On the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture over function fields of positive characteristic

Number theory seminar, Madrid, Spain

November 30th, 2011

D. Rössler On the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture over function field

イロン スポン スポン スポン 一部

nan

The following theorem is the result of the work of Faltings, Serre, Hindry and McQuillan:

Theorem (generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture)

Let A be an abelian variety over \mathbb{C} . Let $X \hookrightarrow A$ be a closed subvariety, which is not the translate of an abelian subvariety of A. Let $\Gamma \subseteq A(\mathbb{C})$ be a group such that $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Then $X \cap \Gamma$ is not Zariski dense in X.

The special case of the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture where Γ is a group of torsion points (resp. is a finitely generated group) is referred to as the Manin-Mumford conjecture (resp. the Mordell-Lang conjecture).

Special cases

The following are consequences of GML.

Let C be a curve of genus ≥ 2 defined over a number field K.

Theorem (Mordell conjecture; Faltings [1984])

C(K) is finite.

Theorem (Manin-Mumford conjecture for C; Raynaud [1983])

Let $P \in C(\overline{K})$. Then the set

 $\{Q \in C(\overline{K}) | \exists n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } nQ - nP \text{ is principal} \}$

is finite.

Positive characteristic

It is natural to wonder if the analog of GML is true in positive characteristic. The following is known.

Let K be an algebraically closed field of char. p > 0. Let A be an abelian variety over K. Suppose that A has no factors, which are defined over a finite field.

Let $X \hookrightarrow A$ be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X is not the translate of an abelian subvariety of A.

Finally, let $\Gamma \subseteq A(K)$ be a group st $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module of finite rank.

D. Rössler

Theorem (Hrushovski [1996])

```
X \cap \Gamma is not Zariski dense in X.
```

Around GML in positive characteristic

E. Hrushovski's proof of GML in positive characteristic relies heavily on model-theoretic methods. More precisely, his proof relies on a trichotomy theorem for the theory of separably closed fields with a finite *p*-basis. To establish this trichotomy, he needs his work with B. Zilber on Zariski geometries.

Here is what was already proven some years ago by algebraic methods:

- the case where A is ordinary (Abramovich-Voloch [1996], Pillay-Ziegler [2003]);
- the case where X is a curve and K is of transcendence degree 1 over 𝔽_p (Grauert-Samuel [1966], Abramovich-Voloch [1996], Buium-Voloch [1996])

From Manin-Mumford to generalized Mordell-Lang

The aim of this talk is to sketch an algebraic proof of the following statement :

In positive characteristic, the Manin-Mumford conjecture implies

the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture. (*)

Combining this proof with the existing algebraic proof of the Manin-Mumford conjecture (see Pink-R. [2004]), one obtains an algebraic proof of the Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic.

The idea that the reduction (*) may be tractable was suggested by A. Pillay in a talk he gave in Paris in December 2010. He also outlined a model-theoretic approach to (*).

Jet schemes

Let U be a smooth curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Let \mathcal{X} be a scheme of over U.

We write $J^n(\mathcal{X}/U)$ for the *n*-th jet scheme of \mathcal{X} over U.

 $J^n(\mathcal{X}/U)$ is a U-scheme, which depends covariantly on \mathcal{X} .

For our purpose, the most interesting property of jet schemes is the following :

• For any closed point $u \in U$, there is a natural identification

$$J^n(\mathcal{X}/U)(\kappa(u))\simeq \mathcal{X}(u_n),$$

where $u_n \hookrightarrow U$ is the *n*-th infinitesimal neighborhood of *u* in *U*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

Properties of jet schemes

Here are further properties of jet schemes that we shall need :

• There are affine smooth "forgetful" morphisms

$$\cdots \to J^n(\mathcal{X}/U) \to J^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}/U) \to \cdots \to J^1(\mathcal{X}/U) = \mathcal{X}.$$

• There is a splitting map

$$\sigma_n: \mathcal{X}(U) \to J^n(\mathcal{X}/U),$$

which is natural in ${\mathcal X}$ but does \underline{not} arise from a scheme morphism.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 = のへで

Properties of jet schemes II

- If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}$ is a an abelian scheme over U then the forgetful morphism $J^n(\mathcal{A}/U) \to J^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}/U)$ is a morphism of group schemes and its kernel is a vector bundle.
- There is a U-morphism

$$p^{n-1}$$
: $\mathcal{A} \to J^n(\mathcal{A}/U)$

such that the composition of " p^{n-1} " with the forgetful morphism $J^n(\mathcal{A}/U) \to \mathcal{A}$ is the morphism p^{n-1} .

•
$$p^{n-1} \circ \sigma_n = \sigma_n \circ p^{n-1} = "p^{n-1}"$$
 on $\mathcal{A}(U)$.

On the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture over function field

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The critical and exceptional schemes of a subscheme of an abelian scheme

Let now \mathcal{A}/U be an abelian scheme and $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a closed integral subscheme. We let

$$\operatorname{Crit}^{n}(\mathcal{X}) := J^{n}(\mathcal{X}/U) \cap "p^{n-1"}(\mathcal{A})$$

The schemes $\operatorname{Crit}^n(\mathcal{X})$ are proper over U and there are natural <u>finite</u> morphisms

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Crit}^{n}(\mathcal{X}) {\to} \operatorname{Crit}^{n-1}(\mathcal{X}) {\to} \cdots \to \operatorname{Crit}^{1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$$

Let

$$\operatorname{Exc}(\mathcal{X}) := \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Crit}^n(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{X})$$

◆ロト ◆母 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 ○ のへで

The non-density of the exceptional scheme

Let L be the function field of U.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{L}}$ has no factors, which are defined over a finite field. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{L}}$ is not a union of translates of abelian subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{L}}$.

Proposition (non-density of Exc)

If the Manin-Mumford conjecture holds for $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{L}}$, then the set $\operatorname{Exc}(\mathcal{X})$ is not Zariski dense in \mathcal{X} .

We shall sketch the proof later.

The exceptional properties of $\mathcal{X}(U)$

We contend that for n >> 1, we have an inclusion

 $\mathcal{X} \cap p^n \mathcal{A}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{Exc}(\mathcal{X})$

Indeed, using the properties of jet schemes listed above, we get

 $\mathcal{X} \cap p^{n-1}\mathcal{A}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Crit}^{n}(\mathcal{X}) := J^{n}(\mathcal{X}/U) \cap p^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{forgetful}} \mathcal{X})$ for any $n \ge 1$.

Granting the proposition on the non-density of Exc, we deduce that there is $n_0 = n_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A})$ such that the set

 $\mathcal{X} \cap p^{n_0}\mathcal{A}(U)$

is not dense in \mathcal{X} .

Now suppose that $\mathcal{X}(U)$ is dense. Then for some $Q \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, the set

 $(\mathcal{X}+Q)(U)\cap p^{n_0}\mathcal{A}(U)$

is dense in $\mathcal{X} + Q$, because $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is a finitely generated group (Mordell-Weil theorem).

We can show that $n_0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X} + Q \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A})$ can be bounded independently of Q. This proves the Mordell-Lang conjecture.

On the non-density of $Exc(\mathcal{X})$

We now turn to the scheme $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{X})$. In order to show that $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{X})$ is not dense in \mathcal{X} , it is sufficient to show that $\text{Exc}(\mathcal{X})_u$ is not Zariski dense in \mathcal{X}_u .

Looking at the definitions, we see that this last statement is equivalent to the

Proposition ("generic non-liftability")

There is a natural number n_0 such that the set

$$\{P \in \mathcal{X}(\kappa(u)) \mid P \text{ lifts to } \mathcal{X}(u_{n_0}) \cap p^{n_0-1}\mathcal{A}(u_{n_0})\}$$

is not Zariski dense in \mathcal{X}_u .

On the non-density of $Exc(\mathcal{X})$, II

The proposition on generic non-liftability was already proven by Buium-Voloch when \mathcal{X} is a relative curve of genus ≥ 2 with non-zero Kodaira-Spencer class and $\mathcal{A} = \text{Jac}(\mathcal{X}/U)$. They showed that $n_0 = 2$ works in this situation.

The proof of the general form of the proposition is based on a galois-theoretic argument.

Let Frob be some power of the Frobenius automorphism of $\kappa(\bar{u})$, which is the identity on $\kappa(u)$.

Let g be the relative dimension of A over U. On $A(\kappa(u))$, the automorphism Frob satisfies a Galois equation R(Frob) = 0, where

$$R(T) = T^{2g} - (a_{2g-1}T^{2g-1} + \dots + a_1T + a_0)$$

is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

On the non-density of $Exc(\mathcal{X})$, III

Proposition

There is a closed subscheme $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, such that:

- 1. the torsion points are dense in the generic fibre of \mathcal{Y} ;
- 2. if $W \in \mathcal{X}(u_n)$ satisfies R(W) = 0 then $W \in \mathcal{Y}(u_n)$.

Note that $\mathcal{X}_{\mu} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. The scheme \mathcal{Y} will be highly nilpotent in general.

Corollary

If Manin-Mumford holds for \mathcal{X} , then there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st the set

 $\{P \in \mathcal{X}(\kappa(u)) \mid P \text{ lifts to a } W \in \mathcal{X}(u_{n_0}) \text{ satisfying } \mathbb{R}(W) = 0\}$

is not Zariski dense.

na a

To conclude the proof of the non-density of $\operatorname{Exc}(\mathcal{X}),$ notice that the set

 $\{P\in \mathcal{X}(\kappa(u))\mid P \text{ lifts to a } W\in \mathcal{X}(u_{n_0}) \text{ satisfying } R(W)=0\}$

contains the set

$$\{P \in \mathcal{X}(\kappa(u)) \mid P \text{ lifts to } \mathcal{X}(u_{n_0}) \cap p^{n_0-1}\mathcal{A}(u_{n_0})\}$$

because the morphism " p^{n_0-1} " commutes with Frob.

D. Rössler

On the generalized Mordell-Lang conjecture over function field