Instructor: HARUTYUNYAN A
Course: MACM 201
Semester: 12-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND

		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Valid Responses	No Res
				Frequency Distribution						

1. What is your cumulative grade point average?

   1) 3.5 or over
   2) 3.0 to 3.49
   3) 2.5 to 2.99
   4) 2.0 to 2.49
   5) below 2.0

	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Valid
	1 / 6%	5 / 29%	8 / 47%	3 / 18%	0 / 0%	2.87	17

2. Why did you take this course?

   1) It was compulsory
   2) I am interested in the course
   3) No alternative course available
   4) It looked like an easy credit
   5) Other reasons

						Valid
17 / 94%	1 / 6%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%		18

GENERAL

3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?

   always 16 / 67% · 5 / 21% · 3 / 13% · 0 / 0% · 0 / 0% hardly ever · Mean 3.54 · 24

4. The course prerequisites were

   essential 17 / 71% · 4 / 17% · 1 / 4% · 2 / 8% · 0 / 0% not essential · Mean 3.50 · 24

5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was

   too easy 0 / 0% · 0 / 0% · 4 / 17% · 13 / 57% · 6 / 26% too difficult · Mean 0.91 · 23

6. The amount of work required for the course was

   too little 1 / 4% · 0 / 0% · 6 / 25% · 12 / 50% · 5 / 21% too much · Mean 1.17 · 24

7. How valuable was the course content?

   very 6 / 25% · 8 / 33% · 6 / 25% · 3 / 13% · 1 / 4% not very · Mean 2.62 · 24

8. The course text or supplementary material was

   relevant 15 / 65% · 5 / 22% · 2 / 9% · 1 / 4% · 0 / 0% irrelevant · Mean 3.48 · 23

   A · B · C · D · F

Instructor: HARUTYUNYAN A

Course: MACM 201

Semester: 12-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

		Frequency Distribution						Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.
Weight:		4	3	2	1	0				
COURSE GRADING										
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	18 75%	3 13%	2 8%	1 4%	0 0%	unrelated	3.58	24	0
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	13 54%	2 8%	7 29%	1 4%	1 4%	unfair	3.04	24	0
12. The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	13 54%	5 21%	5 21%	1 4%	0 0%	unfair	3.25	24	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS										
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	13 54%	10 42%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.50	24	0
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	12 50%	7 29%	4 17%	1 4%	0 0%	poor	3.25	24	0
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	11 46%	9 38%	3 13%	1 4%	0 0%	poor	3.25	24	0
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	19 79%	5 21%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.79	24	0
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	9 39%	6 26%	5 22%	3 13%	0 0%	inadequate	2.91	23	1
18. Questions during class were	encouraged	21 91%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.91	23	1
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	21 91%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.91	23	1
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	15 65%	7 30%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	not at all	3.61	23	1
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	19 79%	5 21%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.79	24	0

	A	B	C	D	F	Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as	13 54%	9 38%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	3.46	24	0