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## §1. Some abstract nonsense: braided categories and braided bialgebras

PROP: products and permutations (Adams/MacLane, 1965)
PROB: products and braids
1.1. Braided categories. We will work with $\mathbf{k}$-linear categories where $\mathbf{k}$ is a field.

A symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is equipped with a tensor product

$$
(A, B) \mapsto A \otimes B,
$$

an object 1 , and natural isomorphisms:
unit

$$
\mathbf{1} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sim} A
$$

associativity

$$
a=a(A, B, C): A \otimes(B \otimes C) \xrightarrow{\sim}(A \otimes B) \otimes C
$$

and commutativity ( $R$-matrix)

$$
c=c(A, B)=R(A, B)=R_{A B}: A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes A
$$

satisfying some axioms.
In particular:
$a$ satisfies the Stasheff pentagon aciom;
$c$ satisfies a hexagon property (or Yang - Baxter identity)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{B C} R_{A C} R_{A B}=R_{A B} R_{A C} R_{B C}: A \otimes B \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sim} C \otimes B \otimes A \tag{YB}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a reflection property

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{A B} R_{B A}=\operatorname{Id}_{A \otimes B} \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that on any $A^{\otimes n}$ the symmetric group $S_{n}$ acts.
In a braided category we forget about the axiom $(R)$. This implies that on each $A^{\otimes n}$ only the braid group $B r_{n}$ acts. See [JS].

Tensor functors $F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$
1.2. Braided bialgebras. Inside a braided category we have a notion of a bialgebra A:
it has a unit $\eta: \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow A$, counit $\epsilon: A \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}$, multiplication $\mu: A^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow A$ and comultiplication $\Delta: A \longrightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$
(some people call it a Hopf algebra; other people require an antipode for a Hopf algebra; we will not need it).

Main axiom: compatibility of $\mu$ with $\Delta$ :
$\mu$ should be a morphism of coalgebras, or, equivalently, $\Delta$ should be a morphism of algebras.

To make sense of it one should define a structure of an algebra on $A^{\otimes 2}$ : this is done using the $R$-matrix (sic!).
1.3. Mother of all braided bialgebras. A universal braided category BBialg with the universal $B$ bialgebra inside it, cf. [H], 6.1.

Universal property:
a braided bialgebra $A$ in a braided category $C$ is the same as a tensor functor

$$
f_{A}: B \text { Bialg } \longrightarrow C, f_{A}(B)=A
$$

It is generated as a tensor category by one object $B$, so it has objects $B^{\otimes n}, n \geq$ $0, B^{0}=1$.

Morphisms are generated by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu: B^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow B, \Delta: B \longrightarrow B^{\otimes 2}, \\
\eta: \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow B, \epsilon: B \longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \\
c^{ \pm}=R^{ \pm}: B^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\otimes 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

subject to the relations which make of $B$ a bialgebra.
1.4. $\mathbb{N}$-graded bialgebras. An $\mathbb{N}$-graded bialgebra in a braided category $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of objects $A_{\bullet}=\left\{A_{n}\right\}, n \geq 0, A_{0}=\mathbf{1}$ together with morphisms

$$
\mu: A_{n} \otimes A_{m} \longrightarrow A_{n+m}, \Delta: A_{n+m} \longrightarrow A_{n} \otimes A_{m}
$$

satisfying the usual axioms.
1.5. Mother of all $\mathbb{N}$-graded bialgebras is a category $N B$ Bialg together with a $\mathbb{N}$-graded bialgebra (NBB) $B \bullet$ inside it such that for a braided category $\mathcal{C}$ the category $N B B(C)$ is equivalent to the category of tensor functors

$$
N \text { BBialg } \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

It is generated as a braided category by a collection of objects

$$
B_{0}=1, B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots
$$

So, its objects are symbols

$$
B_{\mathbf{n}}=B_{n_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{n_{k}}
$$

defined for all sequences

$$
\mathbf{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Define the weight

$$
m(\mathbf{n}):=\sum h_{i}=m .
$$

Maps in NBBialg are compositions of various multiplications and comultiplications

$$
\mu: B_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}}, \Delta: B_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathbf{n}}
$$

where $m(\mathbf{n})=m\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)$ (there are no nontrivial morphisms between objects of different weights).

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we will denote by $N B$ Bialg $_{m} \subset N B$ Bialg the full subcategory with objects $B_{\mathbf{n}}$ of weight $m$.

See a simplest nontrivial example in 2.1 below.

## §2. Relation to perverse sheaves <br> on symmetric powers of the complex affine line

### 2.1. Example. Perverse sheaves on a disc and $N B B_{i a l} g_{2}$.

2.1.1. Recall $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C} ; 0)=\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

Let $\operatorname{Constr}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ denote the category of constructible sheaves on $A^{1}=\mathbb{C}$ smooth over $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. So an object $\mathcal{F}$ of it is a couple of finite dimensional vector spaces

$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}=\Gamma(\mathcal{F} ; D(0 ; 2))=i_{0}^{*} \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{1}=\Gamma(\mathcal{F} ; D(1 ; 1 / 2))=i_{1}^{* \mathcal{F}},
$$

and morphisms

$$
T: \mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

(monodromy around 0), and

$$
\gamma: \mathcal{F}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1}^{T} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

("generalisation").
Let $\mathcal{D}\left(A^{1}, 0\right)$ be its derived category (all sheaves will be with values in a $\operatorname{Vect}{ }^{f}(\mathbf{k})$ ).

Functors $\Psi, \Phi$.
(a) Usual fibers

For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{1}, 0\right)$ consider complexes

$$
i_{1}^{* \mathcal{F}}=R \Gamma(D(1 ; 1 / 2) ; \mathcal{F})
$$

and

$$
i_{0}^{*} \mathcal{F}=R \Gamma(\mathbb{C} ; \mathcal{F})
$$

The complex $i_{1}^{* \mathcal{F}}$ is equpped with an automorphism $T$ - the monodromy around 0 .
We have the obvious restriction ("generalization") map

$$
g: i_{0}^{*} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}
$$

which lands in fact in the invariants of $T$.
(b) Hyperbolic fibers

We define

$$
\Psi(\mathcal{F}):=i_{1}^{*} \mathcal{F}[1]
$$

("nearby cycles"), and

$$
\Phi(\mathcal{F}):=\operatorname{Cone}(g)[1]
$$

("vanishing cycles" ).
So we have a canonical map

$$
u: \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{F})
$$

From the data above one can extract a map

$$
v: \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{F}),
$$

"variation", such that

$$
v u=1-T
$$

(exercise).

Hyperbolic, or "perverse", or Lefschetz, sheaves

We define

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}, 0)
$$

as the full sucategory of complexes $\mathcal{F}$ such that

$$
\Psi(\mathcal{F}), \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \in \operatorname{Vect}(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}, 0)
$$

The category $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is equivalent to a category of quadruples

$$
(\Phi, \Psi, v: \Phi \longrightarrow \Psi, u: \Psi \longrightarrow \Phi), \Phi, \Psi \in V e c t{ }^{f}(\mathbf{k})
$$

such that

$$
T_{\Psi}:=1-v u
$$

is invertible.
Exercise. Prove that the last condition is equivalent to:

$$
T_{\phi}=1-u v
$$

is invertible.
2.1.2. Let $A_{\bullet}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded bialgebra. It follows from the axioms of a bialgebra that for any $z \in A_{1}^{\otimes 2}$

$$
\Delta \mu(z)=z+R(z)
$$

It follows that $N B B_{i a l} g_{2}$ has two objects, $B_{2}$ and $B_{1}^{\otimes 2}$, and the set of maps admits two generators

$$
\mu: B_{1}^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow B_{2}, \Delta: B_{2} \longrightarrow B_{1}^{\otimes 2}
$$

with

$$
1-\Delta \mu=-R_{B_{1}^{\otimes 2}}
$$

We see that

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text { Funct }\left(N B \text { Bialg }_{2}, \text { Vect }^{f}(\mathbf{k})\right) .
$$

Note that

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \cong \operatorname{Perv}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2} A^{1}, \Delta\right)
$$

Our main theorem is a generalisation of the above.

### 2.1.3. Factorizable sheaves.

Let us call a factorizable perverse sheaf over $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ a collection
$A_{1}, A_{2} \in \operatorname{Vect}^{f}(\mathbf{k})$,
$u: A_{1}^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow A_{2}, v: A_{2} \longrightarrow A_{1}^{\otimes 2}$
such that $v u-\mathrm{Id}$ is invertible. They form a category $\mathcal{M}^{\text {fact }}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ equipped with an obvious functor

$$
\mathcal{M}^{f a c t}(\mathbb{C}, 0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0)
$$

This category is equivalent to the category of tensor functors

$$
\text { Funct }{ }^{\text {tens }}\left(N B B i a l g_{2}, V_{e c t}(\mathbf{k})\right) \text {. }
$$

2.2. Hochschild - Tate complex for the disc. We have a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} \otimes A_{1} & \xrightarrow{(1, R)} & \left(A_{1} \otimes A_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow+ \\
A_{2} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A_{1} \otimes A_{1}
\end{array}
$$

whence a complex concentrated in degrees $[-1,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow A_{1} \otimes A_{1} \longrightarrow A_{2} \oplus\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{1}\right)^{2} \longrightarrow A_{1} \otimes A_{1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{HT}
\end{equation*}
$$

quasiisomorphic to $A_{2}$. This is nothing else but Beilinson's monad, cf [B], no. 3, p. 46.
2.3. Theorem. For every $m \geq 0$ we have an equivalence of categories

$$
\text { Funct }\left(N B B i a l g_{m}, \operatorname{Vect}^{f}(\mathbf{k})\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Perv}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{m} A^{1}, \Delta\right)
$$

where to the right we have the category of perverse sheaves smooth along the diagonal stratification.

For an arbitrary $m$ the correspondind Hochschild - Tate complex $(H T)$ is concentrated in degrees $[-m, m]$, and is quasiisomorphic to $A_{m}$.

## §3. Contingency tables and Yanus sheaves

Contingency: eventuality, chance; la contingence

They appeared in the work of Karl Pearson of 1904, [Pe]. See a good review in [DG].
Karl Pearson was one of three great statisticians; two other ones are Student (William Gosset, a Head Brewer of Guinness), and Sir Ronald Fisher.

### 3.1. Contingency matrices, or tables.

3.1.1. Definition. A contingency matrix is a rectangular matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ with $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{N}=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We suppose that there is no row or column containing only zeros.

We may regard $A$ as a bipartite directed graph.
Weight:

$$
\Sigma A=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \in \mathbb{N}
$$

3.1.2. Vertical and horizontal fusions

Given $M=A$ as above and $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ we define an $n \times(m-1)$ matrix $\Sigma_{j}^{h}(M)$ whose $j$-th column is the result of "fusing" the $j$-th and $(j+1)$-th column of $M$, i.e. the new

$$
\Sigma_{j}^{h}(M)_{i j}=a_{i j}+a_{i, j+1}
$$

Similarly we define vertically fused $(n-1) \times m$ matrices $\Sigma_{i}^{v}(M), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
We write $M \leq_{h} M^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{resp} M \leq_{v} M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if $M$ is obtained from $M^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. from $\left.M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by a composition of horizontal (resp. vertical) fusions.

A fusion is called anodyne if the nonzero elements of the fused matrix are the same as for the original one.

We write

$$
A \leq_{h} B, A \leq_{v} C
$$

All contingency matrices form a bicategory $C M$.
3.1.3. Definition. A category $Q C M$ as a k-linear category with the same objects as $C M$, i.e. the contingency matrices, and arrows generated by

$$
h_{A B}: A \longrightarrow B \text { for } A \leq_{h} B
$$

and

$$
v_{C A}: C \longrightarrow A \text { for } A \leq_{v} C,
$$

subject to relations:
(a) transitivity wrt horizontal and vertical arrows
(b) mixed axiom:

$$
h_{A B} v_{C A}=\sum_{D: B \leq{ }_{v} D, C \leq{ }_{h} D} v_{D B} h_{C D}
$$

### 3.2. Braided category $\mathfrak{C M}$ and a $N B B$ inside it.

The set of anodyne arrows $A n \subset M$ or $Q C M$ has the Ore property. We define

$$
\mathfrak{C M}=Q C M\left[A n^{-1}\right]
$$

For any $n \geq 0$ let $\mathfrak{C M}_{n} \subset \mathfrak{C M}$ denote the full subcategory of matrices of weight $n$.
3.2.1. (Partial) braided structure. Introduce an operation

$$
\otimes:(M, N) \mapsto M \otimes N=(M N)
$$

by concatenation of matrices; it is defined when $M$ and $N$ have the same number of rows.

Define braidings using the anodyne morphisms:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M & N
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & N \\
M & 0
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\binom{N}{M} \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N & 0 \\
0 & M
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}
N
\end{array}\right)
$$

Inside $\mathfrak{C M}$ we have $1 \times 1$ matrices

$$
(n) \in \mathfrak{C M}_{n}, n \geq 1
$$

Each contingency table is isomorphic to a tensor product of them.
3.3. Example: weight 2 . The category $\mathfrak{C M}_{2}$ has 5 objects:

$$
(2),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right),\binom{1}{1},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\text { Funct }\left(\mathfrak{C M}_{2}, \operatorname{Vect}^{f}(\mathbf{k})\right) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}, 0) .
$$

(see a picture with 9 contingency matrices)

### 3.4. Main equivalence and Yanus sheaves.

Theorem. We have an equivalence of categories

$$
e: N B B i a l g \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{C M}, e\left(B_{n}\right)=(n)
$$

compatible with tensor products. It induces for each $n$ an equivalence

$$
e: N \text { BBialg }_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{C M}_{n} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Perv}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \cong \operatorname{Funkt}\left(\mathfrak{C M}_{n}, \operatorname{Vect}^{f}(\mathbf{k})\right)
$$

A functor

$$
\mathfrak{C M}_{n} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Vect}^{f}(\mathbf{k})
$$

is Yanus (cf. $[\mathrm{P}]$ ): it is covariant with respect to horizontal contractions and contravariant with respect to vertical ones.

### 3.4. Interpretation using double cosets.

$$
O b \mathfrak{C M}_{n}=\coprod_{I, J \subset[n]} S_{n} \backslash\left(S_{I} \times S_{J}\right)
$$
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