Thomae S_5 invariance, generalized beta distributions and random continued fractions

C. Asci, G. Letac, M. Piccioni.

A refreshment on hypergeometric functions. The sequence of Pochhammer's symbols $((a)_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined by $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_{n+1} = (a + n)(a)_n$. For real numbers a_1, \ldots, a_p and positive numbers b_1, \ldots, b_q we denote by

$$_{p}F_{q}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};z)$$

the sum of the power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{n! (b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} z^n.$$
 (1)

If a > 0 then $(a)_n \sim \frac{n^{a-1}n!}{\Gamma(a)}$ by the Stirling formula of the gamma function. For p = q+1 and for $a_j > 0$ for all j denote

$$c = b_1 + \dots + b_q - a_1 - \dots - a_{q+1}.$$
 (2)

Then the coefficient of the general term of the series 1 is equivalent to n^{-1-c} up to a multiplicative constant and this implies that the series 1 converges for z = 1 if and only if c > 0. Thomae invariance by S_5 . Let us state a stricking result due to Thomae (1879) :

Theorem. Consider the analytic function on \mathbb{C}^5 defined by the analytic continuation of

 $(a, b, c, d, e) \mapsto {}_{3}F_{2}(a, b, c; d, e; 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}(c)_{n}}{n!(d)_{n}(e)_{n}}.$

Consider the (5,5) matrices

$$A = I_5 + J_5 - \begin{bmatrix} J_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 3A^{-1} = 3I_5 + J_5 - 3\begin{bmatrix} J_3 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where J_k is the (k,k) matrix whose entries are 1. Define $(x, y, z, u, v) = (a, b, c, d, e)A^{-1}$. Then the function E(x, y, z, u, v) =

 $\frac{1}{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(e)\Gamma(d+e-a-b-c)} {}_{3}F_{2}(a,b,c;d,e;1)$ is a symmetric function of (x,y,z,u,v).

Impressive, but not that much

In the previous theorem, actually the symmetry in x, y, z is more or less obvious because of the block structure of A and the obvious symmetry in a, b, c. Similarly the symmetry in u, v is inherited of the obvious symmetry in d, e. Since the group of permutations S_5 is generated by the 4 transpositions (1,2), (2,3), (3,4), (4,5) and since the Thomae result is obvious for (1,2), (2,3), (4,5) the only thing to prove is the result for the transposition (3,4), therefore to prove the formula

$${}_{3}F_{2}(a, b, c; d, e; 1) = \\ {}_{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(e)\Gamma(d + e - a - b - c) \atop {}_{\Gamma(d + e - b - c)\Gamma(d + e - a - c)\Gamma(c)} \atop {}_{3}F_{2}(d - c, e - c, d + e - a - b - c; \atop {}_{d + e - a - c, d + e - b - c; 1)}$$

Generalized beta on (0,1). Let a, a' and b > 0.

As seen before ${}_{3}F_{2}(a, a, b; a + b, a + a'; 1)$ is finite. Define $\mu_{a,a',b}(dx) =$

 $Cx^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;a+a';x)1_{(0,1)}(x)dx.$

where

$$\frac{1}{C} = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} {}_{3}F_{2}(a,a,b;a+b,a+a';1).$$
(3)

We shall see that $\mu_{a,a',b}$ is a probability and that *C* is a symmetric function of (a, a'). This strange distribution is actually a generalization of the beta distribution of the first kind since $\mu_{a,a',a+a'}(dx) =$

 $\frac{\Gamma(a+a')}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a')}x^{a-1}(1-x)^{a'-1}1_{(0,1)}(x)dx = \beta_{a,a'}(dx).$ The reason is that $_2F_1(a, a+a'; a+a'; x) = _1F_0(a; -; x) = (1-x)^{-a}.$

Another presentation of $\mu_{a,a',b}$.

It is based on the classical formula

$$_{2}F_{1}(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z) = (1-z)^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta} {}_{2}F_{1}(\gamma-\alpha,\gamma-\beta;\gamma;z).$$
(4)
When applied to $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (a,b,a+a')$ it gives

$$\mu_{a,a',b}(dx) = CB(a,a')_2 F_1(a',a+a'-b;a+a';x)\beta_{a,a'}(dx).$$
(5)

This shows that when d = a + a' - b is small, then $\mu_{a,a',b}$ appears to be a perturbation of $\beta_{a,a'}$. This also gives an other presentation of C as

$$\frac{1}{C} = B(a, a') {}_{3}F_{2}(a, a', a + a' - b; a + a', a + a'; 1)$$

where the symmetry between a and a' in the value of C appears clearly.

Consequence : the marginal distributions of

$$\frac{\beta_{a,a'}(dx)\beta_{a',a}(dx')}{K(1-xx')^d}$$

are $\mu_{a,a',b}(dx)$ and $\mu_{a',a,b}(dx')$.

The beta distribution of the second kind.

It is the probability on $(0,\infty)$ defined by

$$\beta_{b,a}^{(2)}(dw) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{w^{b-1}}{(1+w)^{a+b}} \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(w) dw.$$

In the following we will need the fact that

•

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} w^{t} \beta_{b,a}^{(2)}(dw) = \frac{\Gamma(a-t)\Gamma(b+t)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}, \quad -b < t < a.$$

The random continued fraction

Theorem 1.1. The measure $\mu_{a,a',b}$ is a probability with the following property : If $W' \sim \beta_{b,a'}^{(2)}$ and $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ are independent then

$$\frac{1}{1+XW'} \sim \mu_{a',a,b}$$

Furthermore C defined by 3 is a symmetric function of (a, a').

Proof. The integration on (0, 1) of each term of the series

$$x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;a+a';x)$$

gives a convergent series whose sum is

$$\frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} {}_{3}F_{2}(a,a,b;a+b,a+a';1).$$

This shows that $\mu_{a,a',b}$ is a probability.

Suppose now that $X' \sim \mu_{a',a,b}$. We show that $\frac{1-X'}{X'} \sim XW'$. The density of $V = \frac{1-X'}{X'}$ is

$$f_V(v) = C' \frac{v^{b-1}}{(1+v)^{a'+b}} \, {}_2F_1(a',b;a+a';\frac{1}{1+v}) \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(v) dv$$

where

$$\frac{1}{C'} = \frac{\Gamma(a')\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a'+b)} \,_{3}F_2(a',a',b;a'+b,a+a';1).$$

We now compute the density of
$$U = XW'$$
:
Writing $K = C \frac{\Gamma(a'+b)}{\Gamma(a')\Gamma(b)}$ the density $f_U(u)$ is

$$= K \frac{1}{u} \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} {}_2F_1(a,b;a+a';x)(\frac{u}{x})^b \frac{dx}{(1+\frac{u}{x})^a}$$

$$= K u^{b-1} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{a+a'-1}(1-x)^{b-1}}{(x+u)^{a'+b}} {}_2F_1(a,b;a+a';x)dx$$

$$= K u^{b-1} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{a+a'-1}}{(1+u-t)^{a'+b}} {}_2F_1(a,b;a+a';1-t)dt$$

$$= K \frac{u^{b-1}}{(1+u)^{a'+b}} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{a+a'-1}}{(1-\frac{t}{1+u})^{a'+b}} {}_2F_1(a,b;a+a';1-t)dt$$

We conclude the proof of the theorem by a lemma :

Lemma 1.2. For a, a', b > 0 and for 0 < z < 1 we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{a+a'-1}}{(1-zt)^{a'+b}} \, _2F_1(a,b;a+a';1-t)dt$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(a')\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a'+b)} \, _2F_1(a',b;a+a';z).$$

This lemma when applied to z = 1/(1 + u)shows that the densities of U and V are proportional. Since their integrals are 1 one even gets that C = C' or that

$$B(a,b) _{3}F_{2}(a,a,b;a+b,a+a';1) = B(a',b) _{3}F_{2}(a',a',b;a'+b,a+a';1)$$

This concludes the proof.

Proof of the lemma.

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{a+a'-1}}{(1-zt)^{a'+b}} \, {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;a+a';1-t)dt$$

$$(1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{n!(a+a')_{n}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{a+a'+n-1}}{(1-zt)^{a'+b}} dt$$

$$(2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{n!(a+a')_{n}} \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+a'+n)}{\Gamma(a+a'+b+n)}$$

$$2F_{1}(a'+b,b;a+a'+b+n;z)$$

$$(3) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{n!(a+a')_{n}} \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+a'+n)}{\Gamma(a+a'+b+n)}$$

$$(3) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a'+b)_{k}(b)_{k}}{n!(a+a'+b+n)_{k}} z^{k}$$

$$(4) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a'+b)_{k}(b)_{k}}{k!} z^{k}$$

$$(5) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a'+b)_{k}(b)_{k}}{k!} z^{k} \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+a')}{\Gamma(a+a'+b+n+k)}$$

$$(5) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a'+b)_{k}(b)_{k}}{k!} z^{k} \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+a')}{\Gamma(a+a'+b+k)}$$

$$(2F_{1}(a,b;a+a'+b+k;1))$$

$$(6) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a'+b)_{k}(b)_{k}}{k!} z^{k} \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+a')}{\Gamma(a+a'+b+k)}$$

$$(7) \frac{\Gamma(a')\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a'+b+k)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a')_{k}(b)_{k}}{k!} z^{k}$$

Corollary 1.3.

1. If $W \sim \beta_{b,a}^{(2)} W' \sim \beta_{b,a'}^{(2)}$ and X > 0 are three independent random variables, then

$$X \sim \frac{1}{1 + \frac{W}{1 + W'X}}$$

if and only if $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$.

2. If $W \sim \beta_{b,a}^{(2)}$ and if X > 0 are two independent random variables, then

$$X \sim \frac{1}{1 + WX}$$

if and only if $X \sim \mu_{a,a,b}$.

3. Let $(W_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(W'_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be two iid sequence of random variables with respective distributions $\beta_{b,a}^{(2)}$ and $\beta_{b,a'}^{(2)}$. Then $\mu_{a,a',b}$ is the distribution of the random continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{W_1}{1 + \frac{W_1'}{1 + \frac{W_2}{1 + \dots}}}}.$$

Some particular cases for $\mu_{a,a',b}$ We list some values of (a,a',b) for which $\mu_{a,a',b}$ has a remarkable form.

1)As seen before b = a+a' gives $\mu_{a,a',a+a'}(dx) = \beta_{a,a'}(dx)$. If more generally b = a+a'+k where k is a non negative integer, then the hypergeometric series $_2F_1(a', a+a'-b; a+a'; x)$ terminates and is a polynomial with degree $\leq k$. Thus $\mu_{a,a',a+a'+k}(dx)$ has a simple expression from 5. In particular the normalizing constant and the moments of $\mu_{a,a',a+a'+k}(dx)$ are computable, since the integral of a polynomial by $\beta_{a,a'}$ is elementary. For instance

$$\mu_{a,a',a+a'+1}(dx) = \frac{a+a'}{a^2+aa'+a'^2}(a+a'(1-x))\beta_{a,a'}(dx).$$

2) For
$$a = a' = b = 1/2$$
 then

$$\mu_{1/2,1/2,1/2}(dx) = \frac{2}{\pi x^{1/2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - x \sin^2 t}} \, \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) dx$$
3) For $a = a' = b + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \pm 1$ we get

$$\mu_{a,a,a+\frac{1}{2}}(dx)$$

$$= C_1(1 - \sqrt{1 - x})^{2a - 1} x^{-a} (1 - x)^{2a - \frac{3}{2}} \, \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) dx$$

$$\mu_{a,a,a-\frac{1}{2}}(dx)$$

$$= C_2(1 - \sqrt{1 - x})^{2a - 1} x^{-a} (1 - x)^{2a - 1} \, \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) dx$$

4) A elegant example is

$$\mu_{1,1,1}(dx) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log \frac{1}{1-x} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) \frac{dx}{x}.$$
 (6)

This is the distribution of

$$\frac{U_1}{U_1 + (1 - U_1) \frac{U_2}{U_2 + (1 - U_2) \frac{U_3}{U_3 + \dots}}}$$

where U_1, U_2, \ldots are independent and uniform on (0,1), a rather unexpected result.

Thus if $(1 - X)/X \sim WX$ for X and W independent, the latter with the Pareto distribution function $\Pr(W > x) = 1/(1 + x)$, for x > 0, then X has distribution (6). Note that here $\mathbb{E}(X^t)$ can be made explicit when t > -1and $t \neq 0$ by expanding $x \mapsto \log(1 - x)$ in power series :

$$\mathbb{E}(X^t) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 x^{t+n-1} dx = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+t})$$

When t is a positive integer we get

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{t}) = \frac{6}{t\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{t} \frac{1}{n}.$$
 (7)

16

Mellin's transforms Observe that the Mellin transform of $\mu_{a,a',b}$ can be easily computed from the definition. More generally if $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ we can easily compute $\mathbb{E}(X^t(1-X)^s)$ and from this Theorem 1.1 will give us an other expression of the Mellin transform of X:

Corollary 1.4. For real t and s the integral $I = \int_0^1 x^t (1-x)^s \mu_{a,a',b}(dx)$ converges if and only if t > -a and $s > -\min(a',b)$. In this case

$$I = C \frac{\Gamma(a+t)\Gamma(b+s)}{\Gamma(a+b+t+s)} {}_{3}F_{2}(a+t,a,b;a+b+t+s,a+a';1)$$
(8)

Furthermore for t > -a

$$\int_0^1 x^t \mu_{a,a',b}(dx) = \frac{{}_3F_2(a',a'-t,b;a'+b,a+a';1)}{{}_3F_2(a',a',b;a'+b,a+a';1)}.$$
(9)

Proof. We skip the easy first part. For the second part, we consider the two independent random variables $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ and $W' \sim \beta_{b,a'}^{(2)}$. Theorem 1.1 says that $X' = (1 + XW')^{-1} \sim \mu_{a',a,b}$. Since $\frac{1-X'}{X'} = XW'$ we write for t > a

$$\mathbb{E}(X^t) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}((W')^t)} \mathbb{E}((\frac{1-X'}{X'})^t).$$

We now apply the first part of the proposition by replacing (a, a', b, t, s) by (a', a, b, -t, t) and we get the result 9 for $-\min(b, a) < t < a'$. Extension to a larger interval is standard.

Explanation by Thomae's formula

The last equality of Cor 1.4 has been obtained as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and is actually equivalent to it. Thus, doing s = 0 in 8 our main result is equivalent to

$$C\frac{\Gamma(a+t)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+t)} {}_{3}F_{2}(a+t,a,b;a+b+t,a+a';1)$$

= $\frac{{}_{3}F_{2}(a',a'-t,b;a'+b,a+a';1)}{{}_{3}F_{2}(a',a',b;a'+b,a+a';1)}$

The Thomae's theorem gives an other proof : Consider the coefficients occurring in ${}_{3}F_{2}(...; 1)$ in the preceding formula. Let us compute the two vectors $(a + t, a, b; a + b + t, a + a')3A^{-1}$ and $(a', a' - t, b; a' + b, a + a')3A^{-1}$. We get respectively

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2t - b + a + a' \\ -t - b + a + a' \\ -t + 2b - 2a + a' \\ 2t + 2b + a - 2a' \\ -t - b + a + a' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2t - b + a + a' \\ -t - b + a + a' \\ 2t + 2b + a - 2a' \\ -t + 2b - 2a + a' \\ -t - b + a + a' \end{bmatrix}$$

and we observe that the second vector is a permutation of the first (the transposition (3,4)). We omit the details about the gamma factors.

Injectivity of $(a, a', b) \mapsto \mu_{a,a',b}$

Proposition 1.6. If (a, a', b) and (a_1, a'_1, b_1) in $(0, \infty)^3$ are such that $\mu_{a,a',b} = \mu_{a_1,a'_1,b_1}$ then $(a, a', b) = (a_1, a'_1, b_1).$

Proof. We denote by C_1 the constant corresponding to (a_1, a'_1, b_1) . We see easily that $a = a_1$ and that $C = C_1$ since when $x \to 0$ of the density of $\mu_{a,a',b} = \mu_{a_1,a'_1,b_1}$ when $x \to 0$ is equivalent to $Cx^{a-1} = C_1x^{a_1-1}$. Thus writing the equality of densities leads to

 $(1-x)^{b} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;a+a';x) = (1-x)^{b_{1}} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b_{1};a+a'_{1};x)$ for all $x \in (0,1)$. Now use a classical formula to obtain

 $_{2}F_{1}(a',b;a+a';-x/(1-x)) = _{2}F_{1}(a'_{1},b_{1};a+a'_{1};-x/(1-x))$ Finally observe that if for z in a neighborhood of 0 we have

 $_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z) = {}_2F_1(\alpha_1,\beta_1;\gamma_1;z)$ then watching the coefficients of z, z^2 and z^3 will give

$$\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_1\beta_1}{\gamma_1}$$

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\beta(\beta+1)}{\gamma(\gamma+1)} = \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)\beta_1(\beta_1+1)}{\gamma_1(\gamma_1+1)}$$

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\beta(\beta+1)(\beta+2)}{\gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1+1)(\alpha_1+2)\beta_1(\beta_1+1)(\beta_1+2)}{\gamma_1(\gamma_1+1)(\gamma_1+2)}$$

From this we get $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ or $(\beta_1, \alpha_1, \gamma_1)$. Here are the details : introduce the numbers

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma}, \ \lambda_1 = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}{\gamma+1},$$
$$\lambda_2 = \frac{(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)}{\gamma+2}.$$

Clearly these numbers do not change when replacing (α, β, γ) by $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$. We deduce from this that

$$\gamma(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 - \lambda_2) + 2 + \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$\alpha + \beta = (\gamma + 1)\lambda_1 - \gamma\lambda_0 - 1, \ \alpha\beta = \gamma\lambda_0.$$

Thus the knowledge of $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_0)$ gives the knowledge of γ and the knowledge of the pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

We apply this to $\alpha = a'$, $\beta = b$, $\gamma = a + a' = a + \alpha$ etc and we get easily $a' = a_1$ and $b = b_1$. The proposition is proved. Image of $\mu_{a,a',b}$ by $x \mapsto 1 - x$. We investigate here the question : when $\mu_{a,a',b}$ is symmetric with respect to 1/2? The answer is in Corollary 1.9 below. We show first a lemma :

Lemma 1.7. Let (α, β, γ) and $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ be real numbers such that $\gamma > 0$ and $\gamma_1 > 0$. Consider the two functions y and y_1 on (0, 1)defined by

 $y(x) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; 1-x), y_{1}(x) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}; \gamma_{1}; x)$ and assume that $Dy = y_{1}$ for some $D \neq 0$. Then y_{1} is the constant 1, that is $\alpha\beta = \alpha_{1}\beta_{1} = 0$. **Proof.** The functions y and y_1 are solutions of the differential equations

$$x(1-x)y_1''(x) + (\gamma_1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1)x)y_1'(x) - \alpha_1\beta_1 y_1(x) = 0$$

$$x(1-x)y''(x) + (-\gamma + \alpha + \beta + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 1)x)y'(x) - \alpha\beta y(x) = 0$$

Since $Dy = y_1$ multiplying both sides of the first by D we get another differential equation for y_1 which subtracted from the second yields $(A - Bx)y'_1(x) = Cy_1(x)$ where A, B, Care suitable constants. Remember that $y_1(0+) =$ 1 by definition. Now suppose that y_1 is not constantly equal to 1. Then $C \neq 0$ and $(A, B) \neq 0$ (0,0). If B = 0 then $y_1(x) = e^{Cx/A}$, which is not a hypergeometric function as seen by the power series expansion. If A = 0 then $y_1(0+) = 1$ is impossible. Thus without loss of generality we assume A = 1 and we get $y_1(x) = (1-Bx)^{-a}$ with $a = C/B \neq 0$. Expanding $x \mapsto (1-Bx)^{-a}$ in power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{n!} (B)^n x^n$ shows that it is a hypergeometric function only if B = 1. To conclude the proof

$${}_{2}F_{1}(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x) = y(1-x) = D^{-1}y_{1}(x) = D^{-1}x^{-a}$$
(10)
which is impossible since the limit of function

at the r.h.s. on 0 is not finite for a > 0 and is zero if a < 0. \Box

Theorem 1.8. Let $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ Then there exists (a_1, a'_1, b_1) in $(0, \infty)^3$ such that $X_1 = 1 - X \sim \mu_{a_1,a'_1,b_1}$ if and only if $a_1 = a'$, $a'_1 = a_1$ and $b_1 = b = a + a'$.

Corollary 1.9. Let $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ and $X' \sim \mu_{a',a,b}$. Then $X \sim 1 - X'$ if and only if b = a + a' and $X \sim 1 - X$ if and only if a = a' = b/2. **Proof of Theorem 1.8.** The if part is obvious since $\mu_{a,a',a+a'} = \beta_{a,a'}$. Conversely, suppose that $X_1 \sim 1 - X$ with $X \sim \mu_{a,a',b}$ and $X_1 \sim \mu_{a_1,a'_1,b_1}$. For all $x \in (0,1)$ since the densities are continuous we have :

$$C_1 x^{a_1 - 1} (1 - x)^{b_1 - 1} {}_2 F_1(a_1, b_1; a_1 + a'_1; x)$$

= $C(1 - x)^{a - 1} x^{b - 1} {}_2 F_1(a, b; a + a'; 1 - x)$

or

$$C_{1}(1-x)^{b_{1}-a} {}_{2}F_{1}(a_{1}, b_{1}; a_{1}+a_{1}'; x (1))$$

= $Cx^{b-a_{1}} {}_{2}F_{1}(a, b; a+a'; 1-x)$

Introduce d = a + a' - b and $d_1 = a_1 + a'_1 - b_1$. Applying 4 to both sides of 11 (specifically $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z) = (a_1, b_1; a_1 + a'_1; x)$ and (a, b; a + a'; 1 - x)) we get

$$C_{1}(1-x)^{a_{1}^{\prime}-a} {}_{2}F_{1}(a_{1}^{\prime}, d_{1}; a_{1}+a_{1}^{\prime}; x \ge 12)$$

= $Cx^{a^{\prime}-a_{1}} {}_{2}F_{1}(a^{\prime}, d; a+a^{\prime}; 1-x).$

Note for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}((\frac{X_1}{1-X_1})^t) = \mathbb{E}((\frac{1-X}{X})^t).$$

Now application of Corollary 1.4 shows that the left hand side is finite if and only if

 $-\min(a_1',b) < t < a_1$

and that the right hand side is finite if and only if $-a < t < \min(a', b)$. This leads to

$$a_1 = \min(a', b), \quad a = \min(a'_1, b_1)$$

It remains to discuss several cases :

If $a_1 = a' \leq b$ and $a = a'_1 \leq b_1$ then one applies Lemma 1.7 to 12 and we get $d = d_1 = 0$ as desired.

If $a_1 = b < a'$ and $a = b_1 < a'_1$ then one applies Lemma 1.7 to 11 and we get the absurd statement $ab = a_1b_1 = 0$.

If $a_1 = a' \leq b$ and $a = b_1 < a'_1$ then mixing 11 and 12 we get

 $C_{1\ 2}F_1(a_1, b_1; a_1+a_1'; x) = C_2F_1(a', d; a+a'; 1-x)$ leading via Lemma 1.7 to the absurd statement $a_1b_1 = 0$. The case $a = a_1' \leq b_1$ and $a_1 = b < a'$ is similar. The theorem is proved.