

Hautus test for linear parabolic systems

Guillaume OLIVE

LATP, Aix-Marseille Université

Toulouse, June 2012

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \quad Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad \Sigma_T = (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \omega \subset \Omega, \quad \gamma \subset \partial\Omega.$$

- GOAL n° 1 : characterize the **approximate controllability** of linear parabolic systems of the form :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y &= \left(\Delta + G(x) \cdot \nabla + V(x) \right) y + 1_\omega(x) B_1 f(t, x) \text{ in } Q_T \\ y &= 1_\gamma(\sigma) B_2 g(t, \sigma) \text{ on } \Sigma_T \\ y(0) &= y_0 \text{ in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where the matrices D, G and V couple the equations and B_1, B_2 are the matrices through which the controls f and g operate.

- GOAL n° 2 : give usefull characterizations.
- IDEA : prove and use the **Hautus test**.

From now on, we will work on the adjoint system.

??? What is the Hautus test ???

- **In finite dimension**, for matrices A and C , it is known that

Theorem (M.L.J. Hautus)

The pair (A, C) is observable (that is $Ce^{tA}x = 0$ for every $t > 0$ implies $x = 0$) if and only if

$$\text{Ker}(sI - A) \cap \text{Ker}(C) = \{0\}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- We want to generalize formally this test to our framework.

There already exists an attempt of generalization of the Hautus test due to D.L. Russell and G. Weiss :

- Their generalization's condition is always necessary and sometimes sufficient.

But :

- ① this has been made in view of **exact observability**
- ② the most general results are for **normal operators**

See the works of D.L. Russell and G. Weiss, B. Jacob and H. Zwart, L. Miller, etc...

- On the same manner, the formal generalization of the Hautus test is always necessary and we will prove that in some cases it is sufficient.

The operator $-\Delta + V$

For

- $V \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$
- $C : \mathcal{D}(C) \subset L^2(\Omega)^n \longrightarrow U^n$ (U Hilbert) Δ -bounded,
we have :

Theorem

The pair $(-\Delta + V, C)$ is observable in $L^2(\Omega)^n$ on $(0, +\infty)$ if and only if it satisfies the Hautus test

$$\text{Ker}(sI - (-\Delta + V)) \cap \text{Ker}(C) = \{0\}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Interesting case :

- $C = BG$ with $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G : \mathcal{D}(G) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow U$.

Proposition

The pair $(-\Delta + V, BG)$ satisfies the Hautus test if and only if

- 1 $\text{Ker}(\lambda I + \Delta) \cap \text{Ker}(G) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 2 $\text{Ker}(\theta I - V) \cap \text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 3 *For every distincts indices i_1, \dots, i_r and j_1, \dots, j_r such that*

$$\lambda_{i_1} + \theta_{j_1} = \dots = \lambda_{i_r} + \theta_{j_r},$$

we, denoting s this common value,

$$\text{Ker}(sI - (-\Delta + V)) \cap \text{Ker}(BG) = \{0\}.$$

Interesting case :

- $C = BG$ with $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G : \mathcal{D}(G) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow U$.

Proposition

The pair $(-\Delta + V, BG)$ satisfies the Hautus test if and only if

- 1 $\text{Ker}(\lambda I + \Delta) \cap \text{Ker}(G) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 2 $\text{Ker}(\theta I - V) \cap \text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 3 For every distincts indices i_1, \dots, i_r and j_1, \dots, j_r such that

$$\lambda_{i_1} + \theta_{j_1} = \dots = \lambda_{i_r} + \theta_{j_r},$$

we, denoting s this common value,

$$\text{Ker}(sI - (-\Delta + V)) \cap \text{Ker}(BG) = \{0\}.$$

Hautus test on the scalar differential part

Interesting case :

- $C = BG$ with $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G : \mathcal{D}(G) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow U$.

Proposition

The pair $(-\Delta + V, BG)$ satisfies the Hautus test if and only if

- 1 $\text{Ker}(\lambda I + \Delta) \cap \text{Ker}(G) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 2 $\text{Ker}(\theta I - V) \cap \text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 3 *For every distincts indices l_1, \dots, l_r and i_1, \dots, i_r such that*

$$\lambda_{l_1} + \theta_{i_1} = \dots = \lambda_{l_r} + \theta_{i_r},$$

we, denoting s this common value,

$$\text{Ker}(sI - (-\Delta + V)) \cap \text{Ker}(BG) = \{0\}.$$

Hautus test on the vectorial algebraic part

Interesting case :

- $C = BG$ with $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G : \mathcal{D}(G) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow U$.

Proposition

The pair $(-\Delta + V, BG)$ satisfies the Hautus test if and only if

- 1 $\text{Ker}(\lambda I + \Delta) \cap \text{Ker}(G) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 2 $\text{Ker}(\theta I - V) \cap \text{Ker}(B) = \{0\}, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 3 *For every distincts indices l_1, \dots, l_r and i_1, \dots, i_r such that*

$$\lambda_{l_1} + \theta_{i_1} = \dots = \lambda_{l_r} + \theta_{i_r},$$

we, denoting s this common value,

$$\text{Ker}(sI - (-\Delta + V)) \cap \text{Ker}(BG) = \{0\}.$$

Hautus test on the "non natural" multiple eigenvalues of the system

- With this proposition we are able to recover some known results of F. Ammar-Khodja, A. Benabdallah, C. Dupaix, M. González-Burgos (2009); E. Fernández-Cara, M. González-Burgos, L. de Teresa (2010) and F. Ammar-Khodja, A. Benabdallah, M. González-Burgos, L. de Teresa (2011) .
- This characterizes the boundary controllability in any space dimension.
- We apply this characterization to discuss the boundary controllability on a rectangle.

An operator in cascade

Let now

- A_0 and B are Δ -bounded.

We form the following pair (A, C) :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta & A_0 \\ 0 & -\Delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem

If B is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ or $N = 1$, then the pair (A, C) is observable in $L^2(\Omega)^2$ on $(0, +\infty)$ if and only if

$$\text{Ker}(sI - A) \cap \text{Ker}(C) = \{0\}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- We recover the results of [O. Kavian, L. de Teresa \(2010\)](#) and [M. González-Burgos \(2012\)](#) .
- We provide some new examples of distributed and boundary controllability.

- We prove the Hautus test in two cases.
- We gave some reformulations of the Hautus, as best as we can.
- We can recover some known results.
- We provide new examples.

Hope to see you soon !