Pohozaev type identities for an elliptic equation

Radu Ignat Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, B.C. 187, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France (e-mail: ignat@ann.jussieu.fr)

Abstract

We present some Pohozaev identities for the equation $-\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u - \lambda u$ and as an application, we prove some nonexistence results.

1 Introduction

In this paper we present some Pohozaev type identities for the following nonlinear elliptic equation:

$$-\Delta_q u = |u|^{p-1} u - \lambda u \quad \text{on} \quad M. \tag{1}$$

Here, p > 1, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and M is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n or on the unit sphere S^n , $n \ge 3$, equipped with the standard metric g and Δ_g stands for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on (M, g). The goal is to prove nonexistence results for (1) in different ranges of λ .

Motivated by the study of Brezis and Nirenberg [5], we first consider the Dirichlet problem associated to (1) in the unit ball $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u - \lambda u, \quad u \neq 0 & \text{ in } B_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial B_1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

We prove the following identity:

Lemma 1 Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function (with $\psi'(0) = \psi''(0) = 0$ if $n \ge 4$). If u is a solution of (2), then

$$\int_{B_{1}} \left\{ r\psi'''(r) + 3\psi''(r) - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{r}\psi'(r) - 4\lambda \left(r\psi'(r) + \psi(r)\right) \right\} \frac{u^{2}}{2} dx \\
= \psi(1) \int_{\partial B_{1}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) + 2 \int_{B_{1}} r\psi'(r) \left(|\nabla u|^{2} - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^{2} \right) dx \\
- \int_{B_{1}} \left\{ \frac{p+3}{p+1} r\psi'(r) - \left(\frac{p-1}{p+1}n - 2 \right) \psi(r) \right\} |u|^{p+1} dx,$$
(3)

where r = |x| and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(x) = \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u(x)$ stands for the radial derivative of u.

As a consequence, we deduce the nonexistence result of Brezis and Nirenberg [5] for positive solutions of (2) in the supercritical case $p \ge \frac{n+2}{n-2}$:

Theorem 2 (Brezis and Nirenberg [5]) Let $p \ge \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $\lambda_1 := \lambda_1(-\Delta; B_1)$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary condition in B_1 . If one of the following two conditions is satisfied

(i)

$$n = 3$$
 and $\lambda \notin \left(-\lambda_1, -\frac{\lambda_1}{4}\right)$,

(ii)

 $n \ge 4$ and $\lambda \notin (-\lambda_1, 0)$,

then there is no positive solution of (2).

Remark 1 a) The set of positive and nodal *radial* solutions (regular or singular) of (2) is described by Benguria, Dolbeault and Esteban [2].

b) The question if there is no (nodal) solution of (2) for n = 3 and $\lambda \in (-\frac{\lambda_1}{4}, 0)$ is still open.

Next we study the Dirichlet problem associated to (1) on a geodesic ball D_{θ^*} centered at the North pole in S^3 of radius $\theta^* \in (0, \pi)$:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = |u|^{p-1} u - \lambda u, \quad u \neq 0 & \text{ in } D_{\theta^*}, \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial D_{\theta^*}. \end{cases}$$
(4)

We want to obtain a similar identity to (3) for any solution u of (4). Using the stereographic projection $\Phi_Q: S^3 \setminus \{Q\} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with vertex at the South pole Q in S^3 , the equation (4) writes as

$$-\frac{1}{\rho^3}\operatorname{div}(\rho\nabla U) = |U|^{p-1}U - \lambda U \quad \text{in} \quad B_{R^*} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

where $\rho(x) = \frac{2}{1+|x|^2}$, $U(x) = u(\Phi_Q^{-1}(x))$ for every $x \in B_{R^*}$ and $R^* = \tan \frac{\theta^*}{2}$. The transformation

$$v(x) = U(x)\sqrt{\rho(x)}$$

turns (4) into

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \rho(x)^{\frac{5-p}{2}} |v|^{p-1} v + \frac{3-4\lambda}{4} \rho^2 v, \quad v \neq 0 & \text{in } B_{R^*}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R^*}. \end{cases}$$
(5)

We prove the following identity for a solution v of (5):

Lemma 3 Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. If v is a solution of (5), then

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{R^*}} \left\{ r\psi''' + 3\psi'' + \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \big[r(1+r^2)\psi' + (1-r^2)\psi \big] \rho^3(x) \right\} \frac{v^2}{2} dx \\ &= R^*\psi(R^*) \int_{\partial B_{R^*}} \big| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \big|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) + 2 \int_{B_{R^*}} r\psi' \left(|\nabla v|^2 - \big| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \big|^2 \right) dx \\ &- \int_{B_{R^*}} \left\{ (1+\frac{2}{p+1})r\psi' - \frac{p-5}{p+1} \cdot \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2}\psi \right\} |v|^{p+1}\rho(x)^{\frac{5-p}{2}} dx. \end{split}$$
(6)

From here, we deduce the result of Bandle and Benguria [1], i.e., to determine the range of values of the parameters θ^* and λ for which there exists no positive solution of (4) in the critical case p = 5.

Theorem 4 (Bandle and Benguria [1]) Let p = 5 and $D_{\theta^*} \subset S^3$ be a geodesic ball of radius θ^* . Set $\lambda_1^* = \frac{\pi^2 - (\theta^*)^2}{(\theta^*)^2}$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator with Dirichlet boundary condition in D_{θ^*} and $\mu_1^* = \frac{\pi^2 - 4(\theta^*)^2}{4(\theta^*)^2}$. If one of the following conditions is satisfied

$$\lambda \leq rac{3}{4} \quad ext{and} \quad \lambda
otin (-\lambda_1^*, -\mu_1^*) \,,$$

(ii)

(i)

$$\lambda > rac{3}{4} \quad ext{and} \quad heta^* \in (0, rac{\pi}{2}],$$

then there is no positive solution of (4). Moreover, there exists a curve in the strip $(\theta^*, \lambda) \subset (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \times (\frac{3}{4}, \infty)$, denoted by $\nu(\theta^*) = \lambda$ such that $\nu(\theta^*) \to \frac{3}{4}$ as $\theta^* \to \pi$ and for $\lambda \in (\frac{3}{4}, \nu(\theta^*))$ there is no radial solution of (4) (see Figure 1).

Remark 2 (a) In [6], Brezis and Peletier proved that for any $\theta^* \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, there exist positive radial solutions of (4) for λ sufficiently large; therefore, $\nu(\theta^*) \to +\infty$ as $\theta^* \to \pi/2$.

(b) The question if there is no solution of (4) in the strip $(\theta^*, \lambda) \subset (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \times (\frac{3}{4}, \infty)$ below the curve ν is still open (see discussion in Section 4).



Figure 1: Range of values of λ for nonexistence of positive radial solutions.

Finally, we deal with positive solutions of (1) in the case of the whole unit sphere S^n , $n \ge 3$:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = u^p - \lambda u \\ u > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{on} \quad S^n.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

For $\lambda \leq 0$, there is no solution of (7) (it directly follows by integration of (7) on S^n). Therefore, we consider the range $\lambda > 0$. The goal is to present a simplified proof of the following Pohozaev type identity due to Gidas and Spruck [9]:

Lemma 5 (Gidas and Spruck [9]) Let $n \ge 3$ and u be a solution of (7). Set

$$w = u^{-2/(n-2)} \tag{8}$$

and

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\nabla_i \tilde{w}_j - \frac{1}{n} \Delta_g w \,\delta_{ij})^2 \ge 0,$$

where $\nabla_i \tilde{w}_j$ denotes the *j* component of the covariant derivative ∇_i of the vector field $\left(\frac{1}{\rho^2}\partial_j w\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\frac{(n-2)^2}{2} \int_{S^n} J(x)u^{-\gamma} + \gamma(1-\gamma) \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-\frac{2n-2}{n-2}} |du|^4 + \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \left(\frac{n+2}{n-2} - p - \gamma \frac{n+2}{2(n-1)}\right) \int_{S^n} u^{p-\gamma-\frac{n}{n-2}} |du|^2 + 2\left[n-1 - \lambda \left(\frac{4(n-1)}{n(n-2)} - \gamma \frac{n+2}{2n}\right)\right] \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-\frac{2}{n-2}} |du|^2 = 0.$$
(9)

From here, it follows the following uniqueness result for solutions of (7):

Corollary 6 Let $n \ge 3$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) 1 and

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \lambda < \min\{\frac{n}{p-1}, a_n\} & \text{if } n < 8, \\ 0 < \lambda \le \frac{n}{p-1} & \text{if } n \ge 8, \end{cases}$$
(10)

where

$$a_n = \frac{2n(n-1)(n-2)}{-n^2 + 8n - 4};$$

(*ii*) $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{n(n-2)}{4}$.

Then the only solution of (7) is the trivial constant solution $\lambda^{1/(p-1)}$.

This result was extended by M.F. Bidaut-Veron et L. Veron [3] using the Bochner-Lichnerowicz-Weitzenböck formula [10]:

Theorem 7 (Veron and Veron [3]) Assume that

$$1$$

where at least one of the two inequalities (11) is strict. Then the only solution of (7) is the constant $\lambda^{1/(p-1)}$.

Recently, Brezis and Li [4] proved Theorem 7 in the case of $\lambda \leq n(n-2)/4$ using the theory of moving planes; they also showed that for subcritical exponent p, there exist nonconstant solutions of (7) if $\lambda > \frac{n}{p-1}$ with $|\lambda - \frac{n}{p-1}|$ small. For the critical exponent $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, Corollary 6 and Theorem 7 are also sharp since there is a well-known branch of nonconstant solutions if $\lambda = \frac{n(n-2)}{4}$ (see [7]).

The outline of the paper is the following: we start with some preliminaries on the geometry of the unit sphere S^n that we use in the proof of Lemma 5. In Section 3, we prove Lemma 1 and Theorem 2. In Section 4, we show Lemma 3 and Theorem 4. Finally, we give a simplified proof of the Gidas-Spruck result.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notations that we use throughout of the paper. Let $P = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Q = (0, \ldots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the North and the South pole of S^n and set $\Omega_P = S^n \setminus \{P\}$ and $\Omega_Q = S^n \setminus \{Q\}$. The stereographic projection $\Phi_P : \Omega_P \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of pole P (respectively, $\Phi_Q : \Omega_Q \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of pole Q) is defined as

$$\Phi_P(y) = \left(\frac{y_1}{1 - y_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{1 - y_{n+1}}\right), \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \Omega_P$$

(respectively, $\Phi_Q(y) = \left(\frac{y_1}{1 + y_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{1 + y_{n+1}}\right), \quad \forall y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \Omega_Q$).

We easily check that Φ_P (respectively, Φ_Q) is a homeomorphism of Ω_P (respectively, Ω_Q) into \mathbb{R}^n and the inverse function $\Phi_P^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (respectively, $\Phi_Q^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$) is given by

$$\Phi_P^{-1}(x) = \rho(x) \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{2} \right), \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

pectively,
$$\Phi_Q^{-1}(x) = \rho(x) \left(x_1, \dots, x_n, \frac{1 - |x|^2}{2} \right), \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

where

(res

$$\rho(x) = \frac{2}{1 + |x|^2}$$

and $|x|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the following we omit the argument of maps. In the local charts (Ω_P, Φ_P) and (Ω_Q, Φ_Q) , the standard metric g on S^n writes as

$$g_{ij} = \rho^2 \delta_{ij}, \, 1 \le i, j \le n$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker's symbol. For a function $u : S^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we use the following notations:

$$u_{i} = \partial_{i}u, \ \tilde{u}_{i} = \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}u_{i}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n$$
$$|du|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\tilde{u}_{i},$$
$$\Delta_{g}u = \frac{1}{\rho^{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\partial_{i}(\rho^{n}\tilde{u}_{i}).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let ∇_i be the covariant derivative. The Cristoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \begin{cases} x_k \rho & \text{if} \quad i = j \neq k, \\ -x_i \rho & \text{if} \quad i = j = k, \\ -x_j \rho & \text{if} \quad i \neq j, i = k, \\ -x_i \rho & \text{if} \quad i \neq j, j = k. \end{cases}$$

If V_i are the components of a vector field V, we associate the vector field \tilde{V} of components $\tilde{V}_i = \frac{1}{\rho^2} V_i$. Standard computations yield that

$$(\nabla_i V)_j = \partial_i (V_j) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma^j_{ik} V_k, \ 1 \le i, j \le n,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_i V)_i = \frac{1}{\rho^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i (\rho^n V_i),$$
(12)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\nabla_j \nabla_i V - \nabla_i \nabla_j V)_j = (n-1)\rho^2 V_i, \ 1 \le i \le n.$$

$$\tag{13}$$

One can check that

$$\nabla_i \tilde{u}_j = \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i, \ 1 \le i, j \le n, \tag{14}$$

$$\Delta_g u = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i \tilde{u}_i,\tag{15}$$

$$\partial_i(|du|^2) = 2\sum_{j=1}^n u_j \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i, \ 1 \le i \le n,\tag{16}$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\partial_i \tilde{u}_j \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i + \tilde{u}_j \nabla_j \nabla_i \tilde{u}_i) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\nabla_i \tilde{u}_j \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i + \tilde{u}_j \partial_j (\nabla_i \tilde{u}_i)),$$
(17)

where $\nabla_i \tilde{u}_j$ denotes the *j* component of the covariant derivative ∇_i of the vector field with the components \tilde{u}_j .

3 The case of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Proof of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2

We start by proving identity (3):

Proof of Lemma 1. Let u be a solution of (2). Following the ideas of Brezis and Nirenberg [5], we first multiply (2) by $\psi(r)x \cdot \nabla u(x) \in C^1(B_1)$ (without any condition on ψ) and integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$-\int_{B_{1}} [r\psi' - (n-2)\psi] |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \psi(1) \int_{\partial B_{1}} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^{2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) + 2\int_{B_{1}} r\psi' \left(|\nabla u|^{2} - \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^{2}\right) dx$$
$$= \int_{B_{1}} (r\psi' + n\psi) \left(\frac{2}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} - \lambda u^{2}\right) dx.$$
(18)

Next we multiply (2) by $[r\psi' - (n-2)\psi]u \in C^1(B_1)$ (since $\psi'(0) = 0$ if $n \ge 4$) and it results by integration by parts:

$$\int_{B_1} [r\psi' - (n-2)\psi] |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{B_1} \left[r\psi''' + 3\psi'' - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{r}\psi' \right] \frac{u^2}{2} \, dx$$

$$= \int_{B_1} [r\psi' - (n-2)\psi] (|u|^{p+1} - \lambda u^2) \, dx.$$
(19)

(Here, we used that $\psi''(0) = 0$ if $n \ge 4$.) Combining (18) and (19), the conclusion follows immediately.

Using identity (3), we show Theorem 2:

Proof of Theorem 2. Let u be a positive solution of (2). Choosing $\psi = 1$, (3) becomes the standard Pohozaev identity [11]:

$$-2\lambda \int_{B_1} u^2 \, dx - \left(\frac{p-1}{p+1}n - 2\right) \int_{B_1} u^{p+1} \, dx = \int_{\partial B_1} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

Therefore, no solution exists for (2) if $\lambda \geq 0$ and $p \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Set φ be a positive eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue λ_1 in B_1 . First, we prove the nonexistence result for $\lambda \leq -\lambda_1$. Indeed, multiplying (2) by φ , we deduce:

$$\lambda_1 \int_{B_1} u\varphi = -\int_{B_1} u\Delta\varphi = -\int_{B_1} \Delta u\varphi = \int_{B_1} (u^p - \lambda u)\varphi.$$

That is,

$$\int_{B_1} [u^p - (\lambda + \lambda_1)u]\varphi = 0;$$

therefore, we get a contradiction with u > 0. Now we treat the remaining case: n = 3 and $\lambda \in \left[-\frac{\lambda_1}{4}, 0\right)$ where $\lambda_1 = \pi^2$. By the symmetry result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [8] applied for positive solutions of (2), we know that u is radial. Then (3) becomes:

$$\int_{B_1} \{ r\psi''' + 3\psi'' - 4\lambda(r\psi' + \psi) \} \frac{u^2}{2} dx$$

$$= \psi(1) \int_{\partial B_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) - \int_{B_1} \left\{ \frac{p+3}{p+1} r\psi' - \frac{p-5}{p+1} \psi \right\} u^{p+1} dx,$$
(20)

for any smooth function $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Following the argument in [5], we choose the smooth function

$$\psi(r) = \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{|\lambda|}\,r)}{r} > 0, \,\forall r \in [0,1).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r\psi''' + 3\psi'' - 4\lambda(r\psi' + \psi) &= 0, \\ \text{and} \quad r\psi' &= \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|} r \cos(2\sqrt{|\lambda|} r) - \sin(2\sqrt{|\lambda|} r)}{r} < 0, \, \forall r \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \ge 5$, (20) leads to a contradiction.

Now we discuss the case n = 3 and p = 5, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^5 - \lambda u, \quad u \neq 0 & \text{ in } B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial B_1. \end{cases}$$
(21)

Our aim is to present a list of properties for a solution u. We start by proving another identity 'à la Pohozaev' related to (3):

Lemma 8 Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. If u is a solution of (21), then

$$-\int_{B_1} \left\{ r\psi^{\prime\prime\prime}(r) + 5\psi^{\prime\prime}(r) + \frac{4}{r}\psi^{\prime}(r) + 4\lambda \left(r\psi^{\prime}(r) + \psi(r)\right) \right\} \frac{u^2}{2} dx$$

$$= \psi(1)\int_{\partial B_1} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) - 2\int_{B_1} r\psi^{\prime}(r) \left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 + \lambda u^2 - \frac{1}{3}u^6\right) dx.$$
(22)

Proof. First, identity (3) writes in the case n = 3 and p = 5 as

$$\int_{B_1} \left\{ r\psi'''(r) + 3\psi''(r) - 4\lambda \left(r\psi'(r) + \psi(r) \right) \right\} \frac{u^2}{2} dx$$

$$= \psi(1) \int_{\partial B_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) + \int_0^1 r\psi'(r) \int_{\partial B_r} \left(2|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 - \frac{4}{3}u^6 \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 dr,$$
(23)

where

(i)

$$\nabla_{S^2} u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \frac{\vec{\theta}}{r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\vec{\varphi}}{r \sin \theta}, \quad \nabla u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \vec{r} + \nabla_{S^2} u$$

are written in the spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$. We compute the last term in (23): multiplying (21) by u and integrating by parts in the variables θ and φ on ∂B_r , $r \in (0, 1)$, we obtain:

$$\int_0^1 r\psi'(r) \int_{\partial B_r} \left(2|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 - \frac{4}{3}u^6 \right) d\mathcal{H}^2 dr = \int_{B_1} r\psi'(r) \left[\frac{2}{3}u^6 - 2\lambda u^2 + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) u \right] dx.$$
(24)

If we integrate by parts the last term in (24) with respect to r, we get that

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{2\psi'(r)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) u \, dx = \int_{B_1} \left\{ r\psi'''(r) + 4\psi''(r) + \frac{2}{r}\psi'(r) \right\} u^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{B_1} r\psi'(r) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \, dx.$$
Combining (23), (24) and (25), we conclude with (22).

Combining (23), (24) and (25), we conclude with (22).

By Lemmas 1 and 8, we obtain the following properties:

Proposition 9 If u is a solution of (21), then:

$$\int_{\partial B_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) = 2\lambda \int_{B_1} u^2 \, dx.$$

(ii) If $\psi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then

$$\int_{B_1} \left\{ r\psi'''(r) + 4\psi''(r) + \frac{2}{r}\psi'(r) \right\} u^2 \, dx = 2 \int_{B_1} r\psi'(r) \left(\left| \nabla u \right|^2 + \lambda u^2 - u^6 \right) \, dx.$$

(iii) If $\lambda < 0$ and $\psi(r) = \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{|\lambda|} r)}{r}$, $\forall r \in [0, 1)$, then

$$\psi(1)\int_{\partial B_1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) + 2\int_{B_1} r\psi'(r)\left(|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 - \frac{2}{3}u^6\right)\,dx = 0$$

(iv) If $\lambda < 0$ and $\psi(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{\sinh(2\sqrt{|\lambda|} t)}{t} dt, \forall r \in [0,1)$, then $\psi(1)\int_{\partial B_1} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) = 2\int_{B_1} r\psi'(r)\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 + \lambda u^2 - \frac{1}{3}u^6\right) dx.$

Proof. The first point (i) follows from (3) by taking $\psi \equiv 1$. The identity in (ii) comes by subtracting (23) from (22). Notice that the following ODE:

$$r\psi^{\prime\prime\prime} + 4\psi^{\prime\prime} + \frac{2}{r}\psi^{\prime} = 0$$

has the solution

$$\psi(r) = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{r} + c_3 \ln r.$$

(If we approximate $\psi = 1/r$ by smooth functions, we obtain by *(ii)* the obvious relation $\int_{B_1} (|\nabla u|^2 +$ $\lambda u^2 - u^6$) dx = 0.) Point *(iii)* follows from (23) since ψ is the solution of the ODE:

$$(r\psi)''' - 4\lambda(r\psi)' = 0.$$

Similarly, (iv) comes from (22) since $g = (r\psi)'$ satisfies the ODE:

$$-g'' - \frac{2}{r}g' = 4\lambda g.$$

4 The case of caps in S^3 . Proof of Lemma 3 and Theorem 4

First we present the proof of identity (6):

Proof of Lemma 3. Let v be a solution of (5). Following the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1, we multiply (5) by $\psi(r)x \cdot \nabla v(x) \in C^1(B_{R^*})$ and integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$-\int_{B_{R^*}} (r\psi' - \psi) |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + R^* \psi(R^*) \int_{\partial B_{R^*}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^2 + 2 \int_{B_{R^*}} r\psi' \left(|\nabla v|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 \right) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{3 - 4\lambda}{8} \int_{B_{R^*}} \left[r(1 + r^2)\psi' + (3 - r^2)\psi \right] \rho^3(x) v^2 \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{2}{p+1} \int_{B_{R^*}} \left\{ r\psi' + \left(3 + \frac{(p-5)r^2}{1+r^2} \right) \psi \right\} |v|^{p+1} \rho(x)^{\frac{5-p}{2}} \, dx.$$
(26)

Then, multiplying (5) by $(r\psi' - \psi)v \in C^1(B_{R^*})$, we obtain:

$$\int_{B_{R^*}} (r\psi' - \psi) |\nabla v|^2 \, dx - \int_{B_{R^*}} (r\psi'' + 3\psi'') \frac{v^2}{2} \, dx$$

=
$$\int_{B_{R^*}} (r\psi' - \psi) \left(\rho(x)^{\frac{5-p}{2}} |v|^{p+1} + \frac{3-4\lambda}{4} \rho^2 v^2 \right) \, dx.$$
 (27)

By summation of (26) and (27), we get the identity (6).

As an application, we give the proof of Theorem 4:

Proof of Theorem 4. Let u be a positive solution of (4). As in the proof of Theorem 2, multiplying (4) with a positive eigenfunction associated to λ_1^* , we obtain that no positive solution of (4) exists if $\lambda \leq -\lambda_1^*$. Set $v(x) = u(\Phi_Q^{-1}(x))\sqrt{\rho(x)}$ be the corresponding solution of (5). We distinguish the following two cases:

(i) $\lambda \in \left[-\mu_1^*, \frac{3}{4}\right]$. Since $\lambda \leq \frac{3}{4}$, by the symmetry result in [8] applied for positive solutions of (5), we deduce that v is radial. Therefore, (6) becomes:

$$\int_{B_{R^*}} \left\{ r\psi''' + 3\psi'' + \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \left[r(1+r^2)\psi' + (1-r^2)\psi \right] \rho^3(x) \right\} \frac{v^2}{2} dx$$

$$= R^* \psi(R^*) \int_{\partial B_{R^*}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x) - \frac{4}{3} \int_{B_{R^*}} r\psi' v^6 dx.$$
(28)

Set

$$w = \sqrt{4(1-\lambda)} \tag{29}$$

and we use the change of variable

$$\theta = 2 \arctan r$$
 for $r \in [0, R^*]$.

Remark that $w \ge 1$ and the assumption $\lambda \ge -\mu_1^*$ turns into $w\theta^* \le \pi$. Like in the proof of Theorem 2, we choose the smooth function

$$\psi(r) = \frac{\sin(w\theta)}{\sin\theta} > 0, \,\forall\theta \in (0,\theta^*).$$

Then

$$r\psi''' + 3\psi'' + \frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \left[r(1+r^2)\psi' + (1-r^2)\psi \right] \rho^3(r) = 0.$$
(30)

Moreover,

$$\psi'(r) = 2\frac{w\cos(w\theta)\sin\theta - \cos\theta\sin(w\theta)}{(1 + \tan^2\frac{\theta}{2})\sin^2\theta} \le 0, \,\forall\theta\in(0,\theta^*).$$

Indeed, if we denote

 $F(\theta) = w\cos(w\theta)\sin\theta - \cos\theta\sin(w\theta),$

then F(0) = 0 and $F'(\theta) = (4\lambda - 3)\sin\theta\sin(w\theta) \le 0$ for every $\theta \in (0, \theta^*)$; therefore, we conclude that $F(\theta) \le 0$ on $(0, \theta^*)$. Using (28), we obtain a contradiction.

(ii) $\lambda > \frac{3}{4}$ and $\theta^* \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, i.e., $R^* \leq 1$. If we take $\psi = 1$, (6) writes as:

$$\frac{3-4\lambda}{4} \int_{B_{R^*}} (1-r^2) \rho^3(x) v^2 \, dx = R^* \int_{\partial B_{R^*}} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2(x).$$

Therefore, the nonexistence result also follows in this situation.

Using a similar argument as Bandle and Benguria [1], we prove the nonexistence of radial (nodal) solutions u below a curve $\lambda = \nu(\theta^*)$ in the region $(\lambda, \theta^*) \in (\frac{3}{4}, \infty) \times (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$. We distinguish three cases:

(I) $\lambda = 1$. We consider $m(\theta) := a\theta - \theta^2$ and

$$\psi(r) = \frac{m(\theta)}{\sin\theta} \tag{31}$$

where $a \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ is to be chosen in such a way that

$$\psi(r) \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'(r) \le 0$$

$$(32)$$

for every $0 < \theta < a$. This is equivalent with $G(\theta) > 0, \forall \theta \in (0, a)$ where

$$G(\theta) = \cos\theta m(\theta) - \sin\theta m'(\theta).$$
(33)

Since G(0) = 0, we ask that $G'(\theta) = \sin \theta (\theta^2 - a\theta + 2) \ge 0$ for every $\theta \in (0, a)$; for example, $a = 2\sqrt{2} = 2.828...$ Bandle and Benguria [1] numerically found a better value a = 3.042. Since (30) holds, by (28), we get a contradiction. Therefore, for the largest a we set $\nu(a) = 1$. (II) $\lambda \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$. Let $w \in (0, 1)$ be given by (29). We consider

 $\in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Let $w \in (0, 1)$ be given by (29). We consider

$$m(\theta) := \sin(w\theta) - a\cos(w\theta) + a$$

and ψ be defined as in (31) where *a* is to be chosen in such a way that (32) holds for the largest range of θ . Denote it by $\nu^{-1}(\lambda)$. Then (30) is satisfied and by (28), we deduce that no radial (nodal) solution of (4) exists if $\theta^* < \nu^{-1}(\lambda)$. Let us check that

$$\nu^{-1}(\lambda) > \frac{\pi}{2}$$

For that, we take a negative a in the interval

$$a \in \left(\frac{\sin(w\pi/2)}{\cos(w\pi/2) - \frac{1}{1 - w^2}}, -\frac{\cos(w\pi/2)}{\sin(w\pi/2)}\right).$$
(34)

Notice that a is well defined since $w^2 + \cos(w\pi/2) \le 1$ for every $w \in (0, 1)$. We want to prove that (32) holds in $(0, \theta_a)$ for some $\theta_a > \pi/2$. We have that

$$m'(\theta) = w(\cos(w\theta) + a\sin(w\theta))$$
 and $m''(\theta) = w^2(a\cos(w\theta) - \sin(w\theta)).$

Therefore, $m''(\theta) \leq 0$ for $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, i.e., *m* is concave. Since m(0) = 0 and $m(\pi/2) > 0$ (by (34)), we get that $m \geq 0$ in $[0, \theta_a)$ for $\theta_a > \pi/2$ and close to $\pi/2$. The same argument yields that

$$(m+m'')(\theta) = (1-w^2)\left(\sin(w\theta) - a\cos(w\theta)\right) + a$$

is concave in $[0, \pi/2]$. The choice (34) leads to $(m + m'')(\pi/2) > 0$. Since $(m + m'')(0) = aw^2 < 0$, we deduce that m + m'' changes sign just once in $(0, \pi/2)$. Define G as in (33); then

$$G'(\theta) = -\sin\theta \left(m + m''\right)(\theta)$$

Hence, G' also changes sign once in $(0, \pi/2)$ and $G' \ge 0$ for θ close to 0. Since G(0) = 0 and $G(\pi/2) = -m'(\pi/2) > 0$ (by (34)), we obtain

$$G(\theta) \ge \min\{G(0), G(\pi/2)\} \ge 0, \,\forall \theta \in (0, \theta_a),$$

i.e., (32) is satisfied in $(0, \theta_a)$. Finally, we check that

$$\nu^{-1}(\lambda) \to \pi \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \downarrow \frac{3}{4}.$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be very small. We consider λ be close to $\frac{3}{4}$ such that $1 - w^2 = O(\varepsilon^2)$. Choose a < 0 with $|a| = O(\varepsilon)$. Then $m''(\theta) \ge 0$ in an interval $(0, \theta_{\varepsilon})$ with $\theta_{\varepsilon} \to \pi$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore m is concave in $(0, \theta_{\varepsilon})$, and eventually by shrinking that interval, we can assume that $m(\theta_{\varepsilon}) > 0$, and thus, m is positive in $(0, \theta_{\varepsilon})$. Now notice that m + m'' < 0 in $(0, \pi)$ and hence, G is positive in $(0, \pi)$. We conclude that (32) holds in the interval $(0, \theta_{\varepsilon})$ that tends to $(0, \pi)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(III) $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$. Set $w = \sqrt{-4(1-\lambda)}$. Consider

$$m(\theta) := \sinh(w\theta) - a\cosh(w\theta) + a$$

and ψ be as in (31) where *a* is to be chosen in such a way that (32) holds for the largest range of θ . Denote it by $\nu^{-1}(\lambda)$. The same argument as before gives that $\nu^{-1}(\lambda) > \pi/2$, i.e., ν is well-defined; for that, it suffices to choose a positive *a* in the interval

$$a \in \left(\frac{\cosh(w\pi/2)}{\sinh(w\pi/2)}, \frac{\sinh(w\pi/2)}{\cosh(w\pi/2) - \frac{1}{1+w^2}}\right)$$

Since (30) is satisfied, we conclude by (28) that no radial (nodal) solution of (4) exists if $\theta^* < \nu^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Notice that for $\theta^* \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, we don't know in general if a positive solution of (4) is radial; moreover, for λ large enough, non-radial solutions do exist as announced by Bandle and Wei. As mentioned in Remark 2, it would be interesting to see if no solution of (4) exists below the curve ν in the strip $(\theta^*, \lambda) \subset (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \times (\frac{3}{4}, \infty)$. We believe that the answer to this question is related to the open question raised in Remark 1.

5 The simplified proof of the Gidas-Spruck result

In the following we present the proof of Lemma 5:

Proof of Lemma 5. The relation (8) between w and u leads to

$$w_i = -\frac{2}{n-2}u^{-n/(n-2)}u_i$$
 and $|dw|^2 = \frac{4}{(n-2)^2}u^{-2n/(n-2)}|du|^2.$ (35)

By (7), w satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{n}w\Delta_g w + \frac{1}{2}|dw|^2 = \frac{2}{n(n-2)}\left(\lambda w^2 - w^{\frac{n-2}{2}\left(\frac{n+2}{n-2}-p\right)}\right)$$
(36)

which writes in terms of u as

_

$$\Delta_g w = \frac{2n}{(n-2)^2} u^{-2(n-1)/(n-2)} |du|^2 + \frac{2}{n-2} \left(u^{p-\frac{n}{n-2}} - \lambda u^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} \right).$$
(37)

We will use the vector field defined in [9] that has the components

$$V_{i} = \frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} (|dw|^{2}) - \frac{1}{n} w_{i} \Delta_{g} w \right).$$
(38)

Using the equations (35) and (37), the expression of V_i in function of u writes as

$$V_{i} = \frac{2}{(n-2)^{2}} \bigg[\partial_{i} \big(u^{-2/(n-2)} |du|^{2} \big) + (n-2) \partial_{i} \big(u^{-2/(n-2)} \big) |du|^{2} \\ + \frac{2}{n} \big(u^{p-\frac{2}{n-2}} - \lambda u^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}} \big) u_{i} \bigg].$$
(39)

Notice that by (14) and (15), we have that

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \nabla_i \tilde{w}_j \nabla_j \tilde{w}_i - \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_g w)^2 \right).$$

Now we compute the co-differential of the vector $\tilde{V} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} V$:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{i} \tilde{V}_{i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{i} \left[\frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{2\rho^{2}} \partial_{i} (|dw|^{2}) - \frac{1}{n} \tilde{w}_{i} \Delta_{g} w \right) \right] \\ & \stackrel{(15),(16)}{=} \frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(\tilde{w}_{j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} \tilde{w}_{i} + \partial_{i} (\tilde{w}_{j}) \nabla_{j} \tilde{w}_{i} \right) - \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_{g} w)^{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{w}_{i} \partial_{i} (\Delta_{g} w) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} \left(\frac{1}{w^{n-1}} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2\rho^{2}} \partial_{i} (|dw|^{2}) - \frac{1}{n} \tilde{w}_{i} \Delta_{g} w \right] \\ \stackrel{(13),(17)}{=} J(x) + \frac{n-1}{w^{n-1}} \rho^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{w}_{j}^{2} + \frac{n-1}{nw^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{w}_{i} \partial_{i} (\Delta_{g} w) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)w_{i}}{w^{n}} \left[\frac{1}{2\rho^{2}} \partial_{i} (|dw|^{2}) - \frac{1}{n} \tilde{w}_{i} \Delta_{g} w \right] \\ \stackrel{(36)}{=} J(x) + \frac{n-1}{w^{n-1}} |dw|^{2} \\ & + \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n-2)} \left[\left(\frac{n+2}{2} - p\frac{n-2}{2} \right) w^{-\frac{n}{2} - p\frac{n-2}{2}} - 2\lambda w^{1-n} \right] |dw|^{2}. \end{split}$$

In terms of u, the co-differential of \tilde{V} becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{i} \tilde{V}_{i} \stackrel{(35)}{=} J(x) + \frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)^{2}} u^{-2/(n-2)} |du|^{2} + \frac{8(n-1)}{n(n-2)^{3}} \left[\left(\frac{n+2}{2} - p\frac{n-2}{2}\right) u^{p-\frac{n}{n-2}} - 2\lambda u^{-2/(n-2)} \right] |du|^{2}.$$

$$(40)$$

Now let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Integration by parts yields

$$0 = \int_{S^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i (u^{-\gamma} \tilde{V})_i = \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i \tilde{V}_i - \gamma \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \tilde{V}_i.$$
(41)

By (39), integrating by parts, we deduce:

$$\frac{(n-2)^2}{2} \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-1} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \tilde{V}_i = -\int_{S^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i (u^{-\gamma-1} \tilde{u}_i) u^{-2/(n-2)} |du|^2 - 2 \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-2(n-1)/(n-2)} |du|^4 + \frac{2}{n} \int_{S^n} \left(u^{p-\gamma-\frac{n}{n-2}} - \lambda u^{-\gamma-\frac{2}{n-2}} \right) |du|^2 \\ \stackrel{(7)}{=} (\gamma-1) \int_{S^n} u^{-\gamma-2(n-1)/(n-2)} |du|^4 + \frac{n+2}{n} \int_{S^n} \left(u^{p-\gamma-\frac{n}{n-2}} - \lambda u^{-\gamma-\frac{2}{n-2}} \right) |du|^2.$$

The conclusion follows by (40) and (41).

Corollary 6 is a trivial consequence of Lemma 5:

Proof of Corollary 6. Suppose that hypothesis (i) holds. Set

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{2(n-1)}{n+2} \left(\frac{n+2}{n-2} - p\right).$$

If $n \ge 8$, then $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$ and

$$\lambda \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n(n-2)} - \gamma_0 \frac{n+2}{2n}\Big) \le n-1 \tag{42}$$

provided that $\lambda \leq \frac{n}{p-1}$. Applying (9) for γ_0 , we conclude that the second term in (9) must vanish, that means u is constant. If $3 \leq n < 8$, an easy computation shows that

$$a_n \ge \frac{n}{p-1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p \ge \frac{n(n+2)}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \gamma_0 \le 1.$$

Therefore, if $a_n \geq \frac{n}{p-1}$, we choose $\gamma = \gamma_0$ in (9) and we deduce that the last term in (9) must be zero, i.e., u is constant provided that $\lambda < \frac{n}{p-1}$. Otherwise, (9) for $\gamma = 1$ also yields that the last term vanishes and the conclusion follows. Now suppose that *(ii)* holds. Then for $\gamma = 0$, (9) shows that the last term is zero, that is u must be constant provided that $\lambda < \frac{n}{p-1}$.

Remark 3 When $\{n \ge 8, p \in (1, \frac{n+2}{n-2})\}$ and $\{3 \le n < 8, p \in (\frac{n(n+2)}{2(n-1)(n-2)}, \frac{n+2}{n-2})\}$, Corollary 6 is sharp.

References

- BANDLE, Catherine, BENGURIA, Rafael, The Brézis-Nirenberg problem on S³, J. Differential Equations 178 (2002), 264–279.
- [2] BENGURIA, Rafael D., DOLBEAULT, Jean, ESTEBAN, Maria J., Classification of the solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in a ball, J. Differential Equations 167 (2000) (2), 438–466.

- BIDAUT-VÉRON, Marie-Françoise, VÉRON, Laurent, Nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds and asymptotics of Emden equations, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 489– 539.
- [4] BREZIS, Haïm, LI, Yanyan, Some nonlinear elliptic equations have only constant solutions, preprint.
- [5] BREZIS, Haïm, NIRENBERG, Louis, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 437–477.
- [6] BREZIS, Haïm, PELETIER, Lambertus A., *Elliptic equations with critical exponent on spherical caps of* \mathbf{S}^3 , J. Analyse Math., to appear.
- [7] CRANDALL, Michael G., RABINOWITZ, Paul H., Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues, J. Functional Analysis 8 (1971), 321–340.
- [8] GIDAS, Basilis, NI, Wei Ming, NIRENBERG, Louis, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), 209–243.
- [9] GIDAS, Basilis, SPRUCK, Joel, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), 525–598.
- [10] LICHNEROWICZ, André, Géométrie des groupes de transformations, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, III. Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- [11] POHOŽAEV, Stanislav I., On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165 (1965), 36–39.