathcal{A}_i$  (in gray).

The following two propositions correspond to [HM13, Theorem 3.3] in the case of surfaces.

**Proposition 3.7.** Assume Set-Up 3.4, let  $A_j$  be one of the polyhedral chambers given by Theorem 3.3, and  $g_j: Z \to S_j$  the associated birational morphism. If  $D \in \overline{A_j} \setminus A_j$  with associated morphisms  $\varphi_D: Z \to Y$ , then there exists a unique morphism  $f: S_j \to Y$  such that  $\varphi_D = f \circ g_j$ . Moreover, the morphism  $\varphi_D$  only depends on the face  $\mathcal{F}^r \subset \overline{A_j}$  such that D is in the relative interior of  $\mathcal{F}^r$ .

*Proof.* Set  $D_0 = D \in \overline{A_j} \setminus A_j$ , and pick  $D_1 \in A_j$ . Then for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ , we have  $D_t := tD_1 + (1-t)D_0 \in A_j$ . The curves contracted by  $g_j = \varphi_{D_t}$  are the curves *C* such that  $D_t \cdot C \leq 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1]$ , and so also for t = 0. Hence  $NE(\varphi_D) \subset NE(g_j)$ , and the Rigidity Lemma below gives the expected morphism  $f : S_j \to Y$ .

Finally, the curves contracted by f are the curves C on  $S_j$  such that  $(g_j)_*D \cdot C = 0$ , and these conditions on D define the minimal face  $\mathcal{F}^r$  containing D.

**Lemma 3.8** (Rigidity Lemma, see [Deb01, Proposition 1.14]). Let X, Y, Y' be projective varieties and let  $\pi : X \to Y, \pi' : X \to Y'$  be morphisms with connected fibers. If  $NE(\pi) \subset NE(\pi')$ , then there is a unique morphism  $f : Y \to Y'$  with connected fibers such that  $\pi' = f \circ \pi$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Assume Set-Up 3.4. Let j, k be two indices such that  $A_j \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $g_{j,k} : S_j \to S_k$  be the morphism (given by Proposition 3.7) such that  $g_k = g_{j,k} \circ g_j$ . Then the relative Picard number of  $g_{j,k} : S_j \to S_k$  is equal to the codimension of  $\overline{A_j} \cap \overline{A_k}$  in  $\overline{A_j}$ .

*Proof.* By definition there exist two partitions of the set of indices,  $I = I_j^+ \cup I_j^- = I_k^+ \cup I_k^-$ , such that

$$\mathcal{A}_j = \bigcap_{i \in I_j^+} C_i^> \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_j^-} C_i^\le \cap \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{A}_k = \bigcap_{i \in I_k^+} C_i^> \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_k^-} C_i^\le \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

The condition  $\overline{\mathcal{A}_j} \cap \mathcal{A}_k \neq \emptyset$  means that  $I_j^- \subsetneq I_k^-$ . Let  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_t\} = I_j^+ \cap I_k^-$ . Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_j} \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}_k} = \bigcap_{i \in I_k^+} C_i^{\geq} \cap \bigcap_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}} C_i^{\perp} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_j^-} C_i^{\leq} \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

The morphism  $g_{j,k}$  corresponds to the contraction of the classes  $C_{i_1}, \ldots, C_{i_t}$ , and t is by construction the codimension of  $\overline{A_j} \cap \overline{A_k}$  in  $\overline{A_j}$ .

# 3.2. Boundary of C

Assuming Set-Up 3.4, we define  $\partial^+ C$  as the intersection of C with the boundary of the pseudo-effective cone in  $N^1(Z)$ , or equivalently as the classes in C that are not big. If  $\rho = \rho(Z)$  is the Picard number of Z, then by assumption  $C^\circ$  is a cone of full dimension  $\rho$ , hence the affine section C is homeomorphic to a ball  $B^{\rho-1}$  and  $\partial^+ C$  is homeomorphic either to a ball  $B^{\rho-2}$ , or to a sphere  $S^{\rho-2}$ , depending on whether the pseudo-effective cone is contained in C or not. For instance the sphere situation arises if Z is del Pezzo, A = 0, and the initial collection of rank 1 fibrations  $S_i/B_i$  is the full (finite) collection of such fibrations dominated by Z.

Now we put a structure of polyhedral complex on  $\partial^+ C$ . We consider the set of chambers  $\mathcal{A}_j$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{A}_j} \cap \partial^+ C$  contains a codimension 1 face  $\mathcal{W}$  of the closed polytope  $\overline{\mathcal{A}_j}$ . We call such a face  $\mathcal{W}$  a window of  $\mathcal{A}_j$ . The collection of such  $\mathcal{W}$  defines a unique structure of polyhedral complex on  $\partial^+ C$  such that the  $\mathcal{W}$  are the maximal faces of the complex. More generally, we denote by  $\mathcal{F}^r$  a (closed) codimension r face in  $\partial^+ C$ . Here the codimension is taken relative to the ambient space  $N^1(Z)$ , in particular windows correspond to codimension 1 faces. We say that  $\mathcal{F}^r$  is an *inner* face if it intersects the relative interior of  $\partial^+ C$ . Equivalently,  $\mathcal{F}^r$  is inner if it can be written as the intersection of r windows.

For a window  $\mathcal{W}$  of the chamber  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , D in the relative interior of  $\mathcal{W}$ , and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the divisor  $D' := D - \varepsilon(K + A)$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , and the images  $S = \varphi_{D'}(Z)$  and  $B = \varphi_D(Z)$  correspond to a Mori fibration S/B that depends only on  $\mathcal{W}$  (and not on the particular choice of D or  $\varepsilon$ ).

We see that the codimension 1 faces in  $\partial^+ C$  are in bijection with the rank 1 fibrations  $S^1/B$  dominated by a (K + A)-negative map from Z, and such that  $S^1/B$  is (-K - A)-ample. More generally, we have:

**Proposition 3.10.** (1) Let  $\mathcal{F}^r$  be an inner codimension r face in the polyhedral complex  $\partial^+ \mathcal{C}$ . Then there exists a rank r fibration  $S^r / B$  such that

- the induced map Z → S<sup>r</sup> is equal to g<sub>j</sub> for some j ∈ {1,...,s}, in particular this is a (K + A)-negative birational morphism;
- the chamber  $\mathcal{A}_i$  associated with  $g_i$  satisfies  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i \supseteq \mathcal{F}^r$ .

# (2) If moreover $\mathcal{F}^{r'} \subset \mathcal{F}^r$ is a strictly smaller face, then the rank r' fibration associated to $\mathcal{F}^{r'}$ factorizes through the rank r fibration associated to $\mathcal{F}^r$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let *D* be a class in the relative interior of  $\mathcal{F}^r$ . By definition, there exists an ample class  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$  such that *D* lies in the segment  $[\Delta, K + A]$ . Moreover for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $D' = D - \varepsilon(K + A)$  lies in a chamber  $\mathcal{A}_j$  (in fact in the interior of  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , since K + A is negative against the exceptional curves  $C_i$ ), where *j* does not depend on *D* or  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $Z \to B$  be the morphism associated to *D*, and  $Z \to S = S_j$  the morphism associated to  $D - \varepsilon(K + A)$ . The induced morphism (Proposition 3.7)  $S \to B$  has connected fibers and contracts curves which are trivial against a divisor of the form  $K_S$  + ample, in particular  $-K_S$  is relatively ample. We deduce that S/B is a rank r' fibration for some  $r' \ge 1$ , and we need to show that r' = r. By running a relative MMP over *B*, we obtain a factorization of one of the following forms:

$$S = S^{r'} \to S^{r'-1} \to \dots \to S^1 \to B = \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ or pt}, \tag{\dagger}$$

$$S = S^{r'} \to S^{r'-1} \to \dots \to S^2 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \to B = \mathrm{pt}, \tag{\ddagger}$$

where each  $S^{i+1} \to S^i$  is the contraction of one exceptional curve. In case (†), by Proposition 3.9,  $\mathcal{A}_j$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{S^1}$  share a codimension r' - 1 face, and the intersection of this face with the codimension 1 face  $\mathcal{F}^1 \subset \partial^+ \mathcal{C}$  corresponding to  $S^1/B$  gives a codimension r' face  $\mathcal{F}^{r'}$ . The morphisms associated to  $\mathcal{F}^r \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}}_j$  and to  $\mathcal{F}^{r'} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}}_j$  are the same, equal to S/B, so  $\mathcal{F}^r = \mathcal{F}^{r'}$ , which gives r = r' as expected.

In case (‡),  $A_j$  and  $A_{S^2}$  share a codimension r' - 2 face  $\mathcal{F}^{r'-2}$ . Moreover the surface  $S^2$  is a Hirzebruch surface and a del Pezzo surface, so  $S^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_1$  or  $\mathbb{F}_0$ . If  $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ , then using the factorization  $\mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2 \to pt$  we are reduced to the previous case. Finally, if  $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_0$ , the face corresponding to the point is defined by  $D \cdot C_1 = 0$  and  $D \cdot C_2 = 0$  for  $C_1, C_2$  the two rulings of  $\mathbb{F}_0$ , hence it is a codimension 2 face in  $\partial^+ C$ . Intersecting with  $\mathcal{F}^{r'-2}$  we obtain a codimension r' face in  $\partial^+ C$  and conclude as in the previous case that r' = r.

(2) By the previous point, there exist chambers  $A_j$ ,  $A_{j'}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^r \subset \overline{A_j}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{r'} \subset \overline{A_{j'}}$ , with corresponding rank r and r' fibrations  $S_j/B$  and  $S_{j'}/B'$ . Moreover, the inclusions  $\mathcal{F}^{r'} \subset \mathcal{F}^r \subset \overline{A_j}$  imply that we also have a morphism  $S_j/B'$ , which induces a morphism B/B':



We need to show that the birational map  $S_{j'} \to S_j$  induced by the maps  $Z \to S_{j'}$  and  $Z \to S_j$  is a morphism. By the proof of the previous point, there exists an ample divisor  $\Delta'$  and real numbers  $t_0 > t_1 > 0$  such that  $(1 - t)\Delta' + t(K + A) \in A_{j'}$  for all  $t \in [t_0, t_1)$ , and  $D' = (1 - t_1)\Delta' + t_1(K + A) \in \mathcal{F}^{r'}$ . Since any ball around D' meets the

face  $\mathcal{F}^r$ , hence also the chamber  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , there exists a small perturbation  $\Delta$  of  $\Delta'$  such that

$$(1 - t_0)\Delta + t_0(K + A) \in A_{i'}$$
 and  $(1 - t_1)\Delta + t_1(K + A) \in A_{i'}$ 

As explained after Theorem 1.9, moving along the segment  $[\Delta, K+A]$  corresponds to running a (K + A)-MMP with scaling, and in particular this gives the expected birational morphism  $S_{j'} \rightarrow S_j$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** If the intersection  $W_i \cap W_j$  of two windows has codimension 1 in  $W_i$  (hence also in  $W_j$ ), then there is a Sarkisov link between the corresponding Mori fiber spaces.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.10, there exists a rank 2 fibration corresponding to the codimension 2 face  $\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{W}_i \cap \mathcal{W}_j$  that factorizes through the rank 1 fibrations associated respectively to  $\mathcal{W}_i$  and  $\mathcal{W}_j$ . This is exactly our definition of a Sarkisov link.

**Remark 3.12.** The above corollary corresponds to [Kal13, Lemma 3.17], but the situation for surfaces is simpler (see Figure 6). Let  $A_i$ ,  $A_j$  be the two chambers with windows  $W_i$ ,  $W_j$ , and  $S_i/B_i$ ,  $S_j/B_j$  the corresponding rank 1 fibrations. We write  $\mathcal{F}^2 = W_i \cap W_j$ . We distinguish three cases in terms of the codimension of the intersection  $\overline{A_i} \cap \overline{A_j}$ .

(a) If  $\overline{A_i} = \overline{A_j}$ , that is,  $W_i$  and  $W_j$  are two windows of the same chamber, then we have a link of type IV. In the case of a rational surface, the only possibility is the change of ruling on  $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ; the fibrations  $S_i/B_i$  and  $S_j/B_j$  correspond to the two rulings on  $\mathbb{F}_0$ , and the codimension 2 face  $\mathcal{F}^2$  to the rank 2 fibration  $\mathbb{F}_0/pt$ .

(b) If  $\overline{A_i}$  and  $\overline{A_j}$  share a codimension 1 face, then we have a link of type I or III. Up to reordering we can assume that we have a blow-up map  $S_j \to S_i$ . Then  $B_j = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $B_i = \text{pt}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^2$  corresponds to the rank 2 fibration  $S_j/\text{pt}$ .



Fig. 6. Adjacent windows and Sarkisov links.

(c) Otherwise, let  $S^2/B$  be the rank 2 fibration associated to  $\mathcal{F}^2$ , as given by Proposition 3.10. The chamber  $\mathcal{A}_{S^2}$  is distinct from  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_j$ , otherwise we would be in one of the previous two cases. Then  $\mathcal{A}_{S^2}$  shares a codimension 1 face with  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i$  and with  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_j$ , and we have a link of type II.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let  $\mathcal{F}^3$  be an inner codimension 3 face in  $\partial^+ C$ . Let  $S^3/B$  be the associated rank 3 fibration, as given by Proposition 3.10. Then the elementary relation associated to  $S^3/B$  corresponds to the finite collection of windows  $\mathcal{W}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_m$  containing  $\mathcal{F}^3$  in their closure, and ordered so that  $\mathcal{W}_j$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{j+1}$  share a codimension 1 face for all j (where indices are in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ).

The following two propositions correspond to the two assertions in Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 3.14.** Any birational map  $f: S \rightarrow S'$  between rank 1 fibrations is a composition of Sarkisov links, and in particular the complex X is connected.

*Proof.* We want to prove that two vertices in  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to two rank 1 fibrations are connected by a path. Let  $S_1/B_1$  and  $S_2/B_2$  be these two fibrations, and apply Set-Up 3.4 to this collection of two fibrations. Let  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  be ample divisors in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that for i = 1, 2, the fibration  $S_i/B_i$  corresponds to a (K + A)-negative map with scaling of  $\Delta_i$ . Up to a small perturbation of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ , we can assume that the 2-dimensional affine plane containing  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  and K + A intersects the faces of  $\partial^+ \mathcal{C}$  transversally. This means that the windows  $\mathcal{W}_1$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2$  corresponding to  $S_1/B_1$  and  $S_2/B_2$  are connected by a finite sequence of windows, where two successive windows share a codimension 1 face. By Corollary 3.11, this corresponds to a sequence of Sarkisov links, hence the expected path in the complex  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** Any relation between Sarkisov links is a composition of conjugates of elementary relations, and in particular the complex X is simply connected.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a loop in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Without loss in generality, we can assume that the image of  $\gamma$  lies in the 1-skeleton of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We can also assume that the loop visits only vertices of rank 1 or 2. Indeed, using elementary relations, that is, moving around the boundary of disks as provided by Proposition 2.6, we can avoid all vertices of rank 3. Moreover, we can assume that the base point is a vertex of rank 1,  $S_1/B_1$ . Now the sequence of vertices of rank 1 visited by the loop corresponds to a sequence  $S_1/B_1, S_2/B_2, \ldots, S_m/B_m = S_1/B_1$ , where for each *i*, the map from  $S_i/B_i$  to  $S_{i+1}/B_{i+1}$  is a Sarkisov link. Consider the surface Z and the complex C associated to this collection, as in Set-Up 3.4, and denote as above by  $\partial^+C$  the non-big boundary with its induced structure of polyhedral complex.

Since  $\partial^+ C$  is homeomorphic to a ball or a sphere of dimension  $\rho(Z) - 2 \ge 2$ , it is simply connected. Now we construct a 2-dimensional simplicial complex  $\mathcal{B}$  embedded in  $\partial^+ C$ , as follows. For each face  $\mathcal{F}^r \subset \partial^+ C$  of codimension r with  $3 \ge r \ge 1$ , we denote by  $p(\mathcal{F}^r)$  the barycenter of the vertices of  $\mathcal{F}^r$ . The 2-simplices of  $\mathcal{B}$  are defined as the convex hulls of the  $p(\mathcal{F}^r)$ , for each sequence of nested faces  $\mathcal{F}^3 \subset \mathcal{F}^2 \subset \mathcal{F}^1$ . If  $\mathcal{F}^3$  is an inner face (hence also all other faces of the sequence), we say that the corresponding simplex is an *inner simplex* of  $\mathcal{B}$ .



**Fig. 7.** The complexes  $\partial^+ C$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ .

The complex  $\mathcal{B}$  is homeomorphic to the barycentric subdivision of the 2-skeleton of the dual cell complex of  $\partial^+ \mathcal{C}$ , and so is also simply connected (recall that the 2-skeleton of a simply connected CW-complex is simply connected [Hat02, Corollary 4.12]).

The inner simplices form a subcomplex  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a deformation retract of the interior of  $\mathcal{B}$ : this follows from Lemma 3.16 below, with  $X = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $A = \mathcal{I}$  and C the boundary of  $\mathcal{B}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{I}$  is also simply connected. Moreover, by Proposition 2.6, each face  $\mathcal{F}^3$  of codimension 3 in  $\mathcal{I}$  is the center of a disk whose boundary corresponds to an elementary relation. By construction, the interiors of these disks are pairwise disjoint, and any triangle of  $\mathcal{I}$  belongs to one of the disks. In conclusion, we can perform the required homotopy of our initial loop to a constant loop inside this subcomplex of  $\mathcal{X}$  by using elementary relations.

**Lemma 3.16** ([Mun84, Lemma 70.1]). Let A be a full subcomplex of a finite simplicial complex X. Let C consist of all simplices of X that are disjoint from A. Then A is a deformation retract of  $X \setminus C$ .

# 4. Elementary generators

#### 4.1. Definition

As in the previous section, we consider a path of Sarkisov links

$$(S_0/B_0,\varphi_0),\ldots,(S_n/B_n,\varphi_n),$$

that is, for each  $0 \le i \le n - 1$ , there is a Sarkisov link  $g_i : S_i/B_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/B_{i+1}$ . If in such a path  $S_0$ ,  $S_n$  are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , but no intermediate vertex  $S_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we say that  $\varphi_n g_{n-1} \cdots g_1 g_0 \varphi_0^{-1} \in \operatorname{Bir}_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is an *elementary generator*.

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  a choice of representatives of elementary generators in  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , up to right and left composition with elements of  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . The Sarkisov program in dimension 2 directly implies

**Proposition 4.1.** Any  $f \in Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is a composition of elementary generators, up to an automorphism. In particular,  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \langle Aut_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), \mathcal{E} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1(1), there exists a sequence of Sarkisov links decomposing f. Then we can cut this sequence at each intermediate surface isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.2.** The set  $\mathcal{E}$  contains the list of generators given in [IKT93], which contains all Jonquières transformations. The set  $\mathcal{E}$  is really huge: over an algebraically closed field, in fact every element of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{k}(\mathbb{P}^{2})$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$ , which does not seem a very clever choice of generators. However, when working over a non-closed field, and since we never try to work with an explicit presentation of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{k}(\mathbb{P}^{2})$  by generators and relations, the immensity of  $\mathcal{E}$  is not a drawback.

In the following sections we study two particular examples of elementary generators.

#### 4.2. Bertini involutions

Let  $p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_8\} \in \mathbb{P}^2$  be a point of degree 8. We say that p is *general*, or equivalently that the  $p_i$  are *in general position*, if the blow-up of p is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1, that is, no line (defined over  $\mathbf{k}^a$ ) contains three of the  $p_i$ , no conic contains six of them, and no cubic is singular at one of them and contains all the others.

Let *S* be the surface obtained by blowing up such a general point *p* of degree 8. Then *S* is a rank 2 fibration with exactly two outgoing arrows, and there exists another contraction  $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$  that fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\mathbb{P}^2 - - - - - \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2
\end{array}$$
(3)

where *b* is a Bertini involution (this link is denoted  $\chi_8$  in [IKT93]). Recall that geometrically, *b* is defined as follows. Since *p* is general, the linear system  $\Gamma$  of cubics through *p* is a pencil whose general member is smooth. The base locus of the pencil is equal to  $p \cup q$ , where *q* is a point of degree 1. For  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  a general point, the unique member of  $\Gamma$  through *x* is a smooth cubic that we can see as an elliptic curve with neutral element *q*. Then b(x) = -x, where -x means the opposite of *x* with respect to the group law on the elliptic curve.

In particular, such a link *b* is an elementary generator as defined above. Now a crucial but easy observation is

**Lemma 4.3.** In diagram (3), each contraction  $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$  corresponds to an edge in  $\mathcal{X}$  which is not contained in any square.

*Proof.* If  $S/\text{pt} \to \mathbb{P}^2/\text{pt}$  corresponds to an edge of a square, we would have a rank 3 fibration S'/pt that factorizes through S/pt. But such a surface S' would be a del Pezzo surface, and would be a blow-up of S which is already a del Pezzo surface of degree 1; a contradiction.

Up to changing the initial choice of representatives  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can assume that a representative of a Bertini involution is an involution. We denote by  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  the subset of representatives of Bertini involutions.

**Example 4.4.** Since the above construction relies on the existence of a Galois extension of degree 8, we recall a few examples of such extensions:

(1)  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})/\mathbf{Q}$  is Galois with Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^3$ . This is the splitting field of  $(X^2 - 2)(X^2 - 3)(X^2 - 5)$ .

(2) The cyclotomic extension  $\mathbf{Q}(e^{2i\pi/15})/\mathbf{Q}$  has degree  $\varphi(15) = 8$  and Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z})^* \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ . This is the splitting field of the 15th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{15}(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$  [Mor96, Corollary 7.8].

(3)  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)/\mathbf{Q}$  is Galois with Galois group isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_8$ . This is the splitting field of  $(X^4 - 2)(X^2 + 1)$ . Generators for the Galois group are *r*, *s* where

$$r(\sqrt[4]{2}) = i\sqrt[4]{2}, r(i) = i$$
 and  $s(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \sqrt[4]{2}, s(i) = -i.$ 

(4) Let  $\alpha = e^{i\pi/4}$ , and set  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$ . Then pick  $\beta \in \mathbf{k}$  which is not a square in  $\mathbf{k}$ ; for instance  $\beta = 3$  is a possible choice, but  $\beta = 2$  is not because  $\alpha + \alpha^7 = \sqrt{2}$ . Then  $\mathbf{k}(\sqrt[8]{\beta})/\mathbf{k}$  is a cyclic extension of degree 8, that is, Galois with Galois group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z}$  [Mor96, Corollary 9.6].

(5)  $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)/\mathbf{Q}$  with  $\theta^2 = (2 + \sqrt{2})(2 + \sqrt{3})(3 + \sqrt{6})$  is Galois with Galois group isomorphic to the quaternionic group. This is the splitting field of  $X^8 - 72X^6 + 180X^4 - 144X^2 + 36$  [Dea81].

(6) Let  $\mathbf{F}_q$  be a finite field, and  $\mathbf{F}_{q^n}/\mathbf{F}_q$  the (essentially unique) extension of degree *n* (in particular one can take n = 8). Then this extension is Galois, with Galois group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $x \mapsto x^q$  [Mor96, Corollary 6.7].

Now we turn to the problem of proving that the set  $\mathcal{B}$  is large, that is, there exist many Bertini involutions, even modulo the action of PGL<sub>3</sub>(**k**). We shall produce points of degree 8 in general position by using nodal cubics. The following set-up for the group structure on the smooth locus of a nodal cubic is classical: see for instance [Sil09, §III.2, Proposition 2.5], and also Remark 4.8. However, since we want to work over a perfect field (typically non-algebraically-closed), we find it convenient to make a slightly different choice of normal form.

**Set-Up 4.5.** Consider the plane nodal cubic curve  $C_P$  defined over **k**, given by the equation

$$xyz = P(x, z),$$

where  $P(x, z) = c_0 x^3 - c_0 z^3$ ,  $c_0 \in \mathbf{k}^*$ . In the affine chart z = 1, the equation becomes

$$y = \frac{P(x, 1)}{x} \quad \left(=\frac{c_0 x^3 - c_0}{x}\right).$$

Observe also that the singular point [0:1:0] is the only intersection point between  $C_P$  and the line at infinity z = 0.

We shall be interested in nodal cubics up to the action of  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$ , and we shall use the above set-up as a normal form. Observe that we cannot assume  $c_0 = 1$  even after applying a diagonal element of  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$ , because  $c_0$  might not be a cube in  $\mathbf{k}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be an irreducible nodal cubic with singular point at [0:1:0], and tangent cone at this point given by xz = 0. Then C admits an equation of the form

$$xyz = c_0x^3 + c_1x^2z + c_2xz^2 + c_3z^3$$
,  $c_0, c_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{k}$ ,

and C is equivalent under the action of PGL<sub>3</sub>( $\mathbf{k}$ ) to a cubic from Set-Up 4.5 if and only if  $-c_0/c_3$  is a cube in  $\mathbf{k}$ .

*Proof.* The assumption on the singular point implies that C admits an equation of the form xyz = P(x, z) with P a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3. Moreover  $P(0, 1) \neq 0$  and  $P(1, 0) \neq 0$ , otherwise C would be reducible. So  $P(x, z) = c_0x^3 + c_1x^2z + c_2xz^2 + c_3z^3$  with  $c_0, c_3 \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , and no condition on  $c_1, c_2$ .

By applying  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y + c_1x + c_2z, z)$  we can assume  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Then, if  $-c_0/c_3 = a^3$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , by applying  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, a^{-1}y, az)$  we get  $c_0 = -c_3$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Assume Set-Up 4.5, and consider a collection of three or six pairwise distinct  $a_i \in \mathbf{k}^*$ . Then:

- (1) The points  $p_i = (a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i) \in C_P$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are on the same line if and only if  $a_1a_2a_3 = 1$ .
- (2) The points  $p_i = (a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i) \in C_P$ , i = 1, ..., 6, are on the same conic if and only if  $a_1 \dots a_6 = 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) The general equation of a line that does not intersect the cubic  $C_P$  at infinity is y + Ax + B = 0. If the  $p_i$  are on the same line, then inserting y = P(x, 1)/x in the equation of the line we get

$$P(x, 1) + Ax^{2} + Bx = c_{0}(x - a_{1})(x - a_{2})(x - a_{3}),$$

hence, by comparing the constant terms and dividing by  $-c_0$ , we get  $1 = a_1a_2a_3$  as expected. Conversely, if  $a_1a_2a_3 = 1$ , then the above relation allows us to define A, B in function of the  $a_i$  such that the line y + Ax + B = 0 contains the three points  $p_i$ .

(2) The proof is similar, by working with the general equation of a conic that does not intersect the cubic at infinity:

$$y^2 + Ayx + By + Cx^2 + Dx + E = 0.$$

Setting y = P(x, 1)/x we get

$$P(x, 1)^{2} + Ax^{2}P(x, 1) + BxP(x, 1) + Cx^{4} + Dx^{3} + Ex^{2}$$
$$= c_{0}^{2}x^{6} + \dots + c_{0}^{2} = c_{0}^{2}\prod_{i=1}^{6}(x - a_{i}),$$

and we conclude the proof as in the previous case.

**Remark 4.8.** On the smooth locus  $C^{sm}$  of a nodal cubic *C*, with a choice of *e* a flex point, recall that there exists a group law defined similarly to the case of an elliptic curve. Given  $p, q \in C^{sm}$ , define  $p \circ q$  as the third point of intersection of *C* with the line through *p* and *q* (or the tangent by *p* if p = q). Then by setting  $p \cdot q := (p \circ q) \circ e$ ,

we get a group law  $\cdot$  with neutral element *e*. The previous lemma shows that in the case of  $C_P$  given by Set-Up 4.5, where one can check that e = (1, 0) is a flex point, the map  $x \mapsto (x, P(x, 1)/x)$  is a group morphism from  $\mathbf{k}^*$  to  $C_p^{\text{sm}}$ .

We shall need the following result about singular fibers of a pencil of cubic curves:

**Lemma 4.9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a pencil of plane cubic curves. Then  $\Gamma$  contains at most 12 nodal cubics.

*Proof.* Consider the surface S obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at the nine base points of the pencil. Then S admits an elliptic fibration and has Euler number  $c_2(S) = 12$ , which by Ogg's formula is equal to the sum over the singular fibers of the valuation  $v(\Delta)$  of the minimal discriminant. Independently of the characteristic of the base field, each nodal cubic contributes 1 to this sum, hence the result (see e.g. [Lie13, §5.3]). 

Now we apply this set-up to the case of a field which admits a Galois extension of degree 8.

**Proposition 4.10.** Assume Set-Up 4.5. Let L/k be a Galois field extension of degree 8,  $b_1, \ldots, b_8 \in \mathbf{L}$  be an orbit under  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{k})$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ . Set  $a_i = \lambda b_i$ , so that  $a_1, \ldots, a_8$  $\in \mathbf{L}$  is also a Galois orbit. Then, except for at most six values of  $\lambda$ , the points  $p_i = 1$  $(a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i) \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  are in general position.

Before giving the proof we establish a corollary.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let **k** be an infinite field that admits at least one Galois extension of degree 8. Then the set  $\mathcal{B}$  of representatives of Bertini involutions up to conjugacy by  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$  has at least the same cardinality as the field  $\mathbf{k}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_1, \ldots, a_8$  is a Galois orbit such that the points  $p_i$ =  $(a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i)$  are in general position. It is sufficient to prove that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$ except finitely many, the points  $q_i = (\lambda a_i, P(\lambda a_i, 1)/(\lambda a_i))$  are also in general position and are not equivalent to the  $p_i$  under the action of PGL<sub>3</sub>(**k**). First by Proposition 4.10, by avoiding six values of  $\lambda$  we can assume that the  $q_i$  are in general position. Assume that  $g \in PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$  sends the  $p_i$  to the  $q_i$ . By assumption the nodal cubic  $C_P$  from Set-Up 4.5 contains both the  $p_i$  and the  $q_i$ . Then  $g^{-1}(C_P)$  is a nodal cubic through the  $p_i$ , and by Lemma 4.9 there are at most 12 of them. Moreover, a given nodal cubic is stabilized by finitely many elements of  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$ , hence the result. П

We separate the proof of Proposition 4.10 into the next three lemmas, where we always assume Set-Up 4.5.

**Lemma 4.12.** There is no singular irreducible cubic passing through all the  $p_i$ , with one of them the double point.

*Proof.* If C is such a cubic, and  $C' = \sigma(C)$  is the image of C under a non-trivial element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{L}/\mathbf{k})$ , then  $C \cdot C' = 2 + 2 + \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{6} = 10$ , a contradiction. 

# **Lemma 4.13.** No three points among the $p_i = (a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i)$ lie on a line.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, and denote by L a line containing three of the  $p_i$ . Since the  $p_i$  lie on a nodal cubic, the line L contains exactly three points among the  $p_i$ . Then taking the Galois orbit of L we obtain a configuration of eight lines, each line containing three of the  $p_i$ , and with three lines through each  $p_i$ . This is the classical Möbius–Kantor configuration  $8_3$  (see Figure 8), where seven of the lines are represented as lines of the plane, and the label of a vertex indicates the first coordinate of the corresponding point in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . More precisely, a, b, c are among the  $a_i$ , and the other labels are expressed in terms of a, b, c using Lemma 4.7.



Fig. 8. The Möbius–Kantor configuration 83.

Multiplying the labels of the bottom line we find  $c^3 = 1$ . But a cubic root of unity lives either in **k** or in a quadratic extension of **k**, in contradiction with the assumption that *c*, being any one of the  $a_i$ , should satisfy  $\mathbf{k}(c) = \mathbf{L}$ .

**Lemma 4.14.** Except for at most six values of  $\lambda$ , any six points among the points  $p_i$  associated to the orbit  $\lambda b_i$  are not on a conic.

*Proof.* Assume *C* is a conic through six of the  $p_i$ . Since the  $p_i$  lie on the cubic  $C_P$ , the Bézout Theorem implies that the remaining two points  $p_i$  are not on the conic *C*. Consider  $\sigma$  in the Galois group Gal(**L**/**k**). Then either  $\sigma(C)$  is equal to *C*, or it shares exactly four intersection points with *C*. The only possibility is that the Galois orbit of *C* consists of four conics, and we can group the  $p_i$  into four pairs such that each conic passes through three of the four pairs of points. Then the combinatorics is a configuration 4<sub>3</sub>: through each pair pass three conics, and each conic contains three pairs of points. Denote by  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4$  the product of the first coordinates of each pair of points. By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 = 1, \quad \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_4 = 1, \\ \pi_1 \pi_3 \pi_4 = 1, \quad \pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_4 = 1.$$

It follows that  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi_3 = \pi_4$ , and then  $\pi_i^3 = 1$  for all *i*. Finally, pick an element  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$  such that  $\lambda^6 \neq 1$ . Now if we replace  $a_i$  by  $\lambda a_i$ , and the corresponding points on  $C_P$  are still in non-general position, then the same argument gives

$$\lambda^6 = \lambda^6 \pi_i^3 = (\lambda a_i \tau (\lambda a_i))^3 = 1,$$

a contradiction. We conclude that if there is a bad point of degree 8 associated to an orbit  $a_i$ , then for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$  except maybe the 6th roots of unity, the point of degree 8 associated with the orbit  $\lambda a_i$  is in general position with respect to conics.

In the case of finite fields, for small cardinalities the previous statements are empty, and anyway for arbitrary cardinalities one would like to estimate the cardinality of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We adapt the previous argument as follows.

**Lemma 4.15.** Assume Set-Up 4.5 for a finite field  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{F}_q$ . Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_8 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^8}$  be an orbit under  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{q^8}/\mathbf{F}_q)$ , and assume that the points  $p_i = (a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i) \in \mathbb{A}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  are in non-general position. For  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}^*$ , set  $b_i = \beta^{q^{i-1}}a_i$ , so that  $b_1, \ldots, b_8 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^8}$  is also a Galois orbit. If  $\beta \notin \{x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}^*; x^6 = 1, x^2 \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$ , then the points  $q_i = (b_i, P(b_i, 1)/b_i)$  are in general position.

*Proof.* Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13 are valid for any  $\beta$ , so the delicate point is only the general position with respect to conics. With the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.14, since six among the  $p_i$  lie on a conic C, we find that the products  $\pi_i$  are all equal to the same third root of unity. Now we use the fact that over a finite field,  $\tau : x \mapsto x^{q^4}$  is the only element of order 2 in Gal( $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}/\mathbf{F}_q$ ). In particular,  $\tau$  is the element of order 2 that fixes C. It also fixes all conjugates of C and hence interchanges the two elements in each pair of  $p_i$ . Then the product  $\pi_i$  is invariant under the Galois group Gal( $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}/\mathbf{F}_q$ ), so we have  $\pi_i \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . Pick  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4} \setminus \{x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}^*; x^6 = 1, x^2 \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$ , and replace the orbit of  $a_1$  by the orbit of  $b_1 = \beta a_1$ , which still has cardinality 8. Then  $\pi_1 \in \mathbf{F}_q$  is replaced by  $\beta^2 \pi_1$ , which either is not a third root of the unity anymore, or is not an element of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Thus for each such choice of  $\beta$  the points  $q_i = (b_i, P(b_i, 1)/b_i)$  are in general position with respect to conics.

**Lemma 4.16.** Let x, x' be two conjugate elements in  $\mathbf{F}_{q^8} \setminus \mathbf{F}_{q^4}$  that are also in the same orbit under the action of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^4}^*$  by left multiplication. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) x is a generator of  $\mathbf{F}_{a^8}$ ;

(ii) q = 2.

Then x = x'.

*Proof.* Assume  $x \neq x'$ . We have  $x' = x^{q^i}$  for some  $1 \le i \le 7$ , and  $x'/x = x^{q^i-1} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}^*$ . In particular  $x^{(q^i-1)(q^4-1)} = 1$ , so that  $(q^i-1)(q^4-1)$  is a non-zero multiple of order(x). If x is a generator of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}$ , we get  $(q^i-1)(q^4-1) = d(q^8-1)$  for some d > 0. This

If x is a generator of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}$ , we get  $(q^i - 1)(q^4 - 1) = d(q^8 - 1)$  for some d > 0. This implies  $5 \le i \le 7$ , and reducing modulo  $q^4$  we find  $d \equiv -1 \mod q^4$ , hence  $d \ge q^4 - 1$ , which gives a contradiction.

If q = 2, the group  $\mathbf{F}_{28}^*$  is cyclic of order  $255 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$ . Observe that an element  $x \in \mathbf{F}_{28}^*$  is in  $\mathbf{F}_{28} \setminus \mathbf{F}_{24}$  if and only if  $\operatorname{order}(x)$  is a multiple of 17 (namely, the possibilities are 17, 51, 85 and 255). Then one checks that for  $1 \le j \le 7$ ,  $2^j - 1$  is not a multiple of 17, which gives the expected contradiction.

**Proposition 4.17.** Let  $\mathbf{F}_q$  be a finite field. Then the number of Bertini involutions with a base point of degree 8, up to conjugacy by  $PGL_3(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , is at least  $M_q$ , where  $M_2 = 2$ ,  $M_3 = 12$ , and for  $q \ge 4$ ,

$$M_q = \frac{1}{640}(q^6 - 1).$$

In particular,  $M_q \ge q$  for all  $q \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* First we count the number of nodal cubics equivalent to the ones from Set-Up 4.5.

We need to choose a point in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{F}_q)$ , and then two distinct lines defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  through this point. The number of such choices is

$$N_1 = (q^2 + q + 1)q(q + 1)/2.$$

Using the action of PGL<sub>3</sub>( $\mathbf{F}_q$ ), we can assume that the two lines are x = 0 and z = 0, and by Lemma 4.6 we need to count nodal cubics with equation of the form

$$xyz = c_0 x^3 + c_1 x^2 z + c_2 x z^2 + c_3 z^3, \quad c_0, c_3 \in \mathbf{F}_q^*, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{F}_q,$$

and with  $-c_0/c_3$  a cube in  $\mathbf{F}_a$ . The number of choices is at least

$$N_2 = (q-1)^2 q^2 / 3.$$

In fact, if 3 does not divide q - 1, then any element of  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is a cube, and we do not need to divide by 3 in the above formula (we shall use this remark below, for q = 2 or 3).

Consider a Galois orbit  $a_1, \ldots, a_8$ , and the associated points  $p_i = (a_i, P(a_i, 1)/a_i)$ . If the  $p_i$  are in non-general position, then Lemma 4.15 says that by multiplying by  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}^* \setminus \{x; x^6 = 1, x^2 \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$  we can produce Galois orbits in general position. Moreover, if  $a_i$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}^*$ , by Lemma 4.16 these orbits are pairwise disjoint. The number of such orbits in general position is bounded from below by the rational  $(q^4 - 7)/(q^4 - 1)$ , which is greater than 9/10 for  $q \ge 3$ . In fact, for q = 2 the only third root of unity with square in  $\mathbf{F}_2$  is 1, so again we get the ratio  $(2^4 - 2)/(2^4 - 1) > 9/10$ .

The number of generators for  $\mathbf{F}_{q^8}^*$  is equal to  $\varphi(q^8 - 1)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler function. We have the following lower bound [RS62, Theorem 15]:

$$\varphi(n) \ge \frac{n}{e^{\gamma} \log(\log n) + \frac{3}{\log(\log n)}}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant. One can check that for  $q \ge 3$ , this implies  $\varphi(q^8 - 1) \ge q^6 - 1$ . So we get at least

$$N_3 = \frac{9}{10} \, \frac{q^6 - 1}{8}$$

Galois orbits of cardinality 8 in general position on a given nodal cubic. Finally, by Lemma 4.9, a given orbit belongs to at most 12 nodal cubics, and we also have to mod out by the action of  $PGL_3(\mathbf{F}_a)$  whose cardinality is

$$|\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \frac{1}{q-1}(q^3-1)(q^3-q)(q^3-q^2) = q^3(q^3-1)(q^2-1).$$

Finally,

$$M_q = \frac{N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot N_3}{12|\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{F}_q)|} = \frac{9}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 80 \cdot 12} \frac{(q^2 + q + 1)q(q + 1) \cdot (q - 1)^2 q^2 \cdot (q^6 - 1)}{q^3 (q^3 - 1)(q^2 - 1)} = \frac{1}{640} (q^6 - 1).$$

For q = 3, we do not need the 3 in the denominator of  $N_2$ , and we can replace the coarse estimate  $3^6 - 1$  in the formula for  $N_3$  by the exact number  $\varphi(3^8 - 1) = 2560$ , so that we get the better bound

$$M_3 = \frac{9 \cdot 2560}{2 \cdot 80 \cdot 12} \frac{(3^2 + 3 + 1)3(3 + 1) \cdot (3 - 1)^2 3^2}{3^3 (3^3 - 1)(3^2 - 1)} = 12.$$

Finally, for q = 2, by Lemma 4.16 we can use all 240 elements of  $\mathbf{F}_{2^8} \setminus \mathbf{F}_{2^4}$  in the estimate for  $N_3$ , and not only the generators of  $\mathbf{F}_{2^8}^*$ . Moreover, as above we can discard the 3 in the denominator of  $N_2$ , and we find

$$N_1 = 21, \quad N_2 = 4, \quad N_3 = 27, \quad |\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{F}_2)| = 168,$$

and

$$M_2 = \frac{21 \cdot 4 \cdot 27}{12 \cdot 168} = \frac{9}{8} > 1,$$

which we can round up to  $M_2 = 2$ .

### 4.3. Jonquières maps

Let **k** be any field, and  $n \ge 2$  any dimension. We define the *Jonquières subgroup*  $J \subset Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  as the subgroup isomorphic to  $PGL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, \ldots, x_n))$ , via the choice of an affine chart  $\mathbb{A}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and the formula

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \dashrightarrow \left(\frac{A(x_2,\ldots,x_n)x_1+B(x_2,\ldots,x_n)}{C(x_2,\ldots,x_n)x_1+D(x_2,\ldots,x_n)}, x_2,\ldots,x_n\right).$$

Recall that a group *G* is called *perfect* if it is equal to its commutator subgroup  $G^{(1)}$ . We define the special Jonquières subgroup as the commutator subgroup of *J*; this is a group isomorphic to  $PSL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, ..., x_n))$ . Let *G* be the subgroup of  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  generated by the special Jonquières subgroup  $J^{(1)}$  and  $Aut_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^n)^{(1)} = PSL_{n+1}(\mathbf{k})$ .

**Proposition 4.18.** (1) If  $g \neq id$  is an element in the special Jonquières subgroup or in  $PSL_n(\mathbf{k})$ , then the normal subgroup  $\ll g \gg$  generated by g in G is equal to G;

(2) *G* is a perfect group.

*Proof.* (1) The groups  $PSL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, ..., x_n))$  and  $PSL_{n+1}(\mathbf{k})$  are simple (recall that we assume  $n \ge 2$ , so we avoid the non-simple groups  $PSL_2(\mathbf{F}_2)$  and  $PSL_2(\mathbf{F}_3)$ ), and they have a non-trivial intersection, as they both contain for instance the translation  $(x_1 + 1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ . From these facts it follows that  $\ll g \gg$  contains both  $PSL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, ..., x_n))$  and  $PSL_{n+1}(\mathbf{k})$ , hence G.

(2) follows from the fact that  $PSL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, ..., x_n))$  and  $PSL_{n+1}(\mathbf{k})$  are both perfect groups (being simple and non-abelian).

- **Corollary 4.19.** (1) If  $\varphi$  : Bir<sub>k</sub>( $\mathbb{P}^n$ )  $\rightarrow$  *A* is a morphism to an abelian group *A*, then  $G = \langle PSL_2(\mathbf{k}(x_2, \ldots, x_n)), PSL_{n+1}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle \subset \ker \varphi$ .
- (2) If a morphism  $\varphi$ : Bir<sub>k</sub>( $\mathbb{P}^n$ )  $\rightarrow$  H sends a non-trivial element  $g \in G$  to  $1_H$ , then  $G \subset \ker \varphi$ .

Now we come back to the case of dimension 2. An equivalent definition of Jonquières map is that  $f \in Bir(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$  is Jonquières if it admits a base point q of degree 1 and f preserves a general member of the pencil of lines through q. For instance a quadratic map with one base point of degree 1 and one base point of degree 2 is Jonquières, but a quadratic map with a unique base point of degree 3 is not Jonquières. With the identification  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2$  $\mapsto [x : y : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ , a Jonquières map is written as  $(x, y) \vdash \rightarrow \left(\frac{A(y)x+B(y)}{C(y)x+D(y)}, y\right)$  and admits the degree 1 point q = [1 : 0 : 0] as a base point. Over a perfect field **k** one can factorize such a map into Sarkisov links by first blowing up q to get a surface  $\mathbb{F}_1$ , then performing a sequence of type II links over  $\mathbb{P}^1$  between Hirzebruch surfaces, and a last contraction to come back to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . In particular, any Jonquières map f is an elementary generator, so that  $\mathcal{E}$  contains a representative equivalent to f.

Recall that by the Noether–Castelnuovo Theorem, over an algebraically closed field **k** the Jonquières group J and the automorphism group  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$  generate the Cremona group  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . In this context Corollary 4.19 implies that J and  $PGL_3(\mathbf{k})$  embed into any non-trivial quotient of  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , in particular such a quotient cannot be finite, nor abelian. Observe also that there exists some non-algebraically-closed field **k** such that  $Bir(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbf{k}}) = \langle J, PGL_3(\mathbf{k}) \rangle$ , so that the same remark applies: by [Isk91], it is sufficient that **k** does not admit any extension of degree  $\leq 8$ . For instance, one can take **k** to be the composite (which in this case is also the union) of all towers of extensions of **Q** of degree at most 8.

Finally, note that a Jonquières map can have base points of arbitrary degree, and in particular of degree 8. For instance, over a suitable field  $\mathbf{k}$  let  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^2$  be points of respective degrees 8 and 1. Then blowing up the point q, and performing an elementary link from  $\mathbb{F}_1$  to  $\mathbb{F}_1$  by blowing up p and contracting the orbit of eight fibers through p, we get a Jonquières map  $j \in \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , which we can choose to be an involution (up to composing with an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ). On the other hand, by blowing up only p, we construct a Bertini involution which has nothing to do with j. In fact, it follows from Theorem A that b and j generate an infinite dihedral group  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} * \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ .

#### 5. Amalgamated structure and morphism to a free product

#### 5.1. Bass–Serre tree of an amalgam

Our reference for this section is [Ser03]. Let G be a group, A a subgroup, and  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$  a collection of (proper) subgroups generating G and such that  $A \subset G_i$  for all i.

One constructs a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  on which G acts as follows. The vertices are the left cosets gA and  $gG_i$  in G/A and  $G/G_i$ . For each  $g \in G$  and each  $i \in I$ , there is an edge between the vertices gA and  $gG_i$ . The group G acts on the resulting graph  $\mathcal{G}$  by

$$f \cdot gA := (fg)A, \quad f \cdot gG_i := (fg)G_i.$$

One says that *G* is the *amalgamated product* of the  $G_i$  over *A*, denoted  $G = *_A G_i$ , if it satisfies the following universal property: for any group *H*, and any collection of morphisms  $\varphi_i : G_i \to H$  that coincide on *A*, there exists a (necessarily unique) morphism  $\varphi : G \to H$  that extends all the  $\varphi_i$ . In this case, one can show that  $A = G_i \cap G_j$  for all  $i \neq j$  (see [Ser03, Remark after Theorem 1, p. 3]).



Fig. 9. Fundamental domain for the Bass–Serre tree of the amalgam  $*_A G_i$ .

Recall that a *star graph* is a tree of diameter 2. We define the *central vertex* to be the unique center of a star graph, and *peripheral vertices* the other vertices. When the group G is the amalgamated product of the  $G_i$  over A then the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is a tree, and a fundamental domain with respect to the action of G is the star graph pictured in Figure 9, where we label each vertex by its stabilizer. Conversely, we have the following basic result from Bass–Serre Theory:

**Theorem 5.1** ([Ser03, §4, Theorem 10]). With the notation above, suppose that G acts on a tree T with fundamental domain as in Figure 9 so that

- A is the stabilizer of the central vertex in the fundamental domain,
- the G<sub>i</sub> are the stabilizers of the peripheral vertices in the fundamental domain.

Then  $G = *_A G_i$  is the amalgamated product of the  $G_i$  along A, and the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  constructed above is isometric to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Remark 5.2.** If there are only two subgroups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with intersection  $A = G_1 \cap G_2$ , it is customary to remove the vertices of valence 2 corresponding to the left cosets modulo A, and to view the fundamental domain a single edge with the following stabilizers:

$$G_1 \quad A \quad G_2$$

#### 5.2. Subcomplexes

First we define two subcomplexes of the complex  $\mathcal{X}$  constructed in §2.2. Recall that we say that a vertex in  $\mathcal{X}$  has type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  if it is of the form  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Bir}_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{X}$  be the subgraph whose edges correspond to blow-ups of degree 8 points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . If  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we denote by  $\eta_b \colon S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$  the blow-up of the base point of degree 8 of *b*. In particular, for any  $\varphi \in \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  and any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , we obtain the following two edges in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ :

$$(\mathbb{P}^{2},\varphi) = (\mathbb{P}^{2},\varphi\alpha) \xrightarrow{\eta_{b}} (S_{b},\varphi\alpha\eta_{b}) \xrightarrow{(\mathbb{P}^{2},\varphi\alpha b)} = (\mathbb{P}^{2},\varphi\alpha b\alpha^{-1})$$

Conversely, any edge in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  has this form, and any two vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at distance 2 in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  differ by a Bertini involution  $\alpha b \alpha^{-1}$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ .

We define another subcomplex  $\mathcal{X}_e \subset \mathcal{X}$ , by taking the closure of the complement of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** The intersection of the two subcomplexes  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $X_e$  is exactly the set of vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ :

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{X}_{e} = \{ (\mathbb{P}^{2}, \varphi); \ \varphi \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^{2}) \}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 4.3 states that an edge in  $\mathcal{X}$  corresponding to the blow-up of a point in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree 8 is not contained in any square. Therefore,  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{X}_e$  contains only vertices. Now as observed before, there are two types of vertices in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . A vertex of the form  $(S_b, \varphi \alpha \eta_b)$  belongs to exactly two edges of  $\mathcal{X}$ , which by definition are edges of the graph  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , therefore such a vertex does not belong to  $\mathcal{X}_e$ . On the other hand, any vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  belongs to edges from both  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_e$ , associated to blow-ups of points of respective degrees 8 or distinct from 8.

We denote respectively  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{e}^{\circ} \subset \mathcal{X}_{e}$  the connected components containing  $(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathrm{id})$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** (1) Both  $\mathcal{X}_e$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  are invariant under the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . (2) The graph  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^\circ$  is a tree.

*Proof.* (1) An edge in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the form  $(S, \varphi\eta) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$  for some  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  and  $\eta$  a blow-up of a point of degree 8. Now  $g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  sends this edge to  $(S, g\varphi\eta) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, g\varphi)$ , which is again an edge of the same form, hence in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is invariant under the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , thus the same is true for the closure of its complement.

(2) Assume that the graph  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is not a tree. Then there exists a sequence of edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  in  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  that form an embedded loop. Recall that by Proposition 3.15 the complex  $\mathcal{X}$  is simply connected. By collapsing in  $\mathcal{X}$  all edges of this loop except  $e_1$ , we obtain a space which is still simply connected, and which is the connected sum of a circle (corresponding to  $e_1$ ) and another space. By the van Kampen theorem such a space should have fundamental group of the form  $\mathbb{Z} * G$  for some G, a contradiction.

We recall the following definitions of subgroups of  $Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  that were given in the introduction:

$$G_e := \langle \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{B} \rangle, \quad G_b := \langle \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), b \rangle, \ b \in \mathcal{B}, \quad G_{\mathcal{B}} := \langle \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), \mathcal{B} \rangle.$$

Observe that  $G_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle G_b; b \in \mathcal{B} \rangle$ .

# **Lemma 5.5.** Let $g \in Bir_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . Then

(1)  $g(\mathcal{X}_{e}^{\circ}) = \mathcal{X}_{e}^{\circ}$  if and only if  $g \in G_{e}$ ; (2)  $g(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}) = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}$  if and only if  $g \in G_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $g \in \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  be such that  $g(\mathcal{X}_e^\circ) = \mathcal{X}_e^\circ$ , and in particular  $g \cdot (\mathbb{P}^2, \text{id}) = (\mathbb{P}^2, g) \in \mathcal{X}_e^\circ$ . Pick a path  $\gamma$  of edges connecting  $(\mathbb{P}^2, g)$  and  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \text{id})$  inside  $\mathcal{X}_e^\circ$ . By Lemma 5.3, the path  $\gamma$  does not involve any edge from  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . By cutting at each intermediate vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we write  $\gamma$  as a composition of paths  $\gamma_i$ , where each  $\gamma_i$  links two vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  whose markings differ by an element of  $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ . It follows that  $g \in G_e$ .

Conversely, if  $g \in G_e$  we write  $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$  for some  $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cup \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ . Then for each *i*, there exists a path from  $(\mathbb{P}^2, g_1 \cdots g_i)$  to  $(\mathbb{P}^2, g_1 \cdots g_{i+1})$  inside  $\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ}$ . By joining them we obtain a path in  $\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ}$  starting at  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \text{ id})$  and ending at  $(\mathbb{P}^2, g) = g \cdot (\mathbb{P}^2, \text{ id})$ , so that the connected component  $g(\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ})$  coincides with  $\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ}$ .

(2) The proof is entirely similar, and left to the reader.

For each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define  $\mathcal{T}_b$  to be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  obtained as the orbit of the edge between  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathrm{id})$  and  $(S_b, \eta_b)$ , under the action of  $G_b = \langle \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), b \rangle$ . Since *b* stabilizes  $(S_b, \eta_b)$  and  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  stabilizes  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathrm{id})$ , we infer that  $\mathcal{T}_b$  is connected, that is,  $\mathcal{T}_b$  is a subtree of  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  (which is a tree by Lemma 5.4(2)).

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $g, g' \in \text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . If  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$  and  $g'(\mathcal{T}_{b'})$  are distinct, then  $g(\mathcal{T}_b) \cap g'(\mathcal{T}_{b'})$  is either empty or equal to a single vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Proof.* By using the action of  $\text{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , we can assume that g' = id. If  $g \notin G_{\mathcal{B}}$ , then by Lemma 5.5(2),  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{b'}$  are in distinct connected components of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and so are disjoint. Now we assume  $g \in G_{\mathcal{B}}$ , so that  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{b'}$  are two subtrees of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^\circ$ .

• First we consider the case  $b \neq b'$ .

Suppose that  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{b'}$  contain a common edge. Then in particular they contain a vertex of the form  $(S_b, \varphi \eta_b)$ , and the two edges from this vertex. But then the markings of the two corresponding vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  should differ by composition with an element of the form  $\alpha b \alpha^{-1} = \alpha' b' \alpha'^{-1}$  for some  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , and this contradicts our assumption that b, b' are two distinct representatives of Bertini involutions. Now, since  $g(\mathcal{T}_b), \mathcal{T}_{b'}$  are two subtrees of  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  without a common edge, they cannot have more than one common vertex, which has to be of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

• Now we assume b = b'.

By definition of  $\mathcal{T}_b$ , we have  $\mathcal{T}_b = g(\mathcal{T}_b)$  if and only if  $g \in G_b$ . So we can assume that  $g \in G_B \setminus G_b$ . Now if  $g(\mathcal{T}_b) \cap \mathcal{T}_b$  contains a vertex of the form  $(S_b, \varphi \eta_b)$ , then it also contains the two neighbor vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . But if  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi) \in g(\mathcal{T}_b) \cap \mathcal{T}_b$ , then we should have  $\varphi = gf_1 = f_2$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in G_b$ , in contradiction with  $g \notin G_b$ . So we conclude that  $g(\mathcal{T}_b) \cap \mathcal{T}_b$  is empty.

# 5.3. Quotients

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be any connected subcomplex of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We define a star graph star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ) associated with  $\mathcal{Y}$ , by requiring that the peripheral vertices of star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ) are in one-to-one correspondence with the vertices of  $\mathcal{Y}$  of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Then we have a uniquely defined simplicial map

from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ), which is a bijection when restricted to the vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and which sends any other vertex to the central vertex of star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ). We call star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ) together with the map  $\mathcal{Y} \to \text{star}(\mathcal{Y})$  the *star quotient* of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Now assume that  $(\mathcal{Y}_i)$  is a collection of connected subcomplexes of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_i \mathcal{Y}_i$ , and for any *i*, *j* either  $\mathcal{Y}_i = \mathcal{Y}_j$  or  $\mathcal{Y}_i \cap \mathcal{Y}_j$  contains only vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Then we can put together all star quotients  $\mathcal{Y}_i \to \operatorname{star}(\mathcal{Y}_i)$  in order to get a map from  $\mathcal{X}$  to a well defined connected graph.

Now we check that we can apply this construction to the family of subcomplexes

$$(\mathcal{Y}_i)_i = \{g(\mathcal{X}_e^\circ); g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)\} \cup \{g(\mathcal{T}_b); g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

First, the  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$ ,  $g \in G_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , form a cover of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}$ : any vertex in  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}$  at distance 2 from  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathrm{id})$  has the form  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha b)$  for some  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , and any edge of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\circ}$  can be mapped to an edge issued from  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathrm{id})$  by applying an element of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Lemma 5.4(1) implies that the  $g(\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ})$  are exactly the connected components of  $\mathcal{X}_e$ , and in particular they are pairwise equal or disjoint. The same lemma implies that the  $g(\mathcal{T}_b)$ cover  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . This implies that the family of complexes  $(\mathcal{Y}_i)_i$  is a cover of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, any intersection  $g(\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ}) \cap g'(\mathcal{T}_b)$  contains only vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Finally, by Lemma 5.6, any intersection  $g(\mathcal{T}_b) \cap g'(\mathcal{T}_{b'})$  between distinct subcomplexes is either empty or equal to a single vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  the resulting quotient graph, and by  $\sigma : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}_Q$  the associated simplicial map. By a slight abuse of notation we shall use the same notation  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$  either for a vertex of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , or for the corresponding vertex in  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ .

# **Lemma 5.7.** The connected graph $\mathcal{T}_Q$ is a tree.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a loop in  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ . We can assume that  $\gamma$  is parametrized by arc length, with base point a vertex of the form  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$ . For each even i,  $[\gamma(i), \gamma(i+2)]$  is a segment connecting two peripheral vertices in star( $\mathcal{Y}$ ) for some connected subcomplex  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ . In particular, we can lift this segment as a path in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Thus we obtain a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of the entire path  $\gamma$ , and this lift is also a closed loop because the map  $\pi$  is a bijection when restricted to vertices of the form  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$ . Now by Proposition 3.15 the loop  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is trivial in  $\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$ , hence the push-forward  $\sigma_*(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$  is trivial in  $\pi_1(\mathcal{T}_Q)$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** The tree  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  inherits the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , and

(1) the group  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the stabilizer of  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \operatorname{id})$ ,

(2) the group  $G_e$  is the stabilizer of the central vertex of star( $\mathcal{X}_e^\circ$ ),

(3) for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , the group  $G_b$  is the stabilizer of the central vertex of star( $\mathcal{T}_b$ ).

*Proof.* By construction, the family

$$(\mathcal{Y}_i)_i = \{g(\mathcal{X}_e^\circ); g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)\} \cup \{g(\mathcal{T}_b); g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

of subcomplexes involved in the construction of  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  is invariant under the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , hence the action descends to  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ . Since the quotient map  $\sigma \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}_Q$  is a bijection when restricted to vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , the stabilizers of these vertices remain



**Fig. 10.** A few vertices of the tree  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ , where  $e_i \in G_e$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha_i \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ .

the same in  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ ; this gives (1). The stabilizer of the central vertex of star( $\mathcal{Y}_i$ ) corresponds to the stabilizer of the subcomplex  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  for the initial action on  $\mathcal{X}$ . So the remaining two assertions follow from Lemma 5.5(1) and the definition of  $\mathcal{T}_b$ .

Observe that each vertex of  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  is either of the form  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \varphi)$ , or is the central vertex of  $\operatorname{star}(\mathcal{Y})$  for some subcomplex  $\mathcal{Y}$  in the family. These two types of vertices are preserved by the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  has a natural structure of a bicolored tree.

# 5.4. Structure of the Cremona group

In this last section we prove the results stated in the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem A.* Since  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  is a bicolored tree, and the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  on vertices of type  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is transitive, we can look for a fundamental domain of the action inside the ball of center ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id) and radius 1. In fact, the whole ball is a fundamental domain, indeed  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the stabilizer of ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id) (see Lemma 5.8), and we now check that  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  also fixes each neighbor vertex. First, for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  the central vertex of  $\operatorname{star}(\mathcal{T}_b)$  is a neighbor of ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id), and by Lemma 5.8 the corresponding stabilizer is  $G_b$ . Then the last remaining neighbor vertex is the central vertex of  $\operatorname{star}(\mathcal{X}_e^\circ)$ , whose stabilizer is  $G_e$ , by the same lemma.

By applying Theorem 5.1 to the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  on the tree  $\mathcal{T}_Q$ , we find that  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is isomorphic to  $*_{\operatorname{Aut}_k}(\mathbb{P}^2) G_i$  where  $I = \mathcal{B} \cup \{e\}$ .

A

Now we prove that the action of  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  on  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  is faithful, by proving that the intersection of the stabilizers of  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \operatorname{id})$  and  $(\mathbb{P}^2, b)$  is trivial, for any  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $g \in \operatorname{Stab}(\mathbb{P}^2, \operatorname{id}) \cap \operatorname{Stab}(\mathbb{P}^2, b)$ , we have  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  and  $bgb = g' \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , so that bg = g'b. But these two maps cannot have the same base points unless  $g = \operatorname{id}$ , because any automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  preserving eight points in general position is the identity.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 5.9.** If the field **k** does not have any Galois extension of degree 8, i.e. if  $\mathcal{B}$  is empty, we have  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}} = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ} = \mathcal{X}_e = \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Q = \operatorname{star}(\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ})$ . This reflects the fact that in this case  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) = G_e$ . In fact, trivially  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) *_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cap G_e = G_e$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_Q$  is its Bass–Serre tree, whose fundamental domain is the edge between  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \operatorname{id})$  and the central vertex of  $\operatorname{star}(\mathcal{X}_e^{\circ})$ .

*Proof of Corollary B.* Let  $I = \mathcal{B} \cup \{e\}$ . Then Theorem A gives

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \underset{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)}{*} G_i = \left(\underset{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)}{*} G_b\right) *_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)} G_e.$$

Now we have

$$*_{\operatorname{aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)} G_b = \langle \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2), G_b \mid b \in \mathcal{B} \rangle = G_{\mathcal{B}},$$

from which the claim follows. The reason why  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  acts faithfully on the Bass–Serre tree of  $G_{\mathcal{B}} *_{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)} G_e$  is the same as in the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem C. (1) Let  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  be a Bertini involution, and consider the edge in the tree  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$  between the vertices ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id) and  $(S_b, \eta_b)$ , where  $\eta_b \colon S_b \to \mathbb{P}^2$  is the blow-up of the base point of degree 8 of *b*. Recall from Lemma 5.5(2) that the group  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The involution *b* fixes the vertex  $(S_b, \eta_b)$  and exchanges the two edges attached to it. In particular, *b* does not fix the vertex ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id). On the other hand, the subgroup Aut<sub>k</sub>( $\mathbb{P}^2$ ) stabilizes ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id), and any  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut_k}(\mathbb{P}^2)$  that also stabilizes  $(S_b, \eta_b)$  must be the identity, because any automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  preserving eight points in general position is the identity. By definition the tree  $\mathcal{T}_b$  is the orbit of the edge from ( $\mathbb{P}^2$ , id) to  $(S_b, \eta_b)$ , under the action of the subgroup  $G_b = \langle \operatorname{Aut_k}(\mathbb{P}^2), b \rangle$ . Therefore the group  $G_b$  acts on the tree  $\mathcal{T}_b$  with fundamental domain a single edge, with stabilizer of vertices Aut<sub>k</sub>( $\mathbb{P}^2$ ) and  $\langle b \rangle$ , and trivial stabilizer for the entire edge. By Theorem 5.1 (or more precisely by using the special convention for two subgroups, see Remark 5.2), it follows that  $G_b$  is the free product

$$G_b \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) * \langle b \rangle,$$

and  $\mathcal{T}_b$  is the associated Bass–Serre tree.

There are natural injections of  $G_e$  and  $G_b \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) * \langle b \rangle$  in both groups

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \underset{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)}{*} G_i \quad \text{and} \quad G_e * \left( \underset{b \in \mathcal{B}}{*} \langle b \rangle \right).$$

By the universal property of the free (or amalgamated) product we get morphisms in both direction, which are inverse to each other, and thus give the expected isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq G_e * \Big( \underset{b \in \mathcal{B}}{*} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \Big).$$

(2) By the universal property of the free product, applied to the trivial morphism from  $G_e$  and the identity map on the second factor, we get a surjective homomorphism  $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \to *_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ .

(3) The result is immediate by composing the above morphism with the abelianization morphism

$$*_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \to \bigoplus_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}.$$

**Remark 5.10.** Another way to express point (2) of Theorem C is that we have isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)/\langle\langle G_e\rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{b\in\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow}} (G_b/\langle\langle\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{P}^2)\rangle\rangle) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{b\in\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Acknowledgments. We thank Anne Lonjou for many useful discussions at an early stage of this project, Anne-Sophie Kaloghiros for clarifying to us some fine points in her work [Kal13], and Jérémy Blanc and Andrea Fanelli for discussions on issues with birational maps over non-perfect fields.

The second author gratefully acknowledges support by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

#### References

- [BKS04] Bauer, T., Küronya, A., Szemberg, T.: Zariski chambers, volumes, and stable base loci. J. Reine Angew. Math. 576, 209–233 (2004) Zbl 1055.14007 MR 2099205
- [Can17] Cantat, S.: The Cremona group. In: Algebraic Geometry: Salt Lake City 2015, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 97.1, Amer. Math. Soc., 101–142 (2017) MR 3821147
- [CL13] Cantat, S., Lamy, S.: Normal subgroups in the Cremona group (with an appendix by Y. de Cornulier). Acta Math. 210, 31–94 (2013) Zbl 1278.14017 MR 3037611
- [Dea81] Dean, R. A.: A rational polynomial whose group is the quaternions. Amer. Math. Monthly 88, 42–45 (1981) Zbl 0458.12013 MR 0619418
- [Deb01] Debarre, O.: Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry. Universitext, Springer, New York (2001) Zbl 0978.14001 MR 1841091
- [HM13] Hacon, C. D., McKernan, J.: The Sarkisov program. J. Algebraic Geom. 22, 389–405 (2013) Zbl 1267.14024 MR 3019454
- [Hat02] Hatcher, A.: Algebraic Topology. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002) Zbl 1044.55001 MR 1867354
- [Isk91] Iskovskikh, V. A.: Generators in the two-dimensional Cremona group over a nonclosed field. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova 200, 157–170 (1991) (in Russian); English transl.: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 200, 173–188 (1993) Zbl 0811.14010 MR 1143365
- [Isk96] Iskovskikh, V. A.: Factorization of birational mappings of rational surfaces from the point of view of Mori theory. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 51, no. 4, 3–72 (1996) (in Russian) Zbl 0914.14005 MR 1422227
- [IKT93] Iskovskikh, V. A., Kabdykairov, F. K., Tregub, S. L.: Relations in a two-dimensional Cremona group over a perfect field. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 57, 3–69 (1993) (in Russian); English transl.: Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 42, 427–478 (1994) Zbl 0824.14010 MR 1243341
- [Kal13] Kaloghiros, A.-S.: Relations in the Sarkisov program. Compos. Math. 149, 1685–1709 (2013) Zbl 1280.14004

- [KKL16] Kaloghiros, A.-S., Küronya, A., Lazić, V.: Finite generation and geography of models. In: Minimal Models and Extremal Rays, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 70, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 215–245 (2016) Zbl 1369.14025 MR 3617781
- [KM98] Kollár, J., Mori, S.: Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties. Cambridge Tracts in Math. 134, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1998) Zbl 1143.14014 MR 1658959
- [Lie13] Liedtke, C.: Algebraic surfaces in positive characteristic. In: Birational Geometry, Rational Curves, and Arithmetic, Springer, New York, 229–292 (2013) Zbl 1312.14001 MR 3114931
- [Liu02] Liu, Q.: Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves. Oxford Grad. Texts in Math. 6, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2002) Zbl 1103.14001 MR 1917232
- [Lon16] Lonjou, A.: Non simplicité du groupe de Cremona sur tout corps. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 66, 2021–2046 (2016) Zbl 1365.14017 MR 3533276
- [Mat02] Matsuki, K.: Introduction to the Mori Program. Universitext. Springer, New York (2002) Zbl 0988.14007 MR 1875410
- [Mor96] Morandi, P.: Field and Galois Theory. Grad. Texts in Math. 167, Springer, New York (1996) Zbl 0865.12001 MR 1410264
- [Mun84] Munkres, J. R.: Elements of Algebraic Topology. Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA (1984) Zbl 0673.55001 MR 0755006
- [Rei97] Reid, M.: Chapters on algebraic surfaces. In: Complex Algebraic Geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Ser. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 3–159 (1997) Zbl 0910.14016 MR 1442522
- [RS62] Rosser, J. B., Schoenfeld, L.: Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. Illinois J. Math. 6, 64–94 (1962) Zbl 0122.05001 MR 0137689
- [San81] Sansuc, J.-J.: Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres. J. Reine Angew. Math. 327, 12–80 (1981) Zbl 0468.14007 MR 0631309
- [Ser03] Serre, J.-P.: Trees. Springer Monogr. Math., Springer, Berlin (2003) Zbl 1013.20001 MR 1954121
- [Sil09] Silverman, J. H.: The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves. Grad. Texts in Math. 106, Springer, Dordrecht (2009) Zbl 1194.11005 MR 2514094
- [Ter99] Terakawa, H.: The *d*-very ampleness on a projective surface in positive characteristic. Pacific J. Math. **187**, 187–199 (1999) Zbl 0967.14008 MR 1674325
- [Zim17] Zimmermann, S.: The real Cremona group is a nontrivial amalgam. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), to appear; arXiv:1705.07500 (2017)
- [Zim18] Zimmermann, S.: The abelianization of the real Cremona group. Duke Math. J. 167, 211–267 (2018) Zbl 1402.14015 MR 3754629