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# Optimal control of evolution equations 

## Setting of the problem

We consider equations of the form
$(E) \quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u+f, \quad y(0)=y_{0}$.

## Assumptions

$Y$ and $U$ are Hilbert spaces.
The unbounded operator $(A, D(A))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on $Y$, denoted by $\left(e^{t A}\right)_{t \geq 0}$.
We want to study problems for which

$$
B \notin \mathcal{L}(U ; Y)
$$

1. To study equation $(E)$ we look for an extension of $A$. We look for $\widehat{Y}$ and an unbounded operator $(\widehat{A}, D(\widehat{A}))$ on $\widehat{Y}$ for which
$Y$ is densely embedded in $\widehat{Y}$,
$D(A)$ is densely embedded in $D(\widehat{A})$,
$A y=\widehat{A} y$ for all $y \in D(A)$,
$B$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(U ; \widehat{Y})$.
This kind of extension will be useful to study boundary control problems for parabolic or hyperbolic equations.
2. Extend the notion of weak solutions. Prove the existence by approximation.

We consider control problems of the form
$(P) \inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U),(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.(E)\right\}$.
with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|C y(t)-z_{d}(t)\right|_{Z}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left|D y(T)-z_{T}\right|_{Z_{T}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|_{U}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bounded observations. $\quad C \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{Y} ; Z)$, and $D \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(\widehat{Y} ; Z_{T}\right)$.

If we observe the state on the boundary $\Gamma \times(0, T)$ of the domain $\Omega \times(0, T), C$ and $D$ may be unbounded operators.

## Neumann boundary control of the heat equation

## The state equation

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with a boundary $\Gamma$ of class $C^{2}$. Let $T>0$, set $Q=\Omega \times(0, T)$ and $\Sigma=\Gamma \times(0, T)$. We consider the heat equation with a Neumann boundary control
(HE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}-\Delta y=f \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial n}=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad y(x, 0)=y_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $f \in L^{2}(Q)$ is a given source of temperature, and the function $u$ is a control variable. We consider the control problem

$$
(P) \quad \inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid u \in L^{2}(\Sigma),(y, u) \text { obeys }(H E)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q}\left|y-y_{d}\right|^{2} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Sigma} u^{2}, \\
\beta>0 \text { and } y_{d} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

# The heat equation with a nonhomogeneous 

## Neumann boundary condition

Recall that

$$
D(A)=\left\{\xi \in H^{2}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n}=0\right.\right\}, \quad A y=\Delta y
$$

the operator $(A, D(A))$ is the generator of a semigroup of contractions on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u=0$ a weak solution of $(H E)$ is a function $y \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T), \int_{\Omega} y(0) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \xi$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \xi
$$

If $y$ is a regular solution of $(H E)$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi+\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in D(A) .
$$

## Definition of a weak solution

Definition. A function $y \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is a weak solution to equation $(H E)$ if, for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T)$, $\int_{\Omega} y(0) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \xi$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \xi+\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \xi .
$$

Theorem. Equation ( $H E$ ) admits at most one weak solution in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are two weak solutions. Set $z=y_{1}-y_{2}$. Then for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} z(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T), \int_{\Omega} z(0) \xi=0$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} z(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} z(t) \Delta \xi
$$

From Chapter 2, we know that $z=0$.

## Approximation by regular controls

Let $u$ be in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$, converging to $u$ in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Denote by $N u_{n}(t)=w_{n}(t)$ the solution to equation

$$
-\Delta w+w=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=u_{n}(t) \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

From elliptic regularity results we know that $w_{n}$ belongs to $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Let $z_{n}$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=f-\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial t}+\Delta w_{n} \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=\left(y_{0}-w_{n}(0)\right)(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $y_{n}=z_{n}+w_{n}$ is the solution to (HE) corresponding to ( $f, u_{n}, y_{0}$ ).

## Estimates on $y_{n}$

Since $\left(y_{0}-w_{n}(0)\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $f-\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial t}+\Delta w_{n}$ belongs to $L^{2}(Q)$. Thus $z_{n}$ and $w_{n}$ are regular enough so that $y_{n}$ obeys:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla y_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} f y_{n}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma} u y_{n}+\int_{\Omega}\left|y_{0}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $t \in] 0, T]$. We first get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+2\left\|\nabla y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{2}}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+2\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus with Young's inequality, we next obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the weak formulation we can next prove that, for every $\zeta \in D(A)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(\cdot) \zeta\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}\|\zeta\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}\|\zeta\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}\|\zeta\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(\zeta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(A)$ be a Hilbertian basis in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Using the diagonal process, we can prove that there exist subsequence, still indexed by $n$ to simplify the writing, and $y \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$,

## such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n} \longrightarrow y \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
& \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(\cdot) \zeta_{j} \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} y(\cdot) \zeta_{j} \quad \text { in } H^{1}(0, T), \text { for all } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can pass to the limit in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(t) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{n}(t) \Delta \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Gamma} u_{n}(t) \zeta_{j}, \\
& \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(0) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \zeta_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \zeta_{j} \\
& \int_{\Omega} y(0) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \zeta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\left(\zeta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(A)$ is a Hilbertian basis in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, we prove that $y$ is a weak solution of (HE).

Theorem. For every $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma), f \in L^{2}(Q)$, $y_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, the heat equation $(H E)$ admits a unique solution $y$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|y\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\|y\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The semigroup approach

We set $\widehat{Y}=\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$. The norm on $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
y \longmapsto\left\|(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)},
$$

where $\xi=(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta$ is the solution of

$$
-\Delta \xi+\xi=\zeta \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

The associated inner product is

$$
(y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\left((-\Delta+I)^{-1} y,(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta\right)_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

To define the continuous extension of $A$ we observe that if $y \in D(A)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\left((-\Delta+I)^{-1} \Delta y,(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta\right)_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we define the unbounded operator $\widehat{A}$ on $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ by $D(\widehat{A})=H^{1}(\Omega)$, and

$$
(\widehat{A} y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta
$$

for every $\zeta \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, or equivalently
$\langle\widehat{A} y, z\rangle_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}, H^{1}(\Omega)}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla z \quad \forall z \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

Theorem. The operator $(\widehat{A}, D(\widehat{A}))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$.

Proof. The proof relies on the Hille-Yosida theorem.
$\widehat{A}$ is dissipative.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\widehat{A} y, y)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} y^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left[(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y\right] y \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed

$$
\left\|(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq\|y\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

$\widehat{A}$ is $\mathbf{m}$-dissipative. Let $\lambda>0$. For all $f \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, the equation

$$
\lambda y-\widehat{A} y=f
$$

admits a unique solution in $D(\widehat{A})$.
This equation is nothing else than

$$
\int_{\Omega}(\lambda y z+\nabla y \cdot \nabla z)=\langle f, z\rangle_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}, H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

for every $z \in H^{1}(\Omega)$.

We want to write equation ( $H E$ ) in the form

$$
y^{\prime}=\widehat{A} y+f+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

where $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma) ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ must be identified.

As before, we first suppose that $u \in$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$. Write $y$ the solution to $(H E)$ corresponding to $\left(f, u, y_{0}\right)$ in the form $y=z+w$, where $w(t)=N u(t)$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=f-w^{\prime}-w \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=\left(y_{0}-w(0)\right)(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
$z(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t}\left(y_{0}-w(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)}\left(f(s)-w^{\prime}(s)+w(s)\right) d s$.
With an integration by parts we can write
$\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} \widehat{A} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w(s) d s+w(t)-e^{\widehat{A} t} w(0)$.
Thus

$$
z(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t} y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}(-\widehat{A}+I) e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w(s) d s-w(t)
$$

that is

$$
y(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t} y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)}(-\widehat{A}+I) N u(s) d s
$$

Thus we can write

$$
y^{\prime}=\widehat{A} y+(-\widehat{A}+I) N u
$$

We set $B u(t)=(-\widehat{A}+I) N u(t)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N: L^{2}(\Gamma) \longmapsto H^{3 / 2}(\Omega) \\
& -\widehat{A}+I: H^{1}(\Omega) \longmapsto\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma) ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and the representation of $y$ by the above equation is still meaningful even if $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Accordingly $y$ is a weak solution of the evolution equation iff

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(y(t), \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=(y(t), \widehat{A} \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}+(B u, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}
$$

Is it the same definition as above ?

From the definition of $(-\Delta+I)^{-1} w$ it follows that

$$
(w, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega} w(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta
$$

Thus from the definition of $\widehat{A}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((-\widehat{A}+I) N u, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla N u \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta+N u(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta\right) \\
& =\int_{\Gamma} u(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
$(B u, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\int_{\Gamma} u(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta \quad$ for all $\zeta \in\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$.

We can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} y(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y, \widehat{A} \zeta)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} y \cdot \nabla \zeta \\
& =\int_{\Omega} y \Delta(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\xi \in D(A)$ in the first definition by $(-\Delta+$ $I)^{-1} \zeta$, with $\zeta \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, we obtain the second definition.

## Existence of a unique optimal control

1. Set $F(u)=J(y(u), u)$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a minimizing sequence in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, that is

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)} F(u)
$$

Let $y_{n}$ the solution of $(H E)$ corresponding to $u_{n}$, suppose that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, and that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma)
$$

2. Let $\bar{y}=y(\bar{u})$.

The operator

$$
\Lambda: u \longrightarrow(y(u), y(u)(T))
$$

is affine and continuous from $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{2}(Q) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.

The sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to $\bar{y}$ for the weak topology of $L^{2}(Q)$, and $\left(y_{n}(T)\right)_{n}$ converges to $\bar{y}(T)$ for the weak topology of $L^{2}(\Omega)$.
3. Using the weakly lower semicontinuity of $F$, we obtain

$$
F(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=m
$$

Thus $\bar{u}$ is a solution to $(P)$. The uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of $F$.

## Optimality conditions

## Directional Derivative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(y(u)(T)-y_{d}(T)\right) z(v)(T)+\beta \int_{\Sigma} u v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(v)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=v \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

## Identification of $F^{\prime}(u)$

We look for $q$ such that

$$
\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v .
$$

Let $p$ be a regular function defined on $\bar{Q}$ and write an integration by parts between $z(v)$ and $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\int_{Q}\left(z_{t}-\Delta z\right) p \\
& =\int_{Q} z\left(-p_{t}-\Delta p\right)+\int_{\Omega} z(T) p(T)-\int_{\Sigma} v p+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification with

$$
\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v
$$

We set

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\Delta p=y(u)-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q
$$

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad p(x, T)=\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

and we have

$$
F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\Sigma}(p+\beta u) v
$$

if the above calculation are justified.

## The adjoint equation

Let $g \in L^{2}(Q), p_{T} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. The terminal boundary value problem
(AE)

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\Delta p=g \quad \text { in } Q
$$

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad p(x, T)=p_{T} \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

is well posed.

$$
\|p\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\left(\|g\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\|p_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

## Integration by parts between $z$ and $p$

Theorem. Suppose that $g \in L^{2}(Q), p_{T} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $v \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Then the solution $z$ of equation
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=0 \quad$ in $Q, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=v \quad$ on $\Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=0 \quad$ in $\Omega$,
and the solution $p$ of (AE) satisfy the following formula

$$
\int_{\Sigma} v p=\int_{Q} z g+\int_{\Omega} z(T) p_{T} .
$$

Proof. We prove the IBP formula for $p_{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, $g \in L^{2}(Q), v \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)\right)$. In that case $z$ and $p$ belong to $\left.L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, and the IBP formula is satisfied. When $p_{T} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ we use a density argument.

Theorem. (i) If $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is the solution to $(P)$ then $\bar{u}=-\left.\frac{1}{\beta} p\right|_{\Sigma}$, where $p$ is the solution to the adjoint equation corresponding to $\bar{y}$.
(ii) Conversely, if a pair $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{p}) \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ obeys the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial t}-\Delta \tilde{y}=f \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial n}=-\frac{1}{\beta} \tilde{p} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \tilde{y}(0)=\bar{y}_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
& -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t}-\Delta \tilde{p}=\tilde{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q \\
& \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \tilde{p}(T)=y(T)-y_{d}(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

then the pair $\left(\tilde{y},-\left.\frac{1}{\beta} \tilde{p}\right|_{\Sigma}\right)$ is the optimal solution to problem ( $P$ ).

Proof. (i) The necessary optimality condition is already proved.
(ii) The sufficient optimality condition can be proved with the sufficient optimality condition stated in Chapter 1.

# Neumann boundary control of the wave equation 

## The state equation

The notation $\Omega, \Gamma, T, Q, \Sigma$, as well as the assumptions on $\Omega$ and $\Gamma$, are the ones of the previous section. We consider
(WE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}-\Delta y=f \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial n}=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma \\
& y(x, 0)=y_{0} \quad \text { and } y^{\prime}(x, 0)=y_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in H^{1} \times L^{2}(\Omega), f \in L^{2}(Q)$, and $u \in$ $L^{2}(\Sigma)$.

We set $D(A)=\left\{y_{1} \in H^{2}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial n}=0\right.\right\} \times H^{1}(\Omega)$, $Y=H^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
A z=A\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{z_{2}}{\Delta z_{1}-z_{1}} .
$$

Theorem. The operator $(A, D(A))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $Y$. If $f \in L^{2}(Q), y_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega), y_{1} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $u=0$, equation $(W E)$ admits a unique weak solution which belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

To study the wave equation with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, we set $D(\widehat{A})=H^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\widehat{Y}=L^{2}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \text {, and }
$$

$$
\widehat{A} z=\widehat{A}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{z_{2}}{\tilde{A} z_{1}-z_{1}},
$$

where $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$ is the unbounded operator on $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\tilde{A})=H^{1}(\Omega), \\
& \left(\tilde{A} z_{1}, \zeta\right)_{\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla z_{1} \cdot \nabla(-\Delta+I)^{-1} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. The operator $(\widehat{A}, D(\widehat{A}))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup of contractions on $\widehat{Y}$.

Now, we consider equation ( $W E$ ) with a control in the Neumann boundary condition. As for the heat equation we can prove that equation ( $W E$ ) may be written in the form

$$
\frac{d z}{d t}=(\widehat{A}+L) z+F+B u, \quad z(0)=z_{0}
$$

$F, \quad B u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right), z_{0} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, are defined by
$B u=\binom{0}{B_{2} u}, \quad L\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{0}{z_{1}}, \quad F=\binom{0}{f}$,

$$
z_{0}=\binom{y_{0}}{y_{1}}, \quad \text { and } \quad B_{2} u=(-\tilde{A}+I) N u .
$$

Theorem. For every $\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in L^{2}(Q) \times$ $L^{2}(\Sigma) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}, \quad$ equation $(W E)$ admits a unique weak solution $z\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=$ $\left(y\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right), y^{\prime}\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right)$ in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T] ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$.

The control problem
$(P) \quad \inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.(W E), u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)\right\}$,
the functional $J$ is defined by

$$
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q}\left|y-y_{d}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Sigma} u^{2}
$$

where the function $y_{d}$ belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Theorem. Assume that $f \in L^{2}(Q), y_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, $y_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $y_{d} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$.

## Existence of a unique optimal control

1. Set $F(u)=J(y(u), u)$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a minimizing sequence in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, that is

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)} F(u)
$$

We suppose that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma) .
$$

Let $y_{n}$ the solution of $(W E)$ corresponding to $u_{n}$, suppose that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, and that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma) .
$$

## Passage to the limit in the equation. Let $\bar{y}=y(\bar{u})$.

The operator

$$
\Lambda: u \longrightarrow(y(u), y(u)(T))
$$

is affine and continuous from $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{2}(Q) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.

We conclude that problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$.

## Optimality conditions for $(P)$

By a classical calculation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(y(u)(T)-y_{d}(T)\right) z(v)(T)+\beta \int_{\Sigma} u v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(v)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=v \quad \text { on } \Sigma \\
& z(x, 0)=0 \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification of $F^{\prime}(u)$
We look for $q$ such that
$\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v$.
Let $p$ be a regular function defined on $\bar{Q}$ and write an integration by parts between $z(v)$ and $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\int_{Q}\left(z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z\right) p \\
& =\int_{Q} z\left(p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p\right)+\int_{\Omega} z^{\prime}(T) p(T) \\
& -\int_{\Omega} z(T) p^{\prime}(T)-\int_{\Sigma} v p+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification with

$$
\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v .
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=y(u)-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(T)=0 \text { and } p^{\prime}(T)=-\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\Sigma}(p+\beta u) v
$$

if the above calculation are justified.

Theorem. (i) If $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is the solution to $(P)$ then $\bar{u}=-\left.\frac{1}{\beta} p\right|_{\Sigma}$, where $p$ is the solution to the adjoint equation corresponding to $\bar{y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=\bar{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma \\
& p(T)=0 \text { and } p^{\prime}(T)=-\left(\bar{y}-y_{d}\right)(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Conversely, if a pair $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{p}) \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ obeys the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{y}=f \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial n}=-\frac{1}{\beta} \tilde{p} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \tilde{y}(0)=y_{0}, \quad \tilde{y}^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}, \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \tilde{p}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{p}=\tilde{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \tilde{p}(T)=0, \quad \tilde{p}^{\prime}(T)=-\tilde{y}(T)+y_{d}(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

then the pair $\left(\tilde{y},-\left.\frac{1}{\beta} \tilde{p}\right|_{\Sigma}\right)$ is the optimal solution to $(P)$.

## Dirichlet boundary control of the heat equation

## The state equation

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, with a boundary $\Gamma$ of class $C^{2}$. Let $T>0$, set $Q=\Omega \times(0, T)$ and $\Sigma=\Gamma \times(0, T)$. We consider the heat equation with a Dirichlet boundary control
(HE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}-\Delta y=f \quad \text { in } Q \\
& y=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad y(x, 0)=y_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $f \in L^{2}(Q)$ is a given source of temperature, and the function $u$ is a control variable. We suppose that $y_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

We consider the control problem
$(P) \quad \inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid u \in L^{2}(\Sigma),(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.(H E)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q}\left|y-y_{d}\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Sigma} u^{2}, \\
\beta>0 \text { and } y_{d} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& =\left\langle(-\Delta)^{-1}\left(y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right), y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The heat equation with a nonhomogeneous

## Dirichlet boundary condition

Recall that

$$
D(A)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad A y=\Delta y
$$

the operator $(A, D(A))$ is the generator of a semigroup of contraction on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $u=0$ a weak solution of $(H E)$ is a function $y \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T), \int_{\Omega} y(0) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \xi$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \xi
$$

If $y$ is a regular solution of $(H E)$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi-\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n}, \quad \forall \xi \in D(A) .
$$

## Definition of a weak solution

Definition. A function $y \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is a weak solution to equation $(H E)$ if, for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T)$, $\int_{\Omega} y(0) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \xi$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \xi+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \xi-\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial n} .
$$

Theorem. Equation ( $H E$ ) admits at most one weak solution in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof of uniqueness. Suppose that $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are two weak solutions. Set $z=y_{1}-y_{2}$. Then for all $\xi \in D(A)$, the mapping $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} z(t) \xi$ belongs to $H^{1}(0, T), \int_{\Omega} z(0) \xi=0$, and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} z(t) \xi=\int_{\Omega} z(t) \Delta \xi
$$

From Chapter 2, we know that $z=0$.

## Approximation by regular controls

Let $u$ be in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{3 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$, converging to $u$ in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Denote by $D u_{n}(t)=w_{n}(t)$ the solution to equation

$$
-\Delta w=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad w=u_{n}(t) \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

From elliptic regularity results we know that $w_{n}$ belongs to $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Let $z_{n}$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=f-\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial t}+\Delta w_{n} \quad \text { in } Q \\
& z=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=\left(y_{0}-w_{n}(0)\right)(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $y_{n}=z_{n}+w_{n}$ is the solution to (HE) corresponding to ( $f, u_{n}, y_{0}$ ).

## Estimates on $y_{n}$

Since $\left(y_{0}-w_{n}(0)\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $f-\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial t}+\Delta w_{n}$ belongs to $L^{2}(Q), z_{n}$ and $w_{n}$ are regular enough so that $y_{n}$ obeys:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(t)(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{n}(t)+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|y_{n}\right|^{2}-\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} f(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{n}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma} u_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left[(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $t \in] 0, T]$.

We first get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+2\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2}+2 C\|f\|_{L^{2}}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)} \\
& +2\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \leq C\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}
$$

Thus with Young's inequality, we next obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## An other estimate on $y_{n}$

From the variational formulation we can next prove that, for every $\zeta \in D(A)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(\cdot) \zeta\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)}\|\zeta\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}\|\zeta\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}\|\zeta\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(\zeta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(A)$ be a Hilbertian basis in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Using the diagonal process, we can prove that there exist subsequence, still indexed by $n$ to simplify the writing, and $y \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$,

## such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n} \longrightarrow y \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(\cdot) \zeta_{j} \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} y(\cdot) \zeta_{j} \text { in } H^{1}(0, T), \text { for all } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can pass to the limit in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(t) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{n}(t) \Delta \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \zeta_{j}-\int_{\Gamma} u_{n}(t) \frac{\partial \zeta_{j}}{\partial n} \\
& \int_{\Omega} y_{n}(0) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \zeta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y(t) \Delta \zeta_{j}+\int_{\Omega} f(t) \zeta_{j}-\int_{\Gamma} u(t) \frac{\partial \zeta_{j}}{\partial n} \\
& \int_{\Omega} y(0) \zeta_{j}=\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \zeta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\left(\zeta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(A)$ is a Hilbertian basis in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, we prove that $y$ is a weak solution of (HE).

Theorem. For every $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma), f \in L^{2}(Q)$, $y_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, the heat equation $(H E)$ admits a unique solution $y$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|y\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|y\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The semigroup approach

We set $\widehat{Y}=\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$. The norm on $\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
y \longmapsto\left\|(-\Delta)^{-1} y\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)},
$$

where $\xi=(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta$ is the solution of

$$
-\Delta \xi=\zeta \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \xi=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

The associated inner product is

$$
(y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\left((-\Delta)^{-1} y,(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

To define the continuous extension of $A$ we observe that if $y \in D(A)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\left((-\Delta)^{-1} \Delta y,(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} y(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we define the unbounded operator $\widehat{A}$ on $\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ by $D(\widehat{A})=L^{2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
(\widehat{A} y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} y(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta
$$

Theorem. The operator $(\widehat{A}, D(\widehat{A}))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$.

Proof. The proof relies on the Hille-Yosida theorem.
$\widehat{A}$ is dissipative.

$$
(\widehat{A} y, y)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta)^{-1} y y \leq 0
$$

$\widehat{A}$ is m-dissipative. Let $\lambda>0$. For all $f \in\left(H^{2} \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, the equation

$$
\lambda y-\widehat{A} y=f
$$

admits a unique solution in $D(\widehat{A})$.

We want to write equation $(H E)$ in the form

$$
y^{\prime}=\widehat{A} y+f+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

where $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma) ;\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ must be identified.

As before, we first suppose that $u \in$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{3 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$. Write $y$ the solution to $(H E)$ corresponding to $\left(f, u, y_{0}\right)$ in the form $y=z+w$, where $w(t)=D u(t)$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=f-w^{\prime} \quad \text { in } Q \\
& z=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=\left(y_{0}-w(0)\right)(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
z(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t}\left(y_{0}-w(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)}\left(f(s)-w^{\prime}(s)\right) d s
$$

With an integration by parts we can write
$\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} \widehat{A} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w(s) d s+w(t)-e^{\widehat{A} t} w(0)$
Thus

$$
z(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t} y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t}(-\widehat{A}) e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)} w(s) d s-w(t)
$$

that is

$$
y(t)=e^{\widehat{A} t} y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\widehat{A}(t-s)}(-\widehat{A}) D u(s) d s
$$

Thus we can write

$$
y^{\prime}=\widehat{A} y+(-\widehat{A}) D u
$$

We set $B u(t)=(-\widehat{A}) D u(t)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D: L^{2}(\Gamma) \longmapsto H^{1 / 2}(\Omega) \\
& -\widehat{A}: L^{2}(\Omega) \longmapsto\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $B \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\Gamma) ;\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and the representation of $y$ by the above equation is still meaningful even if $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Accordingly $y$ is a weak solution of the evolution equation iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}(y(t), \zeta)_{\widehat{Y}}=(y(t), \widehat{A} \zeta)_{\widehat{Y}}+(B u, \zeta)_{\widehat{Y}} \\
& \text { Is it the same definition as above ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition of $\widehat{A}$ and with a Green formula, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((-\widehat{A}) D u, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} D u(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta=\int_{\Omega} D u(-\Delta)(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta \\
& =\int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left[(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We have
$(B u, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left[(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta\right] \quad \forall \zeta \in \widehat{Y}$.

We can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y, \zeta)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta)^{-1} y(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta \\
& =\int_{\Omega} y(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y, \widehat{A} \zeta)_{\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta)^{-1} y \zeta \\
& =\int_{\Omega} y \Delta(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\xi \in D(A)$ in the first definition by $(-\Delta)^{-2} \zeta$, with $\zeta \in\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, we obtain the second defintion.

Remark. With the semigroup approach we obtain the existence of a solution in $C\left([0, T] ;\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$. With the variational method, the approximation by regular controls and the estimates we obtain the existence of a solution in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

## Existence of a unique optimal control

1. Set $F(u)=J(y(u), u)$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a minimizing sequence in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, that is

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)} F(u)
$$

Let $y_{n}$ the solution of $(H E)$ corresponding to $u_{n}$, suppose that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, and that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma) .
$$

2. Let $\bar{y}=y(\bar{u})$. The operator

$$
\Lambda: u \longrightarrow(y(u), y(u)(T))
$$

is affine and continuous from $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{2}(Q) \times$
$H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
The sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to $\bar{y}$ for the weak topology of $L^{2}(Q)$, and $\left(y_{n}(T)\right)_{n}$ converges to $\bar{y}(T)$ for the weak topology of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
3. Using the weakly lower semicontinuity of $F$, we obtain

$$
F(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=m
$$

Thus $\bar{u}$ is a solution to $(P)$. The uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of $F$.

## Optimality conditions

## Directional Derivative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v) \\
& +\left(y(u)(T)-y_{d}(T), z(v)(T)\right)_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}+\beta \int_{\omega \times(0, T)} u v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(v)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q \\
& z=v \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad z(x, 0)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Identification of $F^{\prime}(u)$

We look for $q$ such that

$$
\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z+\left(\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T), z(T)\right)_{H^{-1}}=\int_{\Sigma} q v .
$$

Let $p$ be a regular function defined on $\bar{Q}$ and write an integration by parts between $z(v)$ and $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Sigma} v \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=\int_{Q}\left(z_{t}-\Delta z\right) p \\
& =\int_{Q} z\left(-p_{t}-\Delta p\right)+\langle p(T), z(T)\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}-\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial z}{\partial n} p
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification with
$\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z+\left(\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T), z(v)(T)\right)_{H^{-1}}=\int_{\Sigma} q v$.
We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\Delta p=y(u)-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(T)=(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T)\right] \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}+\beta u\right) v
$$

if the above calculation are justified.

## The adjoint equation

Let $g \in L^{2}(Q), p_{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. The terminal boundary value problem
$(A E) \quad-\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\Delta p=g \quad$ in $Q$,

$$
p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad p(x, T)=p_{T} \quad \text { in } \Omega,
$$

is well posed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|p\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|p\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|g\|_{L^{2}(Q)}+\left\|p_{T}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integration by parts between $z$ and $p$

Theorem. Suppose that $g \in L^{2}(Q), p_{T} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $v \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Then the solution $z$ of equation
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}-\Delta z=0 \quad$ in $Q, \quad z=v \quad$ on $\Sigma, \quad z(0)=0 \quad$ in $\Omega$,
and the solution $p$ of (AE) satisfy the following formula

$$
-\int_{\Sigma} v \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}=\int_{Q} z g+\left\langle z(T), p_{T}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}, H_{0}^{1}}
$$

Proof. We prove the IBP formula for $p_{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, $g \in L^{2}(Q), v \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)\right)$. If $z$ and $p$ belong to $\left.L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, and the IBPF is proved. When $v \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ we use a density argument.

Theorem. (i) If $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is the solution to $(P)$ then $\bar{u}=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$, where $p$ is the solution to the adjoint equation corresponding to $\bar{y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}-\Delta p=\bar{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q \\
& p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad p(x, T)=(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\left(\bar{y}-y_{d}\right)(T)\right] \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Conversely, if a pair $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{p}) \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ obeys the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial t}-\Delta \tilde{y}=f \quad \text { in } Q, \\
& \tilde{y}=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \tilde{y}(0)=\bar{y}_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t}-\Delta \tilde{p}=\tilde{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \\
& \tilde{p}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \tilde{p}(T)=(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\tilde{y}(T)-y_{d}(T)\right] \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

then the pair $\left(\tilde{y}, \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n}\right)$ is the optimal solution to problem ( $P$ ).

# Dirichlet boundary control of the wave equation 

## The state equation

The notation $\Omega, \Gamma, T, Q, \Sigma$, as well as the assumptions on $\Omega$ and $\Gamma$, are the ones of the previous section. We consider

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-\Delta y=f \quad \text { in } Q, \quad y=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma
$$

(WE)

$$
y(x, 0)=y_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}(x, 0)=y_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

with $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega), f \in L^{2}(Q)$, and $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$.

We set $D(A)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad Y=$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, and

$$
A z=A\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{z_{2}}{\Delta z_{1}} .
$$

Theorem. The operator $(A, D(A))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $Y$. If $f \in L^{2}(Q), y_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), y_{1} \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $u=0$, equation ( $W E$ ) admits a unique weak solution which belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

To study the wave equation with nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, we set $D(\widehat{A})=L^{2}(\Omega) \times$

$$
H^{-1}(\Omega), \widehat{Y}=H^{-1}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}, \text { and }
$$

$$
\widehat{A} z=\widehat{A}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{z_{2}}{\tilde{A} z_{1}},
$$

where $(\tilde{A}, D(\tilde{A}))$ is the unbounded operator on $\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\tilde{A})=L^{2}(\Omega), \\
& \left(\tilde{A} z_{1}, \zeta\right)_{\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}}=-\int_{\Omega} z_{1}(-\Delta)^{-1} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. The operator $(\widehat{A}, D(\widehat{A}))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup of contractions on $\widehat{Y}$.

Now, we consider equation ( $W E$ ) with a control in the Dirichlet boundary condition. As for the heat equation we can prove that equation ( $W E$ ) may be written in the form

$$
\frac{d z}{d t}=\widehat{A} z+F+B u, \quad z(0)=z_{0}
$$

$F, \quad B u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right), z_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, are defined by
$B u=\binom{0}{B_{2} u}, \quad F=\binom{0}{f}, \quad$ and $\quad z_{0}=\binom{y_{0}}{y_{1}}$,
and

$$
B_{2} u=-\tilde{A} D u
$$

Theorem. For every $\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in L^{2}(Q) \times L^{2}(\Sigma) \times$ $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, equation ( $W E$ ) admits a unique weak solution $z\left(f, u, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ;\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}\right)$.

Existence of a solution in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$

- Approximation by regular controls
- Definition of solutions in the sense of transposition
- Estimates on $y_{n}$ by duality

New regularity results for the wave equation Let $\theta$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \theta=g \quad \text { in } Q, \theta=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \theta(0)=\theta_{0}, \quad \theta^{\prime}(0)=\theta_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. The solution $\theta$ satisfies the following estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\theta\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|\theta\|_{C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|\theta_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Approximation by regular controls

Let $u$ be in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ and let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $C_{c}^{2}(] 0, T\left[; H^{3 / 2}(\Gamma)\right)$, converging to $u$ in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$. Denote by $D u_{n}(t)=w_{n}(t)$ the solution to equation

$$
-\Delta w(t)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad w(t)=u_{n}(t) \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

From elliptic regularity results we know that $w_{n}$ belongs to $C_{c}^{2}(] 0, T\left[; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Let $\left(y_{0, n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, converging to $y_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and let $\left(y_{1, n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, converging to $y_{1}$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Let $z_{n}$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z=f-w_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\Delta w_{n} & \text { in } Q, z=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
z(0)=y_{0, n}, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=y_{1, n} & \text { in } \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

Then $y_{n}=z_{n}+w_{n}$ is the solution to (WE) corresponding to ( $f, u_{n}, y_{0, n}, y_{1, n}$ ).

Let $\theta$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \theta=g \quad \text { in } Q, \theta=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \theta(T)=0, \quad \theta^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g$ is a given function in $L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. The functions $y_{n}$ and $\theta$ are regular enough to justify integrations by parts. We obtain

$$
\int_{Q} y_{n} g=-\int_{\Sigma} u_{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}-\int_{\Omega} y_{0, n} \theta^{\prime}(0)+\int_{\Omega} y_{1, n} \theta(0)
$$

Definition of a solution in the sense of transposition

Definition. A function $y \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is a solution to equation $(W E)$ in the transposition sense if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q} y g \\
& =-\int_{\Sigma} u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}-\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \theta^{\prime}(0)+\left\langle\theta(0), y_{1}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, where $\theta$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \theta=g \quad \text { in } Q, \theta=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \theta(T)=0, \quad \theta^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Equation ( $W E$ ) admits at most one solution in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ in the transposition sense.

Proof. Suppose that $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are two solutions. Set $z=y_{1}-y_{2}$. Then

$$
\int_{Q} z g=0
$$

for all $g \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Thus $z=0$.

## First estimate on $y_{n}$

## We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}=\sup \left\{\int_{Q} y_{n} g \mid\|g\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}=1\right\} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0, n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\theta^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\|\theta(0)\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}\left\|y_{1, n}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Denote by $y$ the limit of this sequence.

By passing to the limit in the variational formulation satisfied by $y_{n}$ we prove that

$$
\int_{Q} y g=-\int_{\Sigma} u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}-\int_{\Omega} y_{0} \theta^{\prime}(0)+\left\langle\theta(0), y_{1}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}
$$

for all $g \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Thus we have proved the existence of a unique solution to (WE) in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

## Second estimates on $y_{n}$

For $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, let $\theta_{\tau}$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \theta=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \theta=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \theta(\tau)=\theta_{0}, \quad \theta^{\prime}(\tau)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

We can verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle y_{n}^{\prime}(\tau), \theta_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}, H_{0}^{1}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} y_{1, n} \theta_{\tau}(0)-\int_{\Omega} y_{0, n} \theta_{\tau}^{\prime}(0)-\int_{\Sigma} u_{n} \frac{\partial \theta_{\tau}}{\partial n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
$\left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}\right)}=\sup _{\tau} \sup _{\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}=1}\left|\left\langle y_{n}^{\prime}(\tau), \theta_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}, H_{0}^{1}}\right|$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0, n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|y_{1, n}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The control problem
$(P) \quad \inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.(W E), u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)\right\}$,
the functionals $J$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(y, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q}\left|y-y_{d}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|y(T)-y_{d}(T)\right|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Sigma} u^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the function $y_{d}$ belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Theorem. Assume that $f \in L^{2}(Q), y_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, $y_{1} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and $y_{d} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$.

## Existence of a unique optimal control

1. Set $F(u)=J(y(u), u)$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a minimizing sequence in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$, that is

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf _{u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)} F(u)
$$

We suppose that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma) .
$$

Let $y_{n}$ the solution of $(W E)$ corresponding to $u_{n}$.

## Passage to the limit in the equation. Let $\bar{y}=y(\bar{u})$.

The operator

$$
\Lambda: u \longrightarrow(y(u), y(u)(T))
$$

is affine and continuous from $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ to $L^{2}(Q) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.

We conclude that problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$.

## Optimality conditions for $(P)$

By a classical calculation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v) \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(y(u)(T)-y_{d}(T)\right) z(v)(T)+\beta \int_{\Sigma} u v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z(v)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad z=v & \text { on } \Sigma \\
z(x, 0)=0 \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}(x, 0)=0 & \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}
$$

Identification of $F^{\prime}(u)$
We look for $q$ such that
$\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z(v)\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v$.
Let $p$ be a regular function defined on $\bar{Q}$ and write an integration by parts between $z(v)$ and $p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\int_{Q}\left(z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z\right) p \\
& =\int_{Q} z\left(p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p\right)+\int_{\Omega} z^{\prime}(T) p(T) \\
& -\int_{\Omega} z(T) p^{\prime}(T)-\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial z}{\partial n} p+\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} v
\end{aligned}
$$

Identification with

$$
\int_{Q}\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z+\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right) z\right](T)=\int_{\Sigma} q v
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=y(u)-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(x, T)=0 \text { and } p^{\prime}(x, T)=-\left(y(u)-y_{d}\right)(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
F^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}+\beta u\right) v
$$

if the above calculation are justified.

Theorem. (i) If $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is the solution to $(P)$ then $\bar{u}=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$, where $p$ is the solution to the adjoint equation corresponding to $\bar{y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=\bar{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(x, T)=0 \text { and } p^{\prime}(x, T)=-\left(\bar{y}-y_{d}\right)(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Conversely, if a pair $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{p}) \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ obeys the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{y}=f \quad \text { in } Q, \tilde{y}=\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \tilde{y}(0)=y_{0}, \quad \tilde{y}^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}, \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \tilde{p}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \tilde{p}=\tilde{y}-y_{d} \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \tilde{p}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \tilde{p}(T)=0, \quad \tilde{p}^{\prime}(T)=-\tilde{y}(T)+y_{d}(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

then the pair $\left(\tilde{y}, \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{n}\right)$ is the optimal solution to $(P)$.
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