

# Chap 2.

## II Fluctuat°

Class 4	I
Chap 2:	I - LLN II - Fluctua

Now that we know that

$$\frac{L(Nx, Ny)}{N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} s(x, y) \quad \text{if } \mathbb{E}|w|^{2+\epsilon} < \infty,$$

what about fluctuations?

That is to say, is there a limit:

$$\frac{L(Nx, Ny) - Ns(x, y)}{\sigma(x, y) N^{1/2}} \rightarrow ?$$

This turns out to be a surprisingly hard quest°.

Conjecture: (Another KPZ conjecture, "Fixed point")

$$\frac{L(Nx, Ny) - Ns(x, y)}{\sigma(x, y) N^{1/3}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \text{TW}$$

(Tracy-Widom)  
distribut°

As a first approximation to  $N^{1/3}$  fluctuations, we will develop a general theory which works also for the Gaussian setting - this is the generic setting. It says that  $N^{1/2}$  scaling is the worst case scenario

Thm: If  $w$  bounded by  $L > 0$  a.s

II

Then  $\exists C, c > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|L(N, M) - \mathbb{E}L(N, M)| \geq (N+M) \epsilon)$$

$$\leq C e^{-c \epsilon^2 (N+M)}$$

$\triangle$   $a$ -dimensional.

The main tool is

## II. [1] Talagrand's inequality and its corollary

Thm [Talagrand]

Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]^m$  be a product space with product measure

$$\mathbb{P} = \mu^{\otimes m}$$

Then for all  $A \subset \Omega$ , if  $\mathbb{E}L(X) = \mathbb{P}$ ,

$\triangleleft$  No  $m$   $A$ -dimensional

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{\frac{1}{4} d_c(X, A)^2} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

where  $d_c(x, A)$  is the "convex distance" from  $x$  to  $A$

Definition of the "convex distance"

$$d_c(x, A) := d_E(0, \text{Conv } \mathcal{S}(A-x))$$

where  $\text{Conv } X :=$  Convex hull of  $X$

$$\mathcal{S}(A) := \left\{ s \in \{0, 1\}^m \mid \exists y \in A, s_i = 0 \Rightarrow y_i = 0 \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{y \in A} \left\{ s \in \{0, 1\}^m \mid s_i = 0 \Rightarrow y_i = 0 \right\}$$

possible

$\mathcal{S}(A)$   
measures  
complexity  
of  $A$

Intuition:

why support?  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  is the set of supports of elements in  $A$ .

$s_i = 1 \Rightarrow y_i$  can be anything

$s_i = 0 \Rightarrow y_i = 0$  ie coordinate  $i$  is not used

Corollary: Let  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  be a r.v with

iid components in  $[0, 1]$ .

$F: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  convex, 1-lipschitz.

Then (1)  $\mathbb{P}(|F(X) - MF(X)| \geq t) \leq 4e^{-t^2/4}$

(2)  $\mathbb{P}(|F(X) - \mathbb{E}F(X)| \geq t) \leq c \cdot e^{-ct^2}$

where  $MF = \text{Median of } Y$

= Any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t  $\mathbb{P}(Y \geq a) \wedge \mathbb{P}(Y < a) \geq 1/2$ .

Proof of Corollary:

$\hookrightarrow [(1) \Rightarrow (2)] \quad |\mathbb{E}F(X) - MF(X)| \leq \mathbb{E}|F(X) - MF(X)|$

$\leq \int_0^{\infty} dt \mathbb{P}(|F(X) - MF(X)| \geq t) \leq \int_0^{\infty} 4dt e^{-t^2/4} = c$

Absolute

Then  $\mathbb{P}(|F(X) - \mathbb{E}F(X)| \geq t)$

$= \mathbb{P}(|F(X) - \mathbb{E}F(X)| \geq t - c)$

Triangular Ineq.

$\leq \mathbb{P}(|F(X) - MF(X)| + \underbrace{|\mathbb{E}F(X) - MF(X)|}_{< 0} \geq t - c)$

$\leq \mathbb{P}(|F(X) - MF(X)| \geq t - c) \leq 4e^{-\frac{1}{4}(t-c)^2}$

$\leq c' e^{-c't^2}$

$\hookrightarrow$  [Talagrand inequality  $\Rightarrow$  (1)]

① First, the convex distance controls the convex distance

$\forall A \subset [0, 1]^m$  convex,  $x \in [0, 1]^m, d_E(x, A) \leq d_C(x, A)$

Indeed  $d_C(x, A) \leq t \iff \exists w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  s.t  $\begin{cases} |w|_E \leq t \\ w \in \text{Conv } \mathcal{S}(A-x) \end{cases}$

$\iff \exists w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  s.t  $\begin{cases} |w|_E \leq t \\ w = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j s_j \\ s_j \in \mathcal{S}(A-x), \sum \lambda_j = 1 \end{cases}$

By definition of  $\mathcal{S}(A-x)$ ,

$$\forall s_j \in U_A(x), \exists \tilde{x}_j \in A-x, s_j^i = 0 \implies \tilde{x}_j^i = 0$$

$$\exists \tilde{x}_j \in A, s_j^i = 0 \implies (\tilde{x}_j - x)^i = 0 \quad / \quad (\tilde{x}_j - x)^i \in$$

$$\implies |(\tilde{x}_j^i - x^i)| \leq s_j^i \quad \text{as in } [-1, 1]$$

& support condition

Let  $x = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \tilde{x}_j \in A$  by convexity of  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \implies d_E(x, A) &\leq \|x - x\|_E && \text{But } |(x-x)^i| \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |x^i - x^i|^2} && \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j |(\tilde{x}_j - x)^i| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (w_i)^2} && \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j s_j^i \\ &= \|w\|_E \leq t && = w_i \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion  $d_c(x, A) \leq t \implies d_E(x, A) \leq t$  □

② Let us now prove that for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$  we have:

$$\textcircled{*} \quad \mathbb{P}(F(x) \geq at) \mathbb{P}(F(x) \leq a) \leq e^{-t^2/4}$$

By writing  $A = \{F \leq a\}$ , we have

$$\textcircled{*} \iff \mathbb{P}(F(x) \geq at) \leq \frac{e^{-t^2/4}}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

By Talagrand's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(d_c(x, A) \geq t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{d_c(x, A) \geq t\}} e^{t^2/4} \right] e^{-t^2/4} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Chebychev}}{\leq} \mathbb{E} \left( e^{d_c(x, A)^2/4} \right) e^{-t^2/4} && \stackrel{\text{Talagrand}}{\leq} \frac{e^{-t^2/4}}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \textcircled{*} \iff \mathbb{P}(F(x) \geq at) \leq \mathbb{P}(d_c(x, A) \geq t)$$

$$\iff \{d_c(x, A) \geq t\} \supset \{d_E(x, A) \geq t\}$$

↑  
steps  
Use  $F$  1-lip  $\implies \{F(x) \geq t+a\}$

For the last implicate:

V

$$F(x) \geq t+a \Rightarrow \forall y \in A, |x-y| \geq |F(x)-F(y)| \geq F(x)-F(y) \geq t+a-F(y) \geq t \Rightarrow d_E(x,A) \geq t$$

③ • Apply ④ with  $a = MF(x)$  :  $\mathbb{P}(|F(x)-MF(x)| \geq t) \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-t^2/4}$   
 and  $a = t - MF(x)$  :  $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(MF(x)-F(x) \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/4}$

Together  $\mathbb{P}(|F(x)-MF(x)| \geq t) \leq 4e^{-t^2/4}$  □

II-21. Application to DLPP:

The concentration of measure.

$$L(N,M) = \max_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi(N,M) \\ (i,j) \rightarrow}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \pi} w_{ij} = F(\underbrace{w_{ij}; \substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq j \leq M}}_{X \in \mathbb{R}^m})$$

$F$  is

• convex as max of linear functions

$$F(x) = \max_{\pi \in \Pi^{N,M}} \mathcal{L}_\pi(x) \quad \text{where } \mathcal{L}_\pi = \sum_{(i,j) \in \pi} e_{ij}^* \in (\mathbb{R}^{N \times M})^* \text{ linear forms}$$

Dual canonical basis  $\leftarrow \mathbb{1}$

•  $L$ -Lipschitz for  $L = \sqrt{N+M}$

Notice that if  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is s.t.  $\exists ! \pi^*$  optimal:

$$L(N,M) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*}(x^*) > \max_{\pi \neq \pi^*} \mathcal{L}_\pi(x^*)$$

↑ open condition!

Then  $\begin{cases} F(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*}(x) \\ \& \nabla F(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \|\nabla F(x)\|_2 = \|\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*}\|_2 = \sqrt{N+M}$

Is it always true? No ... The complement set VI

$$\left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \pi_1 \neq \pi_2 \text{ s.t. } L(N, M) = d_{\pi_1}^L(X) = d_{\pi_2}^L(X) \right\}$$
$$\subset \bigcup_{\pi_1 \neq \pi_2} \text{ker} \left( d_{\pi_1}^L - d_{\pi_2}^L \right)$$

is contained in a closed subset of zero Lebesgue dimension  $\implies$

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla F(X)\|_2 = \sqrt{N+M} \text{ for a.e. } X \\ F \text{ differentiable a.e.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(X) - F(Y)\| &= \left| \int_0^1 \underbrace{\nabla F(X + t(Y-X))}_{\xi_t} \cdot (Y-X) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla F(\xi_t) \cdot (Y-X)\| dt \\ &\stackrel{\text{C.S.}}{\leq} \sqrt{N+M} \|X-Y\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

! we are not exactly done  
If  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^k)$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,

$$\|\nabla F(X)\|_2 = \sqrt{N+M} \text{ for } \underline{\lambda(\mathbb{R}^k)}\text{-a.e.}$$

And  $\otimes$  needs a.e. equality for the Lebesgue measure on lines.

How to fix it? One needs "for almost every lines"

+  $(X, Y)$  are random, not falling on lines.

We will not discuss these details.

We mention them for the sake of completeness.

If  $\begin{cases} |w_{ij}| \leq L \text{ for } L \leq 1 \\ \tilde{F} = \frac{F}{L\sqrt{N+M}} \end{cases}$  is convex &  $\frac{1}{L}$ -Lipschitz  
 $\leadsto 1$ -Lipschitz

Thus  $\exists c, c > 0,$

$$\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{F}(w_{ij}) - E \tilde{F}(w_{ij})| \geq t) \leq c \cdot e^{-ct^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|L(N, M) - E L(N, M)| \geq t L \sqrt{N+M}) \leq c \cdot e^{-ct^2}$$

□