

# The Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem and applications

PIMS, Sept. 11th 2007

# The Adams operations

Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme.

## Definition

*For any  $k \geq 1$ , the  $k$ -th Adams operation is the only functorial ring endomorphism*

$$\psi^k : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$$

*such that*

$$\psi^k(L) = L^{\otimes k}$$

*for any line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ .*

## The diagram in question

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes. A natural question is: does the following diagram commute ?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{\psi^k} & \mathrm{K}(X) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{R}^\bullet f_* & & \downarrow \mathrm{R}^\bullet f_* \\ \mathrm{K}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi^k} & \mathrm{K}(Y) \end{array}$$

# Cannibals & Riemann-Roch I

This answer is again NO. Nevertheless, the deviation from commutativity can be measured precisely. To describe this deviation, we need the

## Definition (Bott's cannibalistic classes)

The operations  $(\theta^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  functorially associate elements of  $K(X)$  to vector bundles on  $X$ . The following three properties determine them uniquely.

- For every line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , we have

$$\theta^k(L) = 1 + L + L^{\otimes 2} + \dots + L^{\otimes(k-1)}.$$

- For any exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$$

on  $X$  we have  $\theta^k(E')\theta^k(E'') = \theta^k(E)$ .

## Cannibals & Riemann-Roch II

The deviation is now described by the

**Theorem (Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem; Grothendieck et al.)**

*Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasi-projective over an affine noetherian scheme and that  $f$  is smooth and projective. Then*

- *The element  $\theta^k(\Omega_f)$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}(X)[\frac{1}{k}]$ .*
- *The equality*

$$\psi^k(\mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(x)) = \mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(\theta^k(\Omega_f)^{-1} \otimes \psi^k(x))$$

*holds in  $\mathbb{K}(Y)[\frac{1}{k}]$ .*

## Adams-Riemann-Roch & the de Rham complex

We shall apply the Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem to the element

$$x = \Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \Lambda^k(\Omega_f).$$

We shall need the

**Lemma**

$$\psi^k(\Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f)) = \theta^k(\Omega_f) \Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f)$$

For example, if  $\text{rk}(\Omega_f) = 1$ , the lemma amounts to the equality

$$1 - \Omega_f^{\otimes k} = (1 + \Omega_f + \Omega_f^{\otimes 2} + \cdots + \Omega_f^{\otimes (k-1)}) \otimes (1 - \Omega_f).$$

# Computations I

Using the Lemma, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^k(\mathbb{R}^\bullet f_*(\Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f))) &= \mathbb{R}^\bullet f_*(\theta^k(\Omega_f)^{-1}\Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f)) \\ &= \mathbb{R}^\bullet f_*(\Lambda_{-1}(\Omega_f))\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j (\psi^k - \text{Id})(H_{\text{dR}}^j(X/Y)) = 0 \quad (*)$$

in  $K(Y)[\frac{1}{k}]$ .

## Computations II

We shall consider the image of (\*) under certain Chern classes. Consider the symmetric functions

$$x_1^t + x_2^t + \cdots + x_r^t$$

where  $t, r \geq 1$ . The associated Chern classes will be denoted by  $\text{ch}_t(\bullet)$ . The classes  $\text{ch}_t(\bullet)$  give rise to morphisms of abelian groups

$$\text{ch}_t : K(\bullet) \rightarrow \text{CH}^t(\bullet)$$

such that

$$\text{ch}_t(L) = c_1(L)^t$$

for any line bundle.



## Computations III

The effect of  $\text{ch}_t(\bullet)$  on the Adams operations is described by the equation

$$\text{ch}_t(\psi^k(\bullet)) = k^t \cdot \text{ch}_t(\bullet).$$

Applying  $\text{ch}_t$  to (\*), we thus get that

$$(k^t - 1) \cdot \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{ch}_t(H_{\text{dR}}^j(X/Y)) \right) = 0$$

in  $\text{CH}^t(Y)[\frac{1}{k}]$ .

## Computations IV

Since the last equality is true for any  $k \geq 2$ , we even obtain that

$$\gcd\{(k^t - 1)k^\infty\}_{k \geq 2} \cdot \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{ch}_t(H_{\text{dR}}^j(X/Y)) \right) = 0$$

in  $K(Y)$ .

It can be shown that

$$N_t := \gcd\{(k^t - 1)k^\infty\}_{k \geq 2} = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \prod_{p-1|t} p^{\text{ord}_p(t)+1} & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ 2 & \text{if } t \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Notice that if  $t$  is even, then

$$\prod_{p-1|t} p^{\text{ord}_p(t)+1} = \text{Denominator}(B_t/t).$$

# A conjecture

This leads to the following conjecture:

## Conjecture

Suppose that the base scheme is  $\mathbb{C}$  and that  $Y$  is smooth. The equality

$$N_t \cdot \text{ch}_t(H_{\text{dR}}^j(X/Y)) = 0$$

holds in  $\text{CH}^t(Y)$  for any  $j \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 1$ .

Notice that the weaker statement that  $\text{ch}_t(H_{\text{dR}}^j(X/Y))$  is a torsion element of  $\text{CH}^t(Y)$  is also conjectural.

In the following, we shall call this weaker statement *the weak conjecture*.

## Evidence for the conjecture

- The weak conjecture is true for  $j = 1$  [Esnault, Viehweg; Maillot & R.];
- The natural characteristic  $p$  analog of the weak conjecture is true, if the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates [Maillot & R.];
- The image of the conjecture under the cycle class map is true [Grothendieck, Thomas; Maillot & R. (different proof)].

When  $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ , (\*) shows that the conjecture is true. This was also shown earlier by Fulton and MacPherson, using different techniques.

## Characteristic $p$ , I

If  $Y$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_l$  ( $l$  a prime number), one can exhibit two spectral sequences.

The *conjugate spectral sequence*

$$E_{pq}^2 = F_Y^* R^p f_* (\Omega_{X/Y}^q) \implies H_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(X/Y)$$

and the spectral sequence which is the image of the *Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence* under  $F_Y^*$

$$E_{pq}^1 = F_Y^* R^q f_* (\Omega_{X/Y}^p) \implies F_Y^* H_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(X/Y).$$

## Characteristic $p$ , II

A comparison of these two spectral sequences leads to the following result.

### Lemma

*If the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates then the conjugate spectral sequence also degenerates.*

## Characteristic $p$ , III

Thus, if the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates then

$$\mathrm{ch}_t(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^j(X/Y)) = \mathrm{ch}_t(F_Y^* H_{\mathrm{dR}}^j(X/Y)) \in \mathrm{CH}^t(Y).$$

for any  $j \geq 0$ . In other words

$$(1 - t) \cdot \mathrm{ch}_t(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^j(X/Y)) = 0$$

in  $\mathrm{CH}^t(Y)$ .

This last equality implies the positive characteristic analog of the weak conjecture.

# Generalisations

- Similar techniques can be used to obtain vanishing statements for Chern classes in an equivariant situation; in that case, the arithmetic of the field generated by the eigenvalues of the automorphism of the Gauss-Manin bundle will enter the picture;
- The above conjecture is also expected to be true if  $f$  is a semi-stable fibration and the de Rham complex is replaced by the de Rham complex with logarithmic singularities along the singularities of  $f$ .