

## A note on the Manin-Mumford conjecture

Damian Roessler <sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** In [PR1], R. Pink and the author gave a short proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture, which was inspired by an earlier model-theoretic proof by Hrushovski. The proof given in [PR1] uses a difficult unpublished ramification-theoretic result of Serre. It is the purpose of this note to show how the proof given in [PR1] can be modified so as to circumvent the reference to Serre's result. J. Oesterlé and R. Pink contributed several simplifications and shortcuts to this note.

### 0. Introduction.

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over an algebraically closed field  $L$  of characteristic 0 and let  $X$  be a closed subvariety. If  $G$  is an abelian group, write  $\text{Tor}(G)$  for the group of elements of  $G$  which are of finite order. A closed subvariety of  $A$  whose irreducible components are translates of abelian subvarieties of  $A$  by torsion points will be called a torsion subvariety. The Manin-Mumford conjecture is the following statement:

*The Zariski closure of  $\text{Tor}(A(L)) \cap X$  is a torsion subvariety.*

This was first proved by Raynaud in [R]. In [PR1], R. Pink and the author gave a new proof of this statement, which was inspired by an earlier model-theoretic proof given by Hrushovski in [H]. The interest of this proof is the fact that it relies almost entirely on classical algebraic geometry and is quite short. Its only non elementary input is a ramification-theoretic result of Serre. The proof of this result is not published and relies (see [Se] (pp. 33–34, 56–59)) on deep theorems of Faltings, Nori and Raynaud. In this note, we show how the reference to Serre's result in [PR1] can be replaced by a reference to a classical result in the theory of formal groups (see Th. 4 (a)).

The structure of the paper is as follows. For the convenience of the reader, the text has been written so as to be logically independent of [PR1]. In particular, no knowledge of

---

<sup>†</sup> CNRS, Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Paris 7, Case Postale 7012, 2, place Jussieu, 75251 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE, E-mail: dcr@math.jussieu.fr

[PR1] is necessary to read it. Section 1 recalls various classical results on abelian varieties and also contains two less well-known, but elementary propositions (Prop. 1 and Prop. 3) whose proofs can be found elsewhere but for which we have included short proofs to make the text more self-contained. The reader is encouraged to proceed directly to section 2, which contains a complete proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture and to refer to the results listed in section 1 as needed.

**Notations.** w.r.o.g. is a shortening of *without restriction of generality*; if  $X$  is closed subvariety of an abelian variety  $A$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $L$  of characteristic 0, then we write  $\text{Stab}(X)$  for the stabiliser of  $X$ ; this is a closed subgroup of  $A$  such that  $\text{Stab}(X)(L) := \{a \in A(L) \mid a + X = X\}$ ; it has the same field of definition as  $X$  and  $A$ ; if  $p$  is a prime number and  $G$  is an abelian group, we write  $\text{Tor}^p(G)$  for the set of elements of  $\text{Tor}(G)$  whose order is prime to  $p$  and  $\text{Tor}_p(G)$  for the set of elements of  $\text{Tor}(G)$  whose order is a power of  $p$ .

**Acknowledgments.** We want to thank J. Oesterlé for his interest and for suggesting some simplifications in the proofs of [PR1] (see [Oes]) which have inspired some of the proofs given here. Also, the proof of Prop. 3 in its present form is due to him (see the explanations before the proof). I am also very grateful to R. Pink, who carefully read several versions of the text and suggested many improvements and simplifications. In particular, Prop. 6 was suggested by him. Many thanks as well to J. Boxall, who read the final version of the paper carefully and suggested generalizations. I am also grateful to T. Ito for his remarks and corrections. See his recent preprint *On the Manin-Mumford conjecture for abelian varieties with a prime of supersingular reduction* (ArXiv math.NT/0411291), which is partially inspired by this paper. Finally my thanks go to the referee, for a careful reading of the article.

## 1. Preliminaries.

**Lemma 0.** *Let  $L \subseteq L'$  be algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over  $L$  and let  $X$  be a closed  $L$ -subvariety of  $A$ . Then:*

- (a)  *$X$  is a torsion subvariety of  $A$  iff  $X_{L'}$  is a torsion subvariety of  $A_{L'}$ ;*
- (b) *the Manin-Mumford conjecture holds for  $X$  in  $A$  iff it holds for  $X_{L'}$  in  $A_{L'}$ .*

*Proof:* we first prove (a). To prove the equivalence of the two conditions, we only need to prove the sufficiency of the second one. The latter is a consequence of the fact that the morphism  $\pi : A_{L'} \rightarrow A$  is faithfully flat and that any torsion point and any abelian subvariety of  $A_{L'}$  has a model in  $A$  (see [Mi] (Cor. 20.4, p. 146)). To prove (b), let  $Z := \text{Zar}(\text{Tor}(A(L)) \cap X)$  (resp.  $Z' := \text{Zar}(\text{Tor}(A(L')) \cap X_{L'})$ ). Using again the fact that any torsion point in  $A_{L'}$  has a model in  $A$  and that  $\pi$  is faithfully flat, we see that  $\pi^{-1}(\text{Tor}(A(L)) \cap X) = \text{Tor}(A(L')) \cap X_{L'}$ . From this and the fact that the morphism  $\pi$  is open ([EGA] (IV, 2.4.10)), we get a set-theoretic equality  $\pi^{-1}(Z) = Z'$ . Since  $\pi$  is radicial, the underlying set of  $\pi^*(Z) := Z_{L'}$  is  $\pi^{-1}(Z)$  ([EGA] (I, 3.5.10)). Since  $Z_{L'}$  is reduced ([EGA] (IV, 4.6.1)), we thus have an equality of closed subschemes  $Z_{L'} = Z'$ . Now, by (a), the closed subscheme  $Z_{L'}$  is a torsion subvariety of  $A_{L'}$  iff  $Z$  is a torsion subvariety of  $A$ . •

**Proposition 1 (Pink-Roessler).** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $\mathbf{C}$  and let  $F : A \rightarrow A$  be an isogeny. Suppose that the absolute value of all the eigenvalues of the pull-back map  $F^*$  on the first singular cohomology group  $H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$  is larger than 1. Then any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $A$  such that  $F(Z) = Z$  is a torsion subvariety.*

The following proof can be found in [PR1] (Remark after Lemma 2.6).

*Proof:* w.r.o.g., we may replace  $F$  by one of its powers and thus suppose that each irreducible component of  $Z$  is stable under  $F$ . We may thus suppose that  $Z$  is irreducible. Notice that  $F(\text{Stab}(Z)) \subseteq \text{Stab}(Z)$ . Let us first suppose that  $\text{Stab}(Z) = 0$ .

Write  $\text{cl}(Z)$  for the cycle class of  $Z$  in  $H^*(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$ . We list the following facts:

- (1) the degree of  $F$  is the determinant of the restriction of  $F^*$  to  $H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$ ;

(2) each eigenvalue of  $F^*$  on  $H^i(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$  is the product of  $i$  distinct zeroes (with multiplicities) of the characteristic polynomial of  $F^*$  on  $H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$ ; Facts (1) and (2) follow from the fact that for all  $i \geq 0$  there is a natural isomorphism  $\Lambda^i(H^1(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})) \simeq H^i(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$  (see [Mu] (p.3, Eq. (4))).

Now notice that since  $\text{Stab}(Z) = 0$ , the varieties  $Z + a$ , where  $a \in \text{Ker}(F)(\mathbf{C})$ , are pairwise distinct. These varieties are thus the irreducible components of  $F^{-1}(Z)$ . Now we compute

$$\text{cl}(F^*(Z)) = \sum_{a \in \text{Ker}(F)} \text{cl}(Z + a) = \#\text{Ker}(F)(\mathbf{C}) \cdot \text{cl}(Z) = \text{deg}(F) \text{cl}(Z)$$

and thus  $\text{cl}(Z)$  belongs to the eigenspace of the eigenvalue  $\text{deg}(F)$  in  $H^*(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$ . Facts (1), (2) and the hypothesis on the eigenvalues imply that  $\text{cl}(Z) \in H^{2 \dim(A)}(A(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C})$ , which in turn implies that  $Z$  is a point. This point is a torsion point since it lies in the kernel of  $F - \text{Id}$ , which is an isogeny by construction.

If  $\text{Stab}(Z) \neq 0$ , then replace  $A$  by  $A/\text{Stab}(Z)$  and  $Z$  by  $Z/\text{Stab}(Z)$ . The isogeny  $F$  then induces an isogeny on  $A/\text{Stab}(Z)$ , which stabilises  $Z/\text{Stab}(Z)$ . We deduce that  $Z/\text{Stab}(Z)$  is a torsion point. This implies that  $Z$  is a translate of  $\text{Stab}(Z)$  by a torsion point and concludes the proof. •

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic 0. Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix with integer coefficients. Suppose that the absolute value of all the eigenvalues of  $M$  is larger than 1. Then any closed subvariety  $Z$  of  $A^n$  such that  $M(Z) = Z$  is a torsion subvariety.*

*Proof:* Because of Lemma 0 (a), we may assume w.r.o.g. that  $K$  is the algebraic closure of a field which is finitely generated as a field over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We may thus also assume that  $K \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ . Prop. 1 then implies the result for  $Z_{\mathbf{C}}$  in  $A_{\mathbf{C}}^n$  and using Lemma 0 (a) again we can conclude. •

**Proposition 3 (Boxall).** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a field  $K$  of characteristic 0. Let  $p > 2$  be a prime number and let  $L := K(A[p])$  be the extension of  $K$  generated by*

the  $p$ -torsion points of  $A$ . Let  $P \in \text{Tor}_p(A(\overline{K}))$  and suppose that  $P \notin A(L)$ . Then there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{L}|L)$  such that  $\sigma(P) - P \in A[p] \setminus \{0\}$ .

A proof of a variant of Prop. 3 can be found in [B]. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce a proof, which is a simplification by Oesterlé (private communication) of a proof due to Coleman and Voloch (see [Vo]).

*Proof:* let  $n \geq 1$  be the smallest natural number so that  $p^n P \in A(L)$ . For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $P_i = p^{n-i} P$ . Let also  $\sigma_1$  be an element of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{L}|L)$  such that  $\sigma_1(p^{n-1} P) \neq p^{n-1} P$ . Furthermore, let  $\sigma_i := \sigma_1^{p^{i-1}}$  and  $Q_i := \sigma_i(P_i) - P_i$ .

First, notice that we have  $pQ_1 = \sigma_1(p^n P) - p^n P = 0$  and  $Q_1 = \sigma_1(p^{n-1} P) - p^{n-1} P \neq 0$ , hence  $Q_1 \in A[p] \setminus \{0\}$ . We shall prove by induction on  $i \geq 1$  that  $Q_i = Q_1$  if  $i \leq n$ . This will prove the proposition, since  $Q_n = \sigma_n(P) - P$ .

So assume that  $Q_i = Q_1$  for some  $i < n$ . We have  $p^2(\sigma_i - 1)(P_{i+1}) = p(\sigma_i - 1)(P_i) = pQ_i = 0$ . Since any  $p$ -torsion point of  $A$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , and hence by  $\sigma_i$ , we also have  $p(\sigma_i - 1)^2(P_{i+1}) = 0$  and  $(\sigma_i - 1)^3(P_{i+1}) = 0$ . The binomial formula shows that, in the ring of polynomials  $\mathbf{Z}[T]$ ,  $T^p$  is congruent to  $1 + p(T - 1)$  modulo the ideal generated by  $p(T - 1)^2$  and  $(T - 1)^3$  (notice that  $p \neq 2!$ ). We thus have  $(\sigma_i^p - 1)(P_{i+1}) = p(\sigma_i - 1)(P_{i+1}) = (\sigma_i - 1)(P_i)$ , id est  $Q_{i+1} = Q_i$ . This completes the induction on  $i$ . •

Suppose now that  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , for some prime number  $p$  and let  $K^{\text{unr}}$  be its maximal unramified extension. Let  $k$  be the residue field of  $K$ . Suppose that  $A$  is an abelian variety over  $K$  which has good reduction at the unique non-archimedean place of  $K$ . Denote by  $A_0$  the corresponding special fiber, which is an abelian variety over  $k$ .

**Theorem 4.**

(a) *The kernel of the homomorphism*

$$\text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}})) \rightarrow A_0(\overline{k})$$

*induced by the reduction map is a finite  $p$ -group.*

(b) The equality  $\mathrm{Tor}^p(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}})) = \mathrm{Tor}^p(A(\overline{K}))$  holds.

*Proof:* for statement (b), see [Mi] (Cor. 20.8, p. 147). Statement (a), which is more difficult to prove, follows from general properties of formal groups over  $K$ . See [Oes2] (Prop. 2.3 (a)) for the proof. •

Let now  $\phi \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}|k)$  be the arithmetic Frobenius map.

**Theorem 5 (Weil).** *There is a monic polynomial  $Q(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$  with the following properties:*

(a)  $Q(\phi)(P) = 0$  for all  $P \in A_0(\overline{k})$ ;

(b) the complex roots of  $Q$  have absolute value  $\sqrt{\#k}$ .

*Proof:* see [We].•

## 2. Proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over a field  $K_0$  that is finitely generated as a field over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then for almost all prime numbers  $p$ , there exists an embedding of  $K_0$  into a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , such that  $A_K$  has good reduction at the unique non-archimedean place of  $K$ .*

*Proof:* since by assumption  $K_0$  has finite transcendence degree over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , there is a finite map

$$\mathrm{Spec} K_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_d),$$

for some  $d \geq 0$  (notice that  $d = 0$  is allowed). Let  $V \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d$  be the normalisation of the affine space  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d$  in  $K_0$ . The scheme  $V$  is integral, normal and has  $K_0$  as a field of rational functions. Furthermore,  $V$  is finite and surjective onto  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d$ . There is an open subset  $B \subseteq V$  and an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$ , whose generic fiber is  $A$ . Choose  $B$  sufficiently small so that its image  $f(B)$  is open and so that  $f^{-1}(f(B)) = B$  (this can be achieved by replacing  $B$  by  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d \setminus f(V \setminus B))$ ). Let  $U := f(B)$ . This accounts for the square on the left of the diagram (\*) below.

Now notice that  $U(\mathbf{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\mathbf{A}^d(\mathbf{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^d$  and  $U \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^d$  is open and not empty. Thus, for almost all prime numbers  $p$ , we have  $U(\mathbf{F}_p) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p$  be a prime number with this property. Let  $P \in U(\mathbf{F}_p)$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbf{F}_p$  be its coordinates. Choose as well elements  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  which are algebraically independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The elements  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  remain algebraically independent if we replace some  $x_i$  by  $\frac{1}{x_i}$  so we may suppose that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Notice also that any element of the residue field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is the reduction mod  $p$  of an element of  $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p$ . Furthermore, the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  remain algebraically independent if some  $x_i$  is replaced by  $x_i + m$ , where  $m$  is an integer. Hence, we may also suppose that  $x_i \bmod p = a_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . The choice of the  $x_i$  induces a morphism  $e : \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d$ , which by construction sends the generic point of  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  on the generic point of  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d$  and hence of  $U$  and sends the special point of  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  on  $P \in U(\mathbf{F}_p)$ . Hence  $e^{-1}(U) = \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$ . This accounts for the lowest square in (\*).

The middle square in (\*) is obtained by taking the fibre product of  $B \rightarrow U$  and  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow U$ . The morphism  $B_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  is then also finite and surjective.

To define the arrows in the triangle next to it, consider a reduced irreducible component  $B'_1$  of  $B_1$  which dominates  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$ . This exists, because the morphism  $B_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  is dominant. The morphism  $B'_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  will then also be finite and will thus correspond to a finite (and hence integral) extension of integral rings. Let  $K$  be the function field of  $B'_1$ , which is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ; the ring associated to  $B'_1$  is by construction included in the integral closure  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  in  $K$  and the arrow  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K \dashrightarrow B_1$  is defined by composing the morphism induced by this inclusion with the closed immersion  $B'_1 \rightarrow B_1$ .

The morphism  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}_p$  has been implicitly defined in the last paragraph and the morphisms  $\text{Spec } \mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$  are the obvious ones.

We have a commutative diagram (\*):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{Spec} K_0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longleftarrow & B_1 & \dashleftarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \longleftarrow \mathrm{Spec} K \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_d) & \longrightarrow & U & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_p & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Q}_p \\
& & \downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
& & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^d & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_p & & 
\end{array}$$

*Cart.*      *Cart.*      *Cart.*

The single-barreled continuous arrows ( $\rightarrow$ ) represent dominant maps; the double-barreled continuous ones ( $\Rightarrow$ ) represent finite and dominant maps; all the schemes in the diagram apart from  $B_1$  are integral; the cartesian squares carry the label "Cart."

Now notice that the map  $\mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow B$  obtained by composing the connecting morphisms sends  $\mathrm{Spec} K$  on the generic point of  $B$ ; to see this notice that the maps  $\mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K \Rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow U$  are all dominant; hence  $\mathrm{Spec} K$  is sent on the generic point of  $U$ ; since  $B \rightarrow U$  is a finite map, this implies that  $\mathrm{Spec} K$  is sent on the generic point of  $B$ .

Thus the map  $\mathrm{Spec} K \rightarrow B$  induces a field extension  $K|K_0$ . Furthermore, as we have seen,  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and by construction, the abelian variety  $A_K$  is the generic fiber of the abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A} \times_B \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ . In other words  $A_K$  is an abelian variety defined over  $K$  which has good reduction at the unique non-archimedean place of  $K$ .•

Next, we shall consider the following situation. Let  $p > 2$  be a prime number and let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $k$  be its residue field. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $K$ . Suppose that  $A$  has good reduction at the unique non-archimedean place of  $K$ . Let  $A_0$  be the corresponding special fiber, which is an abelian variety over  $k$ .

Recall that  $K^{\mathrm{unr}}$  refers to the maximal unramified extension of  $K$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{k}|k)$  be the arithmetic Frobenius map and let  $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{unr}}|K)$  be its canonical lift.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $X$  be a closed  $K$ -subvariety of  $A$ . Then the Zariski closure of  $X_{\bar{K}} \cap \mathrm{Tor}(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$  is a torsion subvariety.*

*Proof:* w.r.o.g. we may suppose that  $\mathrm{Tor}(A(K^{\mathrm{unr}}))$  is dense in  $X_{\bar{K}}$  (otherwise, replace  $X$



by the natural model of  $\text{Zar}(X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}})))$  over  $K$ ). By Th. 4 (a), the kernel of the reduction homomorphism  $\text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}})) \rightarrow A_0(\overline{k})$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Let  $p^r$  be its cardinality and let  $Y := p^r \cdot X$ . Let  $Q(T) := T^n - (a_n T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$  be the polynomial provided by Th. 5 (i.e. the characteristic polynomial of  $\phi$  on  $A_0(\overline{k})$ ). Let  $F$  be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For any  $a \in A(K^{\text{unr}})$ , write  $u(x) := (x, \tau(x), \tau^2(x), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(x)) \in A^n(K^{\text{unr}})$ . Let  $\tilde{Y} := \text{Zar}(\{u(a) | a \in (p^r \cdot \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}}))) \cap Y_{\overline{K}}\})$ . Th. 5 (a) and Th. 4 (a) imply that

$$F(u(a)) = u(\tau(a))$$

for all  $a \in p^r \cdot \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}}))$ . Furthermore, by construction,

$$\tau(p^r \cdot \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}}))) \subseteq p^r \cdot \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}})).$$

Hence  $F(\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y}$ . Now Th. 5 (b) implies that the absolute value of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $F$  are larger than 1 and Cor. 2 then implies that  $\tilde{Y}$  is a torsion subvariety of  $A_{\overline{K}}$ . The variety  $Y_{\overline{K}}$  is the projection of  $\tilde{Y}$  on the first factor and is thus also a torsion subvariety. Finally, this implies that  $X_{\overline{K}}$  is a torsion subvariety. •

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $X$  be a closed  $K$ -subvariety of  $A$ . Then the Zariski closure of  $X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K}))$  is a torsion subvariety.*

*Proof:* we may suppose w.r.o.g. that  $K = K(A[p])$ , that  $X$  is geometrically irreducible and that  $X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K}))$  is dense in  $X_{\overline{K}}$ . We shall first suppose that  $\text{Stab}(X) = 0$ . Let  $x \in X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K}))$  and suppose that  $x \notin A(K^{\text{unr}})$ . Write  $x = x^p + x_p$ , where  $x^p \in \text{Tor}^p(A(\overline{K}))$  and  $x_p \in \text{Tor}_p(A(\overline{K}))$ . By Th. 4 (b)  $x^p \in A(K^{\text{unr}})$  and thus  $x_p \notin A(K^{\text{unr}})$ . By Prop. 3, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K^{\text{unr}})$  such that

$$\sigma(x_p) - x_p = \sigma(x) - x \in A[p] \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now notice that for all  $y \in X(\overline{K})$  and all  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K^{\text{unr}})$ , we have  $\tau(y) \in X(\overline{K})$ . Hence if the set  $\{x \in X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K})) | x \notin A(K^{\text{unr}})\}$  is dense in  $X_{\overline{K}}$  then  $\text{Stab}(X)(\overline{K})$  contains a element of  $A[p] \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $\text{Stab}(X) = 0$ , we deduce that the set  $\{x \in X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(\overline{K})) | x \notin A(K^{\text{unr}})\}$  is not dense in  $X_{\overline{K}}$  and thus the set  $X_{\overline{K}} \cap \text{Tor}(A(K^{\text{unr}}))$  is dense in  $X_{\overline{K}}$ . Prop. 7 then implies that  $X_{\overline{K}}$  is a torsion point. If  $\text{Stab}(X) \neq 0$ , then we may apply the same reasoning to  $X/\text{Stab}(X)$  and  $A/\text{Stab}(A)$  to conclude that  $X_{\overline{K}}$  is a translate of  $\text{Stab}(X)_{\overline{K}}$  by a torsion point. •

We shall now prove the Manin-Mumford conjecture. Let the terminology of the introduction hold. By Lemma 0 (b), we may assume w.r.o.g. that  $L$  is the algebraic closure of a field  $K_0$  that is finitely generated as a field over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and that  $A$  (resp.  $X$ ) has a model  $\mathbf{A}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{X}$ ) over  $K_0$ . By Prop. 6, there is an embedding of  $K_0$  into a field  $K$ , with the following properties:  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number larger than 2 and  $\mathbf{A}_K$  has good reduction at the unique non-archimedean place of  $K$ . Prop. 8 now implies that the Manin-Mumford conjecture holds for  $\mathbf{X}_{\overline{K}}$  in  $\mathbf{A}_{\overline{K}}$  and using Lemma 0 (b) we deduce that it holds for  $X$  in  $A$ .

**Remark.** Let the notation of the introduction hold. Prop. 3. *alone* implies the statement of the Manin-Mumford conjecture, with  $\text{Tor}(A(L))$  replaced by  $\text{Tor}_p(A(L))$ , for any prime number  $p > 2$ . To see this, we may w.r.o.g. assume that  $X$  is irreducible and that  $\text{Tor}_p(A(L)) \cap X$  is dense in  $X$ . By an easy variant of Lemma 0 (b), we may w.r.o.g. assume that  $L$  is the algebraic closure of a field  $K$  that is finitely generated as a field over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and that  $A$  (resp.  $X$ ) has a model  $\mathbf{A}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{X}$ ) over  $K$ . Finally, we may assume w.r.o.g. that  $K = K(\mathbf{A}[p])$ . Suppose first that  $\text{Stab}(X) = 0$ . By the same argument as above, the set  $\{a \in \text{Tor}_p(A(L)) | a \notin \mathbf{A}(K), a \in X\}$  is not dense in  $X$ . Hence the set  $\{a \in \text{Tor}_p(A(L)) | a \in \mathbf{A}(K), a \in X\}$  must be dense in  $X$ ; the theorem of Mordell-Weil (for instance) implies that this set is finite and thus  $X$  consists of a single torsion point. If  $\text{Stab}(X) \neq 0$ , then we deduce by the same reasoning that  $X/\text{Stab}(X)$  is a torsion point in  $A/\text{Stab}(X)$  and hence  $X$  is a translate of  $\text{Stab}(X)$  by a torsion point. This proof of a special case of the Manin-Mumford conjecture is outlined in [B] (Remarque 3, p. 75).

## References.

- [B] Boxall, J. Sous-variétés algébriques de variétés semi-abéliennes sur un corps fini in *Number Theory, Paris 1992-3*, S. David, ed., London Math. Soc. lecture note series **215**, 69–89, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [EGA] Grothendieck, A. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32** (1960-1967).
- [H] Hrushovski, E. The Manin-Mumford conjecture and the model theory of difference fields. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **112** (2001), no. 1, 43–115.
- [Mi] Milne, J. S. Abelian varieties. *Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984)*, 103–150, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [Mu] Mumford, D. Abelian varieties. *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5*, Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [Oes] Oesterlé, J. Lettre à l’auteur (20/12/2002).
- [Oes2] Oesterlé, J. Courbes sur une variété abélienne (d’après M. Raynaud). Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1983/84. *Astérisque* No. **121-122** (1985), 213–224.
- [PR1] Pink, R., Roessler, D. On Hrushovski’s proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Beijing, 2002)*, 539–546, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [R] Raynaud, M. Sous-variétés d’une variété abélienne et points de torsion. *Arithmetic and geometry*, Vol. I, 327–352, Progr. Math. 35, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [Se] Serre, J.-P. Oeuvres, vol. IV (1985-1998). Springer 2000.
- [Vo] Voloch, J.-F. Integrality of torsion points on abelian varieties over  $p$ -adic fields. *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996), no. 6, 787–791.
- [We] Weil, A. Variétés abéliennes et courbes algébriques. Hermann 1948.