

Uniformly valid confidence intervals post-model-selection

François Bachoc, David Preinerstorfer and Lukas Steinberger

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse
Aarhus University
University of Freiburg

Journées de Statistique
Avignon, May 2017

Talk outline

- 1 Setting
- 2 General construction of the confidence intervals
- 3 Application to linear regression
- 4 Application to binary regression

Data and models

Data :

- We consider a triangular array of independent $1 \times l$ random vectors $y_{1,n}, \dots, y_{n,n}$
- We let $\mathbb{P}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{i,n}$ be the distribution of $y_n = (y'_{1,n}, \dots, y'_{n,n})'$, on the Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell}$, where $\mathbb{P}_{i,n}$ is the distribution of $y_{i,n}$

Models :

- We now consider a set $\mathbb{M}_n = \{\mathbb{M}_{1,n}, \dots, \mathbb{M}_{d,n}\}$ composed of d models
- $\mathbb{M}_{i,n}$ is a set of distributions on the Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell}$
- d does not depend on n (fixed-dimensional asymptotics)

\implies We do not assume that the observation distribution \mathbb{P}_n belongs to one of the $\{\mathbb{M}_{1,n}, \dots, \mathbb{M}_{d,n}\}$. The set of models can be misspecified

Parameters and estimators

Parameters :

- We define for each model $\mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{M}_n$ an **optimal parameter** $\theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^* = \theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*(\mathbb{P}_n)$, that we assume to be non-random and of fixed dimension $m(\mathbb{M})$
- Typically, \mathcal{M}_n is a set of distributions parameterized by $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{m(\mathbb{M})}$, and $\theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*$ corresponds to the projection of \mathbb{P}_n on \mathbb{M} , for some distance
- The optimal parameter $\theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*$ is specific to the model \mathbb{M}

Estimators :

- We consider, for each $\mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{M}_n$, an estimator $\hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M},n}$ of the optimal parameter $\theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*$
- The estimator $\hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M},n}$ is a measurable function from $\mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m(\mathbb{M})}$

Post-model selection inference

Model selection :

- We consider a **model selection procedure** : a measurable function $\hat{M}_n : \mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$
- We are hence interested in constructing confidence intervals for the random quantity of interest $\theta_{\hat{M}_n, n}^*$
- This is the **post-model-selection** inference framework

In the literature :

- **Van der Geer et al. 2014, AoS** address linear regression, with the lasso model selector. They assume a well-specified (sparse) model and construct confidence intervals for the regression coefficients
- **Lee et al. 2016, AoS** address Gaussian linear regression with the lasso model selector. They consider misspecified models and construct confidence intervals for the optimal coefficients
- **Berk et al 2013, AoS** address Gaussian linear regression with any model selector. They also consider misspecified models.
- **Taylor and Tibschirani 2017, CJoS** address misspecified generalized linear models with l^1 penalized likelihood

1 Setting

2 General construction of the confidence intervals

3 Application to linear regression

4 Application to binary regression

The case of homoscedastic linear model

Setting :

- $l = 1$ and $y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{n,n}$ have identical variance
- A model \mathbb{M} is given by a subset of $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and corresponds to extracting columns of a $n \times p$ design matrix X_n
- $\hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M},n}$ is the restricted least square estimator in a linear model

Confidence intervals :

- Berk et al 2013, AoS observe that the vector $\{\hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M},n} - \theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*\}_{\mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{M}_n}$ is Gaussian
- They use a **worst case** approach (in terms of the selected model) and obtain a confidence interval $\text{CI}_{1-\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{M}}_n}^{(j)}$, for component j of $\theta_{\hat{\mathbb{M}}_n}^*$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left(\theta_{\hat{\mathbb{M}}_n}^{*(j)} \in \text{CI}_{1-\alpha, \hat{\mathbb{M}}_n}^{(j)} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, m(\hat{\mathbb{M}}_n) \right) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Universality :

- The coverage guarantee holds for any model selector $\hat{\mathbb{M}}_n$. Berk et al. hence speak of universally valid confidence intervals
- This universality is particularly beneficial when the statistician has **limited control** on the model selection procedure : informal , cost-driven...

Main idea and notation

Main idea :

- We aim at showing a **joint asymptotic normality** of $\{\hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M},n} - \theta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*\}_{\mathbb{M} \in \mathbb{M}_n}$
- We then use the same construction as in **Berk et al** for the confidence intervals
- Additional difficulty : we do not know the asymptotic covariance matrix

Notation :

- $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\theta}'_{\mathbb{M}_1,n}, \dots, \hat{\theta}'_{\mathbb{M}_d,n})'$
- $\theta_n^* = (\theta_{\mathbb{M}_1,n}^*, \dots, \theta_{\mathbb{M}_d,n}^*)'$
- Let $k = \sum_{j=1}^d m(\mathbb{M}_{j,n})$, be the dimension of $\hat{\theta}_n$
- Let \mathbb{E}_n , \mathbb{V}_n , and \mathbb{VC}_n , be the mean, the variance and the covariance matrix under \mathbb{P}_n
- Similarly, define $\mathbb{E}_{i,n}$, $\mathbb{V}_{i,n}$, and $\mathbb{VC}_{i,n}$ for $\mathbb{P}_{i,n}$ and \mathbb{E} , \mathbb{V} , and \mathbb{VC} for \mathbb{P}

General assumption

There exist Borel-measurable functions $g_{i,n} : \mathbb{R}^{1 \times \ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, possibly depending on θ_n^* , so that

$$\hat{\theta}_n(y_n) - \theta_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,n}(y_{i,n}) + \Delta_n(y_n),$$

where, with $r_n(y_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,n}(y_{i,n})$, we have for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ that

$$\mathbb{E}_{i,n} \left(g_{i,n}^{(j)} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mathbb{V}_n \left(r_n^{(j)} \right) < \infty,$$

and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have, with $\{\cdot\}$ the indicator function,

$$\mathbb{V}_n^{-1} \left(r_n^{(j)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1 \times \ell}} \left[g_{i,n}^{(j)} \right]^2 \left\{ |g_{i,n}^{(j)}| \geq \varepsilon \mathbb{V}_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(r_n^{(j)} \right) \right\} d\mathbb{P}_{i,n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left(\left| \mathbb{V}_n^{-1/2} \left(r_n^{(j)} \right) \Delta_n^{(j)} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

Joint Asymptotic normality

- Let

$$S_n(y_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,n}(y_{i,n}) g'_{i,n}(y_{i,n})$$

- Let A^\dagger be the Moore-Penrose inverse of a square matrix A
- Let $A^{\dagger/2} = [A^\dagger]^{1/2}$
- Let d_w be a distance generating the topology of weak convergence for distributions on an Euclidean space
- Let $\text{corr}(\Sigma) = \text{diag}(\Sigma)^{\dagger/2} \Sigma \text{diag}(\Sigma)^{\dagger/2}$, where $\text{diag}(\Sigma)$ is obtained by setting the off-diagonal elements of Σ to 0.

Lemma

Under the previous condition, for $\varepsilon > 0$ we have, with $\mathbb{P}_n \circ f$ the push-forward measure of a function f under \mathbb{P}_n ,

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left(d_w \left(\mathbb{P}_n \circ \left[\text{diag}(S_n)^{\dagger/2} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_n^* \right) \right], N(0, \text{corr}(S_n)) \right) \geq \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

and this continues to hold when replacing S_n by $\mathbb{V}C_n(r_n)$

Some notation

- For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for a covariance matrix Γ , let $K_{1-\alpha}(\Gamma)$ be the $1 - \alpha$ -quantile of $\|Z\|_\infty$ for $Z \sim N(0, \Gamma)$
- For $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}_{j,n} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m(\mathbb{M})\}$ let

$$j \star \mathbb{M} := \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} m(\mathbb{M}_{l,n}) + j,$$

($j \star \mathbb{M}$ is the index of $(\theta_{\mathbb{M}_{j,n}}^{*'})_j$ in $(\theta_{\mathbb{M}_1,n}^{*'}, \dots, \theta_{\mathbb{M}_d,n}^{*'})'$)

Confidence intervals based on a consistent estimator of the asymptotic covariance matrix

Theorem

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $\hat{S}_n : \mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ be so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, with $\|\cdot\|$ the largest singular value of A ,

$$\mathbb{P}_n \left(\|\text{corr}(\hat{S}_n) - \text{corr}(\text{VC}_n(r_n))\| + \|\text{diag}(\text{VC}_n(r_n))^{-1} \text{diag}(\hat{S}_n) - I_k\| \geq \varepsilon \right)$$

goes to 0. Consider, for $\mathbb{M} \in M_n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m(\mathbb{M})$ the confidence interval

$$\text{CI}_{1-\alpha, \mathbb{M}}^{(j), \text{est}} = \hat{\theta}_{\mathbb{M}, n}^{(j)} \pm \sqrt{[\hat{S}_n]_{j^* \mathbb{M}}} K_{1-\alpha} \left(\text{corr}(\hat{S}_n) \right)$$

Then, $\mathbb{P}_n \left(\theta_{\mathbb{M}, n}^{*(j)} \in \text{CI}_{1-\alpha, \mathbb{M}}^{(j), \text{est}} \text{ for all } \mathbb{M} \in M_n \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, m(\mathbb{M}) \right)$ goes to $1 - \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, for any model selection procedure \hat{M}_n , we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_n \left(\theta_{\hat{M}_n, n}^{*(j)} \in \text{CI}_{1-\alpha, \hat{M}_n}^{(j), \text{est}} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, m(\hat{M}_n) \right) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Confidence intervals based on a conservative estimator of the asymptotic covariance matrix

- When the models are misspecified it may not be possible to estimate $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{C}_n(r_n)$ consistently
- We show how to **overestimate** the diagonal components of $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{C}_n(r_n)$
- This is based on overestimating $\mathbb{V}(y_{i,n})$ based on

$$\mathbb{V}(y_{i,n}) \leq \mathbb{E}((y_{i,n} - \hat{y}_{i,n})^2)$$

where $\hat{y}_{i,n}$ is obtained from a misspecified model \mathbb{M}

- Also there exist upper-bounds of $K_{1-\alpha} \left(\text{corr}(\hat{S}_n) \right)$ (see [Berk et al 2013](#), [Bachoc Leeb Pötscher 2017+](#))

- 1 Setting
- 2 General construction of the confidence intervals
- 3 Application to linear regression**
- 4 Application to binary regression

Notation

Uniform asymptotic :

- We now provide asymptotic results that are uniform over sets \mathbf{P}_n of possible distributions \mathbb{P}_n for the observations
- ⇒ This is why we worked with generic triangular arrays before

Notation :

- For a Borel set T of \mathbb{R} , we let $M(T^n)$ be the set of probability measures on $T^n = \times_{i=1}^n T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
- The mean vector of Q in $M(T^n)$ is written $\mu(Q)$
- For $Q \in M(T^1)$ and for $0 < q < \infty$, we write $m_q(Q)$ for the q -th absolute centered moment of Q
- We let $\otimes_{i=1}^n M(T)$ be the set of product measures on $M(T^n)$.
- For $Q \in \otimes_{i=1}^n M(T)$ we let $Q = \otimes_{i=1}^n Q_i$.

Homoscedastic linear models

Set of possible distributions :

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{(\text{lm})}(\delta, \tau) := \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < m_2(Q_1) = \dots = m_2(Q_n) < \infty \\ \frac{\max_{i=1, \dots, n} m_{2+\delta}(Q_i)^{\frac{2}{2+\delta}}}{m_2(Q_1)} \leq \tau \end{array} \right\},$$

for fixed $\delta > 0$ and $\tau > 1$

Models :

- We consider a fixed observed $n \times p$ design matrix X_n (p fixed)
- It is known that the observations have the same variance
- Each model $\mathbb{M}_{i,n}$ is defined by a subset M_i of $\{1, \dots, p\}$
- We let $X_n[M]$ be the matrix obtained by deleting the columns of X_n which indices are not in $M \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$
- We let, for $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,

$$\mathbb{M}_{j,n} = \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < m_2(Q_1) = \dots = m_2(Q_n) < \infty \\ \mu(Q) \in \text{span}(X_n[M_j]) \end{array} \right\}$$

Confidence intervals

For a model $\mathbb{M} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ with set of variables $M \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$, the optimal parameter is

$$\beta_{\mathbb{M},n}^* = \beta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*(\mathbb{P}_n) = (\mathbf{X}_n[M]' \mathbf{X}_n[M])^{-1} \mathbf{X}_n[M]' \mu(\mathbb{P}_n)$$

It satisfies, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m(\mathbb{M})}$,

$$\|\mu(\mathbb{P}_n) - \mathbf{X}_n[M] \beta_{\mathbb{M},n}^*\| \leq \|\mu(\mathbb{P}_n) - \mathbf{X}_n[M] \beta\|$$

\implies We obtain the same asymptotic coverage guarantees as in the general case

Heteroscedastic linear models

The principle is the same. The set of possible observation distributions is

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{(\text{het})}(\delta, \tau) := \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < m_2(Q_i) < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ \frac{\max_{i=1, \dots, n} m_{2+\delta}(Q_i)^{\frac{2}{2+\delta}}}{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} m_2(Q_i)} \leq \tau \end{array} \right\}$$

and the set of models $M_n = \{\mathbb{M}_{j,n} : j = 1, \dots, d\}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{M}_{j,n} = \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{array}{l} 0 < m_2(Q_i) < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ \mu(Q) \in \text{span}(X_n[M_j]) \end{array} \right\}$$

The optimal parameters are the same as before

- 1 Setting
- 2 General construction of the confidence intervals
- 3 Application to linear regression
- 4 Application to binary regression**

Binary regression

- In binary regression, the set of observation distributions depends on $\tau > 0$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{P}_n^{(\text{bin})}(\tau) := \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\{0, 1\}) : Q_i(\{0\})Q_i(\{1\}) \geq \tau \forall i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

- We consider the set of models

$M_n = \{ \mathbb{M}_{(j_1, j_2), n} : j_1 \in \{1, \dots, d_1\}, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, d_2\} \}$, given by

- response functions $h_1, \dots, h_{d_1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- subsets M_1, \dots, M_{d_2} of $\{1, \dots, p\}$
- Let $X_{i,n}$ be line i of X_n
- Then the submodels are defined by


$$\mathbb{M}_{(j_1, j_2), n} = \left\{ Q \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(\{0, 1\}) : \begin{array}{l} \exists \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{|M_{j_2}|} : \forall i = 1, \dots, n : \\ Q_i(\{1\}) = h_{j_1}(X_{i,n}[M_{j_2}]\beta) \end{array} \right\}$$

- We obtain the same asymptotic coverage guarantees as in the general case
- In the case of **canonical response function** (logistic regression), the confidence intervals become shorter

Conclusion

- We provide general asymptotic post-model selection confidence intervals
 - ▷ in non-Gaussian cases
 - ▷ for misspecified models
- Prospects :
 - ▷ Numerical comparison with other post-model-selection confidence intervals (ongoing)
 - ▷ What can be done in the high-dimensional asymptotic case ?

The paper :

-  **F. Bachoc, D. Preinerstorfer, L. Steinberger. Uniformly valid confidence intervals post-model-selection,**
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.01043>

Thank you for your attention !