Serdica Math. J. 39 (2013), 355-364

Serdica Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

ON CLUSTER POINTS OF ALTERNATING PROJECTIONS

Heinz H. Bauschke, Dominikus Noll

Communicated by N. Ribarska

Dedicated to Asen Dontchev on the occasion of his 65th birthday and to Vladimir Veliov on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. Suppose that A and B are closed subsets of a Euclidean space such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and we aim to find a point in this intersection with the help of the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated by the *method of alternating projections*. It is well known that if A and B are convex, then $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to some point in $A \cap B$. The situation in the nonconvex case is much more delicate. In 1990, Combettes and Trussell presented a dichotomy result that guarantees either convergence to a point in the intersection or a nondegenerate compact continuum as the set of cluster points.

In this note, we construct two sets in the Euclidean plane illustrating the continuum case. The sets A and B can be chosen as countably infinite unions of closed convex sets. In contrast, we also show that such behaviour is impossible for finite unions.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65K10; Secondary 47H04, 49M20, 49M37, 65K05, 90C26, 90C30.

Key words: Cluster point, convex set, continuum, method of alternating projections, non-convex set, projection.

1. Motivation. Let X be a real Euclidean space, and let A and B be closed subsets of X. Our aim is to find a point in $A \cap B$ which we assume to be nonempty. One classical algorithm is the *method of alternating projections*: Given a starting point $b_{-1} \in X$, generate sequences

(1)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad a_n \in P_A(b_{n-1}) \text{ and } b_n \in P_B(a_n)$$

where $P_C x := \{c \in C \mid ||x - c|| = d_C(x) := \inf_{y \in C} ||x - y||\}$ denotes the projection of x onto C. When A and B are convex, then the projectors P_A and P_B are single-valued and the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to some point in $A \cap B$. This classical result goes back to Bregman [6], and it has found a huge number of extensions (see, e.g., [3], [8], [10], [11]). In the general case, when A and B are not necessarily convex, the situation is much more delicate. In their 1990 paper [9], Combettes and Trussell gave quite general sufficient conditions for the following dichotomy: either $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to a point in $A \cap B$ or the set of cluster points is a nondegenerate continuum. (For recent results in the nonconvex case, see [4] and [5] and the references therein.)

The goal of this note is to explicitly construct two sets A and B illustrating the continuum case.

The main ingredient of our construction is a spiral in the Euclidean plane from which we pick points in an alternating fashion¹.

The sets A and B may be chosen to be countably infinite unions of closed convex sets. In contrast, we also prove that the continuum case cannot occur when A and B are finite unions of closed convex sets.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we lay the ground work by studying a certain curve in the Euclidean plane. In Section 3, we use this curve to construct a sequence of points in the plane that is crucial in obtaining the sets A and B. Some remarks and the announced positive result conclude the paper.

2. A useful spiral. We will mostly work in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 . As usual, angles will be measured in radians, but sometimes we shall use degrees as in writing $\pi/2 = 90^\circ$.

Let us recall that the distance d between $(r \cos(\alpha), r \sin(\alpha))$ and $(s \cos(\beta), s \sin(\beta))$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies

(2a)
$$d^2 = \|(r\cos(\alpha), r\sin(\alpha)) - (s\cos(\beta), s\sin(\beta))\|^2 = r^2 + s^2 - 2rs\cos(\alpha - \beta)$$

(2b) $\ge r^2 + s^2 - 2rs = (r - s)^2;$

356

¹The reader is invited to take a peek at the figure below for an illustration of the location of these points.

hence,

(3)
$$r-d \le s \le r+d.$$

Define the function ρ by

(4)
$$\rho \colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \colon t \mapsto 1 + \exp(-t).$$

This function will represent the distance of a point on the curve at time t to the origin. Clearly, ρ is strictly decreasing with $\rho(0) = 2$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \rho(t) = 1$. Also define

(5)
$$\varepsilon \colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_{++} \colon t \mapsto \frac{\rho(t) - \rho(t + 2\pi)}{2}.$$

Then $\varepsilon' = -\varepsilon$ and hence ε is strictly decreasing to $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$. Note that

(6)
$$\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_{++} : \alpha \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha)}{\rho(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi}}{1 + e^{\alpha}}$$
 is strictly decreasing.

We now define the curve

(7)
$$x: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^2: \alpha \mapsto \rho(\alpha) \cdot \big(\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha)\big).$$

Note that x describes a spiral traversing counter-clockwise; x is *injective* because ρ is strictly decreasing. Now let α and β be in \mathbb{R}_+ , and assume that $||x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|| \leq \varepsilon(\alpha)$. By (3), $\rho(\alpha) - \varepsilon(\alpha) \leq \rho(\beta) \leq \rho(\alpha) + \varepsilon(\alpha)$. Using the definitions, we solve these inequalities for β and obtain

(8)
$$\alpha - 0.40 \approx \alpha + \ln(2) - \ln(3 - e^{-2\pi}) \le \beta \le \alpha + \ln(2) - \ln(1 + e^{-2\pi}) \approx \alpha + 0.69;$$

in degrees, this implies $\alpha - 24^{\circ} \leq \beta \leq \alpha + 40^{\circ}$. To summarize,

(9)
$$||x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|| \le \varepsilon(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha - 24^{\circ} \le \beta \le \alpha + 40^{\circ}.$$

We will now discuss the monotonicity of the function

(10)
$$f: t \mapsto \|x(\alpha+t) - x(\alpha)\|^2$$

Recall that

(11)
$$t \in \left]0, \pi/2\right[\quad \Rightarrow \quad \sin(t) + \cos(t) > 1.$$

One checks that

(12)
$$f'(t)\frac{\exp(2(\alpha+t))}{2} = g_1(t) + g_2(t) + g_3(t),$$

where

(13a)
$$g_1(t) = \sin(t) \exp(2t + \alpha)(1 + \exp(\alpha)),$$

(13b)
$$g_2(t) = \exp(\alpha + t) (\sin(t) + \cos(t) - 1),$$

Heinz H. Bauschke, Dominikus Noll

(13c)
$$g_3(t) = \exp(t) (\sin(t) + \cos(t) - \exp(-t)).$$

Since each g_i is strictly positive on $]0, \pi/2[$, it follows from the mean value theorem that

(14)
$$f$$
 is strictly increasing on $[0, \pi/2]$.

Combining with (9), we deduce²

(15)
$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+)(\exists ! \beta > \alpha) ||x(\beta) - x(\alpha)|| = \varepsilon(\alpha).$$

Furthermore, denoting the unit sphere by S, we have

(16)
$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+) \quad d_S(x(\alpha)) = \rho(\alpha) - 1 = \exp(-\alpha) > \varepsilon(\alpha).$$

3. A useful sequence. We now construct a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the Euclidean plane with remarkable properties. Let us initialize

(17)
$$\alpha_0 := 0, \quad x_0 := x(\alpha_0), \quad \rho_0 := \rho(\alpha_0), \quad \varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon(\alpha_0).$$

In Cartesian coordinates, $x_0 = (2, 0)$, and $\varepsilon_0 \approx 0.5$. Now suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and α_n , x_n , ρ_n , and ε_n are given. In view of (15), there exists a unique $\beta > \alpha_n$ such that

(18)
$$\|x(\beta) - x(\alpha_n)\| = \varepsilon_n.$$

We then update

(19)
$$\alpha_{n+1} := \beta, x_{n+1} := x(\alpha_{n+1}), \rho_{n+1} := \rho(\alpha_{n+1}), \text{ and } \varepsilon_{n+1} := \varepsilon(\alpha_{n+1}).$$

(The picture illustrates the beginning of the spiral and x_0, \ldots, x_{15} along with the radii used to construct the next iterate.) We also set

(20)
$$\delta_n := \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n.$$

By construction,

(21)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| = \varepsilon_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \delta_k = \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_0.$$

Note that

(22) $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly increasing, and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing

because the function ε is strictly decreasing. Set

(23)
$$\alpha_{\infty} := \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n \in \left]0, +\infty\right].$$

358

²" \exists !" stands for "there exists a *unique*"



Since ρ is strictly decreasing we also note that

(24) $(\rho_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing, with $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_n =: \rho_\infty \in [1, 2[$. Hence the corresponding sequence of quotients satisfies

(25)
$$1 > q_n := \frac{\rho_{n+1}}{\rho_n} \to 1$$

Using (2a) and the half-angle identity for sine, we have

(26a)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \varepsilon_n^2 = \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2$$

(26b)
$$= \rho_n^2 + \rho_{n+1}^2 - 2\rho_n \rho_{n+1} \cos(\delta_n)$$

(26c)
$$= (\rho_n - \rho_{n+1})^2 + 2\rho_n \rho_{n+1} (1 - \cos(\delta_n))$$
$$= 1 - \cos(\delta_n)$$

(26d)
$$= (\rho_n - \rho_{n+1})^2 + 4\rho_n \rho_{n+1} \frac{1 - \cos(\sigma_n)}{2}$$

(26e)
$$= (\rho_n - \rho_{n+1})^2 + 4\rho_n \rho_{n+1} \sin^2(\delta_n/2).$$

Dividing by ρ_n^2 and recalling (6), we obtain

(27)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-e^{-2\pi}}{1+e^{\alpha_n}}\right)^2 = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{\rho_n^2} = (1-q_n)^2 + 4q_n \sin^2(\delta_n/2).$$

Taking limits, we learn that

(28)
$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-e^{-2\pi}}{1+e^{\alpha_{\infty}}}\right)^2 = 4\lim_n \sin^2(\delta_n/2).$$

Since δ_n , in degrees, belongs to $]0^\circ, 40^\circ]$ by (9), we deduce that $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent as well. If $\alpha_{\infty} = +\infty$, then $\delta_n \to 0$ by (28); however, if $\alpha_{\infty} < +\infty$, then $\delta_n = \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \to \alpha_{\infty} - \alpha_{\infty} = 0$. Either way,

(29) $\delta_n \to 0.$

Again by (28), we have

(30) $\alpha_n \to \alpha_\infty = +\infty,$

which by (21) implies

(31)
$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\delta_n = +\infty,$$

(32)
$$\varepsilon_n \to 0,$$

and

(33) $\rho_n \to \rho_\infty = 1.$

Note also that in view of (26), we have

(34)
$$\varepsilon_n^2 > 4\sin^2(\delta_n/2) \ge \frac{\delta_n^2}{4}$$
 eventually,

where we used (29) and the Taylor estimate

(35)
$$\sin(t/2) \ge \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{1}{48}t^3 = \frac{t}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{24}t^2\right) \ge \frac{t}{4}$$
 for t sufficiently close to 0.

Combining with (31), we record that

(36)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| > ||x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}|| \to 0,$$

and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| = +\infty.$

Furthermore, (30) and (33) imply that

(37) the set of cluster points of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the unit sphere S.

Define

$$(38) \qquad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad C_n := \{x_0, x_1, \ldots\} \smallsetminus \{x_n\}$$

We claim that

(39)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad P_{C_n} x_n = \{x_{n+1}\}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $D_n := \{x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \ldots\} \subset x(]\alpha_n, +\infty[)$, it follows from (9), (14), and (15) that $P_{D_n}x_n = \{x_{n+1}\}$. We show that there is no $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that k < n and $||x_k - x_n|| < ||x_n - x_{n+1}||$. Suppose the contrary. Then, by (9), $\alpha_n - 24^\circ \le \alpha_k < \alpha_n$. Hence $\alpha_k < \alpha_n \le \alpha_k + 24^\circ$. By (14), $||x_k - x_{k+1}|| = ||x(\alpha_k) - x(\alpha_{k+1})|| \le ||x(\alpha_k) - x(\alpha_n)|| = ||x_k - x_n|| < ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| < ||x_k - x_{k+1}||$, which is absurd. This verifies (39). Furthermore, by (16),

$$(40) \qquad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad d_S(x_n) > ||x_n - x_{n+1}||.$$

Let us summarize our findings.

Theorem 3.1. The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set $Y := \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfy the following:

- (i) $(||x_n x_{n+1}||)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing.
- (ii) $x_n x_{n+1} \to 0$.

(iii)
$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = +\infty.$$

- (iv) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) P_{(S \cup Y) \setminus \{x_n\}} x_n = \{x_{n+1}\}.$
- (v) The set of cluster points of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the compact continuum S.
- (vi) $S \cup D$ is closed, where D is an arbitrary subset of Y.

We now obtain the announced example concerning an instance of the method of alternating projections whose set of cluster points is a nondegenerate compact continuum.

Corollary 3.2. Set

(41)
$$A := \{x_{2n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup S, B := \{x_{2n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup S, and b_{-1} := x_0.$$

Then A and B are nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . The corresponding sequences of alternating projections satisfy

(42)
$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad a_n = P_A b_{n-1} = x_{2n} \text{ and } b_n = P_B a_n = x_{2n+1}.$$

Moreover, $a_n - b_{n-1} \to 0$, $b_n - a_n \to 0$, and S is the set of cluster points of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and of $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Remark 3.3. Some comments on Corollary 3.2 are in order.

- (i) We note that Corollary 3.2 is the first example constructed where the set of limit points of alternating projections is a nondegenerate compact continuum. This complements the analysis of Combettes and Trussell [9] who conceived this case.
- (ii) If the starting point b_{-1} is an arbitrary point, then either $a_0 \in S$ or $a_0 \in A \setminus S$. In the first case, we have $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ $a_n = b_n = a_0$; in the second case, the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are tails of $(x_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(x_{2n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

respectively. A more involved analysis shows that if b_{-1} is outside the closed unit disk, then $P_A b_{-1} \in A \setminus S$ and we are in the second case. Hence one obtains a nondegenerate compact continuum of cluster points exactly when b_{-1} lies outside the closed unit disk.

(iii) Suppose that, in (41), we replace S by the closed unit disk and we consider all possible orbits, i.e., the starting point b_{-1} ranges over the entire space X instead of being pinned at x_0 . Then the corresponding union of the sets of cluster points of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the closed unit disk. Note that in this case, both A and B are countably infinite unions of convex sets. In the following result, we show that a degenerate continuum cannot occur as the set of cluster points when A and B are finite unions of nonempty closed convex sets.

Theorem 3.4 (finite unions of convex sets). Suppose that I and J are nonempty finite index sets, let $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(B_j)_{j\in J}$ be families of nonempty closed convex subsets of a Euclidean space X, and set $A := \bigcup_{i\in I} A_i$ and $B := \bigcup_{j\in J} B_j$. Consider a sequence of alternating projections $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ generated by A and B: $b_{-1} \in X$, and $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ $a_n \in P_A b_{n-1}$ and $b_n \in P_B a_n$. Suppose that $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are bounded, and that $b_n - a_n \to 0$ and $a_{n+1} - b_n \to 0$. Then there exists a point $c \in A \cap B$ such that $a_n \to c$ and $b_n \to c$.

Proof. After relabeling and considering the tails of the sequences if necessary, we assume that

(43a)
$$(\forall i \in I) A_i$$
 is projected upon infinitely often

and that

(43b)
$$(\forall j \in J) B_j$$
 is projected upon infinitely often.

The pigeonhole principle gives $(i_+, j_+) \in I \times J$ and subsequences $(a_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lying in A_{i_+} and B_{j_+} respectively. After passing to further subsequences if necessary, we also assume that there is $c \in A_{i_+} \cap B_{j_+}$ such that $a_{k_n} \to c$ and $b_{k_n} \to c$. Set $I_- := \{i \in I \mid c \notin A_i\}, I_+ := I \setminus I_-, J_- := \{j \in J \mid c \notin B_j\}, J_+ := J \setminus J_-, \delta := \min\{\min_{i \in I_-} d_{A_i}(c), \min_{j \in J_-} d_{B_j}(c), 1\}, A_- := \bigcup_{i \in I_-} A_i, \text{ and } B_- := \bigcup_{j \in J_-} B_j.$ Now assume that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||a_m - c|| < \delta/2$. Then $d_{B_-}(a_m) \ge d_{B_-}(c) - ||a_m - c|| > \delta - \delta/2 = \delta/2 > ||a_m - c|| \ge d_{B \setminus B_-}(a_m)$. Hence $(\forall j \in J_-) b_m \notin P_{B_j}(a_m)$, and thus $b_m \in \{P_{B_j}(a_m) \mid j \in J_+\}$. Since projectors are nonexpansive, it follows that $||b_m - c|| \le ||a_m - c|| < \delta/2$.

(44a)
$$||a_m - c|| < \delta/2 \implies ||b_m - c|| < \delta/2 \text{ and } (\forall j \in J_-) b_m \notin P_{B_j}(a_m),$$

362

and a similar argument yields

(44b) $||b_m - c|| < \delta/2 \implies ||a_{m+1} - c|| < \delta/2 \text{ and } (\forall i \in I_-) a_{m+1} \notin P_{A_i}(b_m).$

Since $a_{k_n} \to c$, there does exist $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||a_M - c|| < \delta/2$. But then (44) has two consequences. First, starting with iteration index M, $(\forall i \in I_-) A_i$ is not projected upon and $(\forall j \in J_-) B_j$ is not projected upon. In view of (43), we conclude that $I_- = J_- = \emptyset$, i.e., $c \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} B_j$. The second consequence of (44) is $(\forall m \ge M) ||a_m - c|| \ge ||b_m - c|| \ge ||a_{m+1} - c||$. Finally, since c is a cluster point of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, it thus follows that $||a_n - c|| \to 0$ and $||b_n - c|| \to 0$. \Box

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for his/her careful and pertinent comments, Dr. Shawn Wang for helpful discussions, and Dr. Jérôme Bolte for pointing out the following related references for constructing nonconvergent curves of dynamical systems: [1], [2], and [12]. HHB was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the Canada Research Chair Program. DN was supported by the research grant Technicom from Foundation EADS.

REFERENCES

- P.-A. ABSIL, R. MAHONY, B. ANDREWS. Convergence of the iterates of descent methods for analytic cost functions. SIAM J. Optim. 16 (2005), 531–547.
- [2] H. ATTOUCH, X. GOUDOU, P. REDONT. The heavy ball with friction method I. The continuous dynamical system: global exploration of the local minima of a real-valued function by asymptotic analysis of a dissipative dynamical system. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 2 (2000), 1–34.
- [3] H. H. BAUSCHKE, P. L. COMBETTES. Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces. CMS Books in Mathematics. Berlin, Springer, 2011.
- [4] H. H. BAUSCHKE, D. R. LUKE, H. M. PHAN, X. WANG. Restricted normal cones and the method of alternating projections: theory. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 21 (2013), 431–473.

- [5] H. H. BAUSCHKE, D. R. LUKE, H. M. PHAN, X. WANG. Restricted normal cones and the method of alternating projections: applications. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 21 (2013), 475–501.
- [6] L. M. BREGMAN. The method of successive project for finding a common point of convex sets. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 162 (1965), 487–490; English translation in: *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 6 (1965), 688–692.
- [7] A. CEGIELSKI. Iterative Methods for Fixed Point Problems in Hilbert Spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **2057**, Berlin, Springer, 2012.
- [8] Y. CENSOR, S. A. ZENIOS. Parallel Optimization. Theory, Algorithms, and Applications. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [9] P. L. COMBETTES, H. J. TRUSSELL. Methods of successive projections for finding a common point of sets in metric spaces. J. Optim. Theory Appl. 67, 3 (1990), 487–507.
- [10] K. GOEBEL, W. A. KIRK. Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [11] K. GOEBEL, S. REICH. Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings. New York, Marcel Dekker, 1984.
- [12] J. PALIS, JR., W. DE MELO. Geometric Theory of Dynamical Systems. New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, Springer, 1982.

Heinz H. Bauschke University of British Columbia Kelowna, B.C. V1V 1V7, Canada e-mail: heinz.bauschke@ubc.ca Dominikus Noll Université Paul Sabatier Institut de Mathématiques 118 route de Narbonne 31062 Toulouse, France e-mail: noll@mip.ups-tlse.fr

Received July 11, 2013