

The (poly)topologies of provability logic

David Fernández-Duque

CIMI, Toulouse University

Topologie et Langages 2016, Toulouse

Gödel-Löb logic

Language:

p $\neg\varphi$ $\varphi \wedge \psi$ $\Box\varphi$

Axioms:

- ▶ $\Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\psi)$
- ▶ $\Box(\Box\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \Box\varphi$ (Löb's axiom)

Second incompleteness theorem:

$$\Box\Diamond\top \rightarrow \Box\perp$$

Arithmetical interpretation

An **arithmetical interpretation** assigns a formula p^* in the language of arithmetic to each propositional variable p .

- ▶ $p \mapsto p^*$
- ▶ $\Box\varphi \mapsto \exists X \text{Proof}_{\text{PA}}(X, \ulcorner \varphi^* \urcorner)$

Theorem (Solovay)

If $\text{GL} \vdash \varphi$ if and only if, for every arithmetical interpretation $$, $\text{PA} \vdash \varphi^*$.*

Relational semantics

Kripke models:

- ▶ Frames: Well-founded partial orders $\langle W, < \rangle$
- ▶ Valuations: $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$,

$$w \in \llbracket \Box \varphi \rrbracket \Leftrightarrow \forall v < w, v \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$

Theorem

GL is sound for $\langle W, < \rangle$ if and only if $<$ is well-founded.

Further, GL is complete for the class of well-founded frames and enjoys the finite model property.

Topological semantics:

- ▶ GL-spaces: **scattered** topological spaces $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$
Scattered: Every non-empty subset contains an isolated point.
- ▶ Valuations: dA is the set of **limit (or accumulation) points** of A .

$$\llbracket \diamond \varphi \rrbracket = d \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket .$$

GL is also **sound and complete** for this interpretation.

Some scattered spaces

- ▶ A finite partial order $\langle W, < \rangle$ with the **downset topology**
- ▶ An ordinal ξ with the **initial segment topology**
- ▶ An ordinal ξ with the **order topology**

Non-scattered:

- ▶ The real line
- ▶ The rational numbers
- ▶ The Cantor set

Ordinal numbers

Ordinals serve as canonical representatives of well-orders.

Well-order: Structure $\langle A, \preceq \rangle$ such that

- ▶ A is any set,
- ▶ \preceq is a linear order on A , and
- ▶ if $B \subseteq A$ is non-empty, then it has a \preceq -minimal element.

The class Ord of ordinals is itself well-ordered:

$$\xi \leq \zeta \Leftrightarrow \xi \subseteq \zeta.$$

Examples:

- ▶ Every interval $[0, n)$ is an ordinal for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ The set of natural numbers can itself be seen as the first **infinite** ordinal, and is denoted ω .

Ordinal topologies

Intervals on ordinals are defined in the usual way, e.g.

$$[\alpha, \beta) = \{\xi : \alpha \leq \xi < \beta\}.$$

- ▶ **Initial topologies:** Topology \mathcal{I}_0 on an ordinal Θ generated by sets of the form $[0, \alpha)$.
- ▶ **Interval topologies:** Topology \mathcal{I}_1 on an ordinal Θ generated by sets of the form $[0, \alpha)$ and (α, β) .

Ordinal recursion

There are three kinds of ordinals ξ :

1. $\xi = 0$ (the empty well-order)
2. $\xi = \zeta + 1$ (successor ordinals)
3. $\xi = \bigcup_{\zeta < \xi} \zeta$ (limit ordinals).

We can use this to define addition recursively:

1. $\xi + 0 = \xi$
2. $\xi + (\zeta + 1) = (\xi + \zeta) + 1$
3. $\xi + \lambda = \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda} (\xi + \eta)$ if λ is a limit.

Ordinal arithmetic

Other arithmetical operations can be generalized similarly.

Multiplication:

1. $\xi \cdot 0 = 0$
2. $\xi \cdot (\zeta + 1) = (\xi \cdot \zeta) + \xi$
3. $\xi \cdot \lambda = \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda} (\xi \cdot \eta)$ if λ is a limit.

Exponentiation:

1. $\xi^0 = 1$
2. $\xi^{\zeta+1} = \xi^\zeta \cdot \xi$
3. $\xi^\lambda = \bigcup_{\eta < \lambda} \xi^\eta$ if λ is a limit.

Iterated derived sets

Recall that if $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is any topological space and $A \subseteq X$, dA denotes the set of **limit points** of A .

If ξ is an ordinal, define $d^\xi A$ recursively by:

1. $d^0 A = A$
2. $d^{\zeta+1} A = dd^\zeta A$
3. $d^\lambda A = \bigcap_{\zeta < \lambda} d^\zeta A$ (λ a limit).

Ranks on a scattered space

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is scattered
- ▶ there exists an ordinal Λ such that $d^\Lambda X = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ be a scattered space.

- ▶ Define $\rho(x)$ to be the least ordinal such that $x \notin d^{\rho(x)+1} X$.
- ▶ Define $\rho(\mathfrak{X})$ to be the least ordinal such that $d^{\rho(\mathfrak{X})} X = \emptyset$.

Fact: The rank on $\langle \Theta, \mathcal{I}_0 \rangle$ is the identity.

Cantor normal forms

Theorem

Every ordinal $\xi > 0$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$\xi = \omega^{\alpha_0} + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_n}$$

with the α_j 's non-increasing.

Define $l\xi = \alpha_n$ (the **last exponent** or **least logarithm** of ξ).

CNFs allow us to write many ordinals using $0, \omega, +$ and exponentiation, up to the ordinal

$$\varepsilon_0 = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \underbrace{\omega^{\dots^{\omega}}}_n.$$

Ranks on the interval topology

Theorem

If $\langle \Theta, \mathcal{I}_1 \rangle$ is an ordinal with the interval topology, then $\rho(\theta) = \ell\theta$ for all $\theta < \Theta$.

Henceforth:

- ▶ ρ_0 is the rank with respect to \mathcal{I}_0
- ▶ ρ_1 is the rank with respect to \mathcal{I}_1 .

Completeness

Observation:

- ▶ The initial topology validates

$$\diamond p \wedge \diamond q \rightarrow \diamond(p \wedge q) \vee \diamond(p \wedge \diamond q) \vee \diamond(q \wedge \diamond p).$$

- ▶ Any space of rank $n < \omega$ validates $\square^{n+1} \perp$.
- ▶ The first ordinal with infinite ρ_1 is ω^ω .

Theorem (Abashidze, Blass)

If $\Theta \geq \omega^\omega$, then GL is complete for $\langle \Theta, \mathcal{I}_1 \rangle$.

Polymodal Gödel-Löb

GLP: Contains one modality $[n]$ for each $n < \omega$.

Axioms:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [n](\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ([n]\varphi \rightarrow [n]\psi) & (n < \omega) \\ [n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi & (n < \omega) \\ [n]\varphi \rightarrow [m]\varphi & (n < m < \omega) \\ \langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [m]\langle n \rangle \varphi & (n < m < \omega) \end{array}$$

(Possible) arithmetical interpretation:

$[n]\varphi \equiv$ “ φ is provable using n instances of the ω -rule”.

Introduced by Japaridze in 1988.

Kripke semantics

Frames:

$$\langle W, \langle \prec_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

$$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi:$$

Valid iff \prec_n is well-founded

$$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi:$$

Valid iff $w \prec_{n+1} v \Rightarrow w \prec_n v$

$$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1] \langle n \rangle \varphi:$$

Valid iff

$$v \prec_n w \text{ and } u \prec_{n+1} w \Rightarrow v \prec_n u$$

Even GLP_2 has **no non-trivial Kripke models**.

Topological semantics

Spaces:

$$\mathfrak{X} = \langle X, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

Write d_n for the limit point operator on \mathcal{T}_n .

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$: Valid iff \mathcal{T}_n is scattered

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$: Valid iff $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\langle n \rangle \varphi$: Valid iff

$$A \subseteq X \Rightarrow d_n A \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$$

Canonical ordinal spaces

For a topological space $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$, define \mathcal{T}^+ to be the least topology containing

$$\mathcal{T} \cup \{dA : A \subseteq X\}.$$

Denote the join of topologies by \sqcup .

The **canonical polytopology** on Θ is given by

1. $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{I}_1$
2. $\mathcal{T}_{\xi+1} = \mathcal{T}_\xi^+$
3. $\mathcal{T}_\lambda = \sqcup_{\xi < \lambda} \mathcal{T}_\xi$ for λ a limit.

Independence results

Blass: It is consistent with ZFC that GLP_2 is incomplete for the class of canonical ordinal spaces

Beklemishev: It is also consistent with ZFC that GLP_2 is complete for this class

Bagaria, Beklemishev For all $n > 1$ it is consistent with ZFC that GLP_n has non-trivial canonical ordinal spaces but GLP_{n+1} does not.

Icard topologies

Icard defined a structure

$$\mathfrak{I} = \langle \varepsilon_0, \langle \mathcal{I}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle.$$

Generalized intervals:

$$(\alpha, \beta)_n = \{ \vartheta : \alpha < \ell^n \vartheta < \beta \}.$$

- ▶ \mathcal{I}_0 is generated by intervals of the form $[0, \beta)$
- ▶ \mathcal{I}_{n+1} is generated by sets of the form $(\alpha, \beta)_m$ for $m \leq n$

Topological conditions

Icard's model does not satisfy all frame conditions either.

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$:

\mathcal{I}_n is scattered since \mathcal{I}_0 is.

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$: \mathcal{I}_{n+1} is always a refinement of \mathcal{I}_n .

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow \langle n+1 \rangle \varphi$: The point

$$\omega^\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \omega^n$$

should be isolated in \mathcal{I}_2 .

Provability ambiances

Ambiance:

$$\mathfrak{X} = \langle X, \mathcal{A}, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle,$$

where:

- ▶ \mathcal{T}_n is scattered
- ▶ $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is such that
 - ▶ $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ▶ \mathcal{A} is closed under finite unions, complements and d_n
 - ▶ $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow d_n A \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$

Models: Ambiances with a valuation such that $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \in \mathcal{A}$ for all φ .

The simple ambiance

A subset of Θ is **simple** if it is of the form

$$\bigcup_{i < n} \bigcap_{j < m_i} (\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij})_{k_{ij}}.$$

The family of simple sets is denoted \mathcal{S} .

Theorem

If Θ is any ordinal then

$$\langle \Theta, \mathcal{S}, \langle \mathcal{I}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

is a provability ambiance.

The closed fragment

The variable-free fragment of GLP is denoted GLP^0 (the only atom is \perp).

Beklemishev: GLP^0 may be used to perform ordinal analysis of PA, its natural subtheories and some extensions.

Theorem (Icard)

GLP^0 *is complete for the class of simple ambiances.*

Lime topologies

If $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ are two scattered topologies on X , we say that \mathcal{S} is:

- ▶ a **rank-preserving extension** if $\rho_{\mathcal{S}} = \rho_{\mathcal{T}}$
- ▶ a **limit extension** if it is rank-preserving and

$$Id: \langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle \rightarrow \langle X, \mathcal{S} \rangle$$

is only discontinuous on points of limit rank

- ▶ a **lime topology** if it is a Llimit, Maximal Extension.

Zorn's lemma: Lime extensions always exist.

Beklemishev-Gabelaia spaces

A polytopology $\langle \Theta, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle \rangle$ is a **Beklemishev-Gabelaia space** if \mathcal{T}_0 is a lime of \mathcal{I}_1 and for every n , \mathcal{T}_{n+1} is a lime of \mathcal{T}_n^+ .

Theorem

Given any BG-space $\langle \Theta, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle \rangle$ and any $n < \omega$, \mathcal{T}_n is a lime of \mathcal{I}_{n+1} .

Theorem (Beklemishev, Gabelaia)

GLP is complete for the class of BG-spaces based on ε_0 .

Idyllic ambiances

An ambiance $\mathfrak{X} = \langle \Theta, \mathcal{A}, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$ is **idyllic** if

- ▶ $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$ for all n , and
- ▶ there is a BG polytopology on Θ with derived set operators d_n such that

$$d_n \upharpoonright \mathcal{A} = d_{\mathcal{I}_{n+1}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}.$$

Theorem (DFD)

GLP is complete for the class of idyllic ambiances.

Transfinite Gödel-Löb

Λ is an arbitrary ordinal.

GLP_Λ : One modality $[\lambda]$ for each ordinal $\lambda < \Lambda$.

Axioms:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [\xi](\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ([\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\xi]\psi) & (\xi < \Lambda) \\ [\xi]([\xi]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [\xi]\varphi & (\xi < \Lambda) \\ [\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\zeta]\varphi & (\xi < \zeta < \Lambda) \\ \langle \xi \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [\zeta] \langle \xi \rangle \varphi & (\xi < \zeta < \Lambda) \end{array}$$

DFD, Joosten: Proof-theoretic interpretations using iterated ω -rules in second-order arithmetic.

Can we generalize lcard topologies?

lcard topologies are generated by intervals

$$\{\xi : \alpha < l^n \xi < \beta\}.$$

We could define \mathcal{I}_λ if we had **transfinite iterations** of l .

These should satisfy:

- ▶ $l^0 = \text{id}$
- ▶ $l^1 = l$
- ▶ $l^{\xi+\zeta} = l^\zeta \circ l^\xi$
- ▶ l^ξ is always **initial**.

Initial functions map initial segments to initial segments.

Cohyperations

Definition:

The **cohyperation** of an initial function f is the unique family of initial functions $\langle f^\xi \rangle_{\xi \in \text{On}}$ such that

- ▶ $f^1 = f$
- ▶ $f^{\xi+\zeta} = f^\zeta \circ f^\xi$
- ▶ f^ξ is always initial
- ▶ f^ξ is **pointwise maximal** among all such families of functions.

Theorem (DFD, Joosten)

Every initial function admits a unique cohyperation.

We define $\langle \ell^\xi \rangle_{\xi \in \text{On}}$ to be the cohyperation of ℓ and call it the **hyperlogarithm**.

Generalized Icard topologies

We can now define

$$\mathfrak{I}_\Lambda^\Theta = \langle \Theta, \langle \mathcal{I}_\lambda \rangle_{\lambda < \Lambda} \rangle.$$

Generalized intervals:

$$(\alpha, \beta)_\xi = \{\vartheta : \alpha < \ell^\xi \vartheta < \beta\}.$$

$\mathcal{I}_{1+\lambda}$ is generated by intervals of the form $(\alpha, \beta)_\xi$ for $\xi < \lambda$.

Original Icard space: $\mathfrak{I}_\omega^{\varepsilon_0}$

Hyperations

The **hyperation** of a normal function f is the unique family of normal functions $\langle f^\xi \rangle_{\xi \in \mathbb{O}_n}$ such that

- ▶ $f^1 = f$
- ▶ $f^{\xi+\zeta} = f^\xi \circ f^\zeta$
- ▶ f^ξ is always normal
- ▶ f^ξ is **pointwise minimal** among all such families of functions.

Normal: Strictly increasing and continuous.

Theorem (DFD, Joosten)

Every normal function admits a unique hyperation.

Computing hyperations

Let $\varphi(\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$ and $\mathbf{e}(\alpha) = -1 + \omega^\alpha$.

- ▶ $\varphi^3(0) = \mathbf{e}^2(1) = \omega^\omega$
- ▶ $\varphi^3(1) = \mathbf{e}^3(1) = \omega^{\omega^\omega}$
- ▶ $\varphi^{\omega^\xi} = \varphi_\xi$ (**Veblen functions**)
- ▶ $\varphi^\omega(0) = \mathbf{e}^\omega(1) = \varepsilon_0$
- ▶ $\varphi^{\Gamma_0}(0) = \mathbf{e}^{\Gamma_0}(1) = \Gamma_0$

Completeness

Theorem (DFD, Joosten)

GLP_{Λ}^0 is complete for $\mathfrak{T}_{\Lambda}^{\Theta}$ if and only if $\Theta > e^{\Lambda}1$.

Theorem (DFD)

If Λ is countable, then GLP_{Λ} is complete for the set of idyllic ambiances over any $\Theta > e^{1+\Lambda}1$.

Theorem (Aguilera, DFD)

If Λ is *arbitrary*, then GL is complete for $\langle \Theta, T_{\lambda} \rangle$, provided $\Theta > e^{1+\Lambda}1$.

Concluding remarks

- ▶ Provability logics give rise to an unexpected link between formal theories and point-set topology.
- ▶ The study of this link has led to new constructions in proof theory, topology and set theory.
- ▶ Many open questions remain (e.g., completeness for canonical ordinal topologies).

FIN

FIN

Thank you!