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## Part1

## An exact controllability problem

## Exact controllability of the wave equation

The notation : $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, its boundary $\Gamma$ is of class $C^{2}, T>0, Q=\Omega \times(0, T), \Sigma=$ $\Gamma \times(0, T)$. For initial data $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$, and for terminal data $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$, we look for $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ so that the solution $y$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-\Delta y=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad y=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma \tag{WE}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y(0)=y_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega,
$$

satisfies $y(T)=z_{0}$ and $y^{\prime}(T)=z_{1}$.
Since the semigroup corresponding to the wave equation is a group, the wave equation is well posed with terminal conditions, and the controllability problem is equivalent to the null controllability problem.

Indeed if $z$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad z=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& z(T)=z_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}(T)=z_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

and $(\zeta, u)$ obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \zeta=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \zeta=u \quad \text { on } \Sigma \\
& \zeta(0)=y_{0}-z(0) \text { and } \zeta^{\prime}(0)=y_{1}-z^{\prime}(0) \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \zeta(T)=0 \text { and } \zeta^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

then $y=z+\zeta$ is the solution to (WE) and it satisfies

$$
y(T)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

## The Hilbert Uniqueness Method

The H.U.Method due to Lions, consists in finding $u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)$ of minimal norm which solves the null controllability problem.

## Penalized problem

$\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right) \inf \left\{J_{\varepsilon}(y, u) \mid(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.(W E), u \in L^{2}(\Sigma)\right\}$,
the functionals $J_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\varepsilon}(y, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|y(T)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|y^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} u^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The method

- Characterize the solution of $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$
- Estimates on $y_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}$
- Passage to the limit

New regularity results for the wave equation Let $\theta$ be the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \theta=g \quad \text { in } Q, \theta=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \theta(0)=\theta_{0}, \quad \theta^{\prime}(0)=\theta_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. The solution $\theta$ satisfies the following estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\theta\|_{C\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|\theta\|_{C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|\theta_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inverse inequality

Theorem. There exist $T_{0}>0$ and $R_{0}$ such that for all $T>T_{0}$ the following estimate holds

$$
\left(T-T_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|\theta_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq R_{0}\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}
$$

## Characterization of $y_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}$

Theorem. The solution $y_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}$ to $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is characterized by

$$
u_{\varepsilon}=\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial n}
$$

where $p_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution to the adjoint equation corresponding to $y_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p_{\varepsilon}(T)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-\Delta)^{-1} y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T), \quad p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)=-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} y_{\varepsilon}(T) \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimates on $y_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}$

With an integration by parts between $y_{\varepsilon}$ and $p_{\varepsilon}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|y_{\varepsilon}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& =\left\langle p_{\varepsilon}(0), y_{1}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}-\left(p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0), y_{0}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the inverse inequality and Young inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|y_{\varepsilon}(T)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(T)\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thus

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|p_{\varepsilon}(0)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C
$$

## Passage to the limit

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0) \rightharpoonup p_{1} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega), \\
p_{\varepsilon}(0) \rightharpoonup p_{0} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \\
u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Sigma), \\
y_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \bar{y} \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
y_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \rightharpoonup \bar{y}^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right), \\
p_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \bar{p} \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
p_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \rightharpoonup \bar{p}^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$\bar{u}=\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial n}, \bar{y}$ is the solution to (WE) corresponding to $\bar{u}$, $\bar{y}(T)=0, \bar{y}^{\prime}(T)=0$, and $\bar{p}$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{p}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta \bar{p}=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad \bar{p}=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& \bar{p}(0)=p_{0} \text { and } \bar{p}^{\prime}(0)=p_{1} \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\bar{u}=\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial n}$, we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma}\left|\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial n}\right|^{2}=\left\langle\bar{p}(0), y_{1}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}-\left(\bar{p}^{\prime}(0), y_{0}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

## Uniqueness of $\bar{u}$

For any $\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, set

$$
\Lambda\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}(0),-y(0)\right),
$$

where $y$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}-\Delta y=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad y=\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& y(T)=0 \text { and } y^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(0)=p_{0} \text { and } p^{\prime}(0)=p_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem.

(i) $\Lambda$ is bounded from $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$,
(ii) $\Lambda=\Lambda^{*}$,
(iii) $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism from $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. (i)

$$
\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) \mapsto \frac{\partial p}{\partial n}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}\left(\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) ; L^{2}(\Sigma)\right)\right.$, and

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \mapsto\left(y^{\prime}(0),-y(0)\right)
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(\Sigma) ; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
(ii) Set

$$
\Lambda\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)=\left(z^{\prime}(0),-z(0)\right),
$$

where $z$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta z=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad z=\frac{\partial q}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& z(T)=0 \text { and } \quad z^{\prime}(T)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{\prime \prime}-\Delta q=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad q=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& q(0)=q_{0} \text { and } q^{\prime}(0)=q_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

With an integration by parts between $q$ and $y$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \frac{\partial q}{\partial n}=\left\langle q_{0}, y^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}-\left(q_{1}, y(0)\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& =\left\langle\Lambda\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right),\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \frac{\partial q}{\partial n}=\left\langle\Lambda\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right),\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Thus

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda^{*}
$$

(iii) Since

$$
\int_{\Sigma}\left|\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\right|^{2}=\left\langle\Lambda\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right),\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)\right\rangle
$$

with the direct and inverse inequalities it follows that $\Lambda$ is injective. But $\Lambda=\Lambda^{*}$, thus $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism from $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$.

## Consequence

If we set

$$
\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)=\Lambda^{-1}\left(y_{1},-y_{0}\right)
$$

and if $p$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime \prime}-\Delta p=0 \quad \text { in } Q, \quad p=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma, \\
& p(0)=p_{0} \text { and } p^{\prime}(0)=p_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

then $u=\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}$ is the solution of minimal norm to the null controllability problem.

It is the minimal norm solution because $(y, u, p)$ solves the optimality system of the minimal norm null controllability problem.

## Algorithm

Find $\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)=\Lambda^{-1}\left(y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$, by solving the minimization problem

$$
\inf \left\{F\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) \mid\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Lambda\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right),\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle p_{0}, y_{1}\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}}+\left(p_{1}, y_{0}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This problem can be solved, after discretization, by a conjugate gradient method.

## Part2

## A Stabilization problem

## Setting of the problem

Consider the equation
$(E) \quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}$,
where the unbounded operator $(A, D(A))$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on $Y$, denoted by $\left(e^{t A}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. The operator $B$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(U ; Y)$.

We suppose that $\left(e^{t A}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is unstable.

We look for $u \in L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)$, in a feedback form

$$
u(t)=K y(t)
$$

so that the closed loop system

$$
y^{\prime}=(A+B K) y, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

is exponentially stable on $Y$. That is

$$
\left\|e^{t(A+B K)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

and for some $\lambda>0$.

Definition. The pair $(A, B)$ is said to be stabilizable iff there exists $K \in \mathcal{L}(Y ; U)$ such that $\left(e^{t(A+B K)}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable on $Y$.

Remark. If the system ( E ) is null controllable then the pair $(A, B)$ is stabilizable.

Example of a stabilizable system with a bounded control operator.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{t}-\Delta y+\vec{V} \cdot \nabla y=\chi_{\omega} u & \text { in } Q \\
y(0)=y_{0} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu} y=0 & \text { on } \Sigma .
\end{array}
$$

Example of a stabilizable system with an unbounded control operator.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{t}-\Delta y+\vec{V} \cdot \nabla y=0 & \text { in } Q \\
y(0)=y_{0} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu} y=0 & \text { on } \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{c}, \\
\partial_{\nu} y=u & \text { on } \Sigma_{c} .
\end{array}
$$

Theorem. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup on $Y$. The semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable if and only if

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|S(t) y_{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2}<\infty \quad \text { for all } y_{0} \in Y
$$

To solve the stabilization problem we look for the solution to the control problem ( $P$ )

$$
\inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid(y, u) \text { obeys }(E), u \in L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)\right\}
$$

with

$$
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\|y(t)\|_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2}
$$

The optimal control can be written in feedback form

$$
\bar{u}(t)=K \bar{y}(t) .
$$

## The LQR problem with a finite time horizon

Consider the problem $\left(P\left(0, T, y_{0}\right)\right)$

$$
\inf \left\{J_{T}(y, u) \mid(y, u) \text { obeys }(E), u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)\right\}
$$

with

$$
J_{T}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|y(t)\|_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2}
$$

We know that this problem admits a unique solution ( $\bar{y}, \bar{u}$ ) characterized by the optimality system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}^{\prime}=A \bar{y}-B B^{*} p, \quad y(0)=y_{0} \\
& -p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+\bar{y}, \quad p(T)=0 \\
& \bar{u}=-B^{*} p(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $\bar{u}$ in feedback form

$$
\bar{u}(t)=K \bar{y}(t)
$$

we study the family of problems $(P(s, T, \zeta))$
$\inf \left\{J_{s, T}(y, u) \mid(y, u)\right.$ obeys $\left.\left(E_{s, \zeta}\right), u \in L^{2}(s, T ; U)\right\}$,
with

$$
J_{s, T}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\|y(t)\|_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2}
$$

and
$\left(E_{s, \zeta}\right) \quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(s)=\zeta$.

The solution $\left(y_{\zeta}^{s}, u_{\zeta}^{s}\right)$ to $(P(s, T, \zeta))$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d y_{\zeta}^{s}}{d t} & =A y_{\zeta}^{s}-B B^{*} p_{\zeta}^{s}, \quad y_{\zeta}^{s}(s)=\zeta \\
-\frac{d p_{\zeta}^{s}}{d t} & =A^{*} p_{\zeta}^{s}+y_{\zeta}^{s}, \quad p_{\zeta}^{s}(T)=0 \\
u_{\zeta}^{s}(t) & =-B^{*} p_{\zeta}^{s}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By linearity we have
$\left(y_{\beta \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}}^{s}, p_{\beta \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}}^{s}, u_{\beta \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}}^{s}\right)=\beta\left(y_{\zeta_{1}}^{s}, p_{\zeta_{1}}^{s}, u_{\zeta_{1}}^{s}\right)+\left(y_{\zeta_{2}}^{s}, p_{\zeta_{2}}^{s}, u_{\zeta_{2}}^{s}\right)$.
Thus the mapping

$$
P(s): \zeta \longmapsto p_{\zeta}^{s}(s)
$$

is linear from $Y$ into itself.

For all $t \in\left[0, T\left[, P(t)=P(t)^{*} \geq 0\right.\right.$
With an IBP between the solution $p_{\zeta}^{s}$ to

$$
-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+y_{\zeta}^{s}, \quad p(T)=0
$$

and the solution $y_{\xi}^{S}$ to

$$
y^{\prime}=A y-B B^{*} p_{\xi}^{s}, \quad y(s)=\xi
$$

we obtain

$$
(P(s) \zeta, \xi)_{Y}=\int_{s}^{T}\left(y_{\zeta}^{s}, y_{\xi}^{s}\right)_{Y}+\int_{s}^{T}\left(B^{*} p_{\zeta}^{s}, B^{*} p_{\xi}^{s}\right)_{U}
$$

for all $\zeta \in Y$ and all $\xi \in Y$.

For all $t \in[0, T[, P(t) \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$
From the previous identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}(P(s) \zeta, \zeta)_{Y}=J_{s, T}\left(y_{\zeta}^{s}, u_{\zeta}^{s}\right) \leq J_{s, T}\left(e^{(t-s) A} \zeta, 0\right) \\
& \leq K\|\zeta\|_{Y}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|P(t)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq K^{1 / 2}, \quad\|P(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq K
$$

$t \mapsto(P(t) \zeta, \xi)_{Y}$ is continuous
From the dynamic programming principle

$$
p_{\zeta}^{s}(t)=P(t) y_{\zeta}^{s}(t) \quad \text { for all } t \in[s, T]
$$

From the Duhamel formula and the DPP

$$
\left\|y_{\zeta}^{s}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|e^{(t-s) A} \zeta\right\|+\int_{s}^{T}\left\|e^{(t-\tau) A} B B^{*} P(\tau) y_{\zeta}^{s}(\tau)\right\| d \tau
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|y_{\zeta}^{s}\right\|_{C([s, T] ; Y)} \leq C\|\zeta\|_{Y}
$$

Next

$$
\left\|p_{\zeta}^{s}\right\|_{C([s, T] ; Y)} \leq C\|\zeta\|_{Y}
$$

It can be shown that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|y_{\zeta}^{s+h}-y_{\zeta}^{s}\right\|_{C([(s+h) \wedge s, T] ; Y)}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|p_{\zeta}^{s+h}-p_{\zeta}^{s}\right\|_{C([(s+h) \wedge s, T] ; Y)}=0
$$

From which we deduce that $t \mapsto(P(t) \zeta, \xi)_{Y}$ is continuous.
$P(\cdot)$ is the solution to a Differential Riccati

## Equation

Definition. We denote by $C_{s}([0, T] ; \mathcal{L}(Y))$ the space of mapping $P$ from $[0, T]$ to $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ such that $t \mapsto P(t) \zeta$ belongs to $C([0, T] ; Y)$ for all $\zeta \in Y$.

We know that $P \in C_{s}([0, T] ; \mathcal{L}(Y))$. We are going to prove that $P$ is the solution to the Differential Riccati Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}(t)=P(t) \quad \text { and } \quad P(t) \geq 0 \\
& P^{\prime}(t)+A^{*} P(t)+P(t) A-P(t) B B^{*} P(t)+I=0 \\
& P(T)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition. A function $P \in C_{s}([0, T] ; \mathcal{L}(Y))$ is a solution to the DRE on $(0, T)$ if, and only if, for every $(\zeta, \xi) \in D(A) \times D(A)$ the function $(P(\cdot) \zeta, \xi)$ belongs to $W^{1,1}(0, T)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}(t)=P(t) \quad \text { and } \quad P(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T], \\
& \frac{d}{d t}(P(t) \zeta, \xi)+(P(t) \zeta, A \xi)+(P(t) A \zeta, \xi) \\
& -\left(P(t) B B^{*} P(t) \zeta, \xi\right)+(\zeta, \xi)=0, \\
& (P(T) \zeta, \xi)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. The function $P$ is the unique solution to the Differential Riccati Equation on $(0, T)$.

For $(\zeta, \xi) \in D(A) \times D(A)$, consider the two systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime}=A z-B B^{*} p, \quad z(s)=\zeta \\
& -p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+z, \quad p(T)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=A y-B B^{*} q, \quad y(s)=\xi \\
& -q^{\prime}=A^{*} q+y, \quad q(T)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the previous notation we have $(z, p)=\left(y_{\zeta}^{s}, p_{\zeta}^{s}\right)$ and $(y, q)=\left(y_{\xi}^{s}, p_{\xi}^{S}\right)$.

Let us denote by $\frac{d^{+}}{d s}(P(s) \zeta, \xi)$ the right hand side derivative of the mapping $s \mapsto(P(s) \zeta, \xi)$. We prove that for every $(\zeta, \xi) \in D(A) \times D(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{+}}{d t}(P(t) \zeta, \xi)+(P(t) \zeta, A \xi)+(P(t) A \zeta, \xi) \\
& -\left(P(t) B B^{*} P(t) \zeta, \xi\right)+(\zeta, \xi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T[$. For $(\zeta, \xi) \in D(A) \times D(A)$, the solutions $z$ and $y$ satisfy

$$
z(t)=e^{(t-s) A} \zeta-\int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-\tau) A} B B^{*} p(\tau) d \tau
$$

and

$$
y(t)=e^{(t-s) A} \xi-\int_{s}^{t} e^{(t-\tau) A} B B^{*} q(\tau) d \tau
$$

Thus we have

$$
\lim _{h \searrow 0}\left\|\frac{1}{h}(z(s+h)-z(s))-A \zeta+B B^{*} p(s)\right\|_{Y}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \searrow 0}\left\|\frac{1}{h}(y(s+h)-y(s))-A \xi+B B^{*} q(s)\right\|_{Y}=0 .
$$

Using a previous identity we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P(s+h) z(s+h), y(s+h))-(P(s) z(s), y(s)) \\
& =\int_{s+h}^{s}\left((z(t), y(t))+\left(B^{*} p(t), B^{*} q(t)\right)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \backslash 0}((P(s+h) z(s+h), y(s+h))-(P(s) z(s), y(s))) / h \\
& =-(z(s), y(s))-\left(B^{*} p(s), B^{*} q(s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P(s+h) z(s+h), y(s+h))-(P(s) z(s), y(s)) \\
& =(P(s+h) z(s+h), y(s+h)-y(s)) \\
& +(z(s+h)-z(s), P(s+h) y(s)) \\
& +((P(s+h)-P(s)) z(s), y(s)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $h$ and passing to the limit when $h$ tends to
zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -(\zeta, \xi)-\left(B^{*} P(s) \zeta, B^{*} P(s) \xi\right) \\
& =(P(s) \zeta, A \xi)+(A \zeta, P(s) \xi)-2\left(B^{*} P(s) \zeta, B^{*} P(s) \xi\right) \\
& +\frac{d^{+}}{d s}(P(s) \zeta, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{+}}{d s}(P(s) \zeta, \xi)+(P(s) \zeta, A \xi)+(A \zeta, P(s) \xi)+(\zeta, \xi) \\
& -\left(B^{*} P(s) \zeta, B^{*} P(s) \xi\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the mapping

$$
s \mapsto(P(s) \zeta, \xi)
$$

is continuous on $[0, T]$, and the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \mapsto \frac{d^{+}}{d s}(P(s) \zeta, \xi)=-(\zeta, \xi)+\left(B^{*} P(s) \zeta, B^{*} P(s) \xi\right) \\
& -(P(s) \zeta, A \xi)-(A \zeta, P(s) \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

is bounded and continuous on $[0, T[$, we can affirm that

$$
s \mapsto(P(s) \zeta, \xi)
$$

is of class $C^{1}$ on $[0, T]$. Thus $P$ is a solution to the Differential Riccati Equation.

Theorem. The solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ to problem $\left(P\left(0, T, y_{0}\right)\right)$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{u}(t)=-B^{*} P(t) \bar{y}(t), \\
& \bar{y}^{\prime}=A \bar{y}-B B^{*} P \bar{y}, \quad \bar{y}(0)=y_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark Setting $Q(t)=P(T-t)$, where $P$ is the solution to the previous DRE, we can show that $Q$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{*}(t)=Q(t) \quad \text { and } \quad Q(t) \geq 0 \\
& Q^{\prime}(t)=A^{*} Q(t)+Q(t) A-Q(t) B B^{*} Q(t)+I \\
& Q(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generalization to the problem

$$
\inf \left\{J_{T}(y, u) \mid(y, u) \text { obeys }(E), u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)\right\}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{T}(y, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|C y(t)\|_{Z}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|D y(T)\|_{Z_{T}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{u}(t)=-B^{*} P(t) \bar{y}(t), \\
& \bar{y}^{\prime}=A \bar{y}-B B^{*} P \bar{y}, \quad \bar{y}(0)=y_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P$ is the solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}(t)=P(t) \quad \text { and } \quad Q(t) \geq 0 \\
& -P^{\prime}(t)=A^{*} P(t)+P(t) A-P(t) B B^{*} P(t)+C^{*} C \\
& P(T)=D^{*} D
\end{aligned}
$$

## The LQR problem with an infinite time horizon

Now we consider the control problem $(P)$

$$
\inf \left\{J(y, u) \mid(y, u) \text { obeys }(E), u \in L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)\right\}
$$

with

$$
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\|y(t)\|_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2}
$$

and
$(E) \quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}$.

Finite cost condition For every $y_{0} \in Y$, there exists $u_{y_{0}}$ s.t.

$$
J\left(y\left(y_{0}, u_{y_{0}}\right), u_{y_{0}}\right)<\infty
$$

Remark If $(A, B)$ is stabilizable then the $(F C C)$ is satisfied. The converse proposition is true.

Theorem. Suppose that the $(F C C)$ is satisfied. Then $(P)$ admits a unique solution. This solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ obeys

$$
\bar{u}(t)=-B^{*} P \bar{y}(t),
$$

where $P$ is the minimal solution to the ARE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}=P \geq 0 \\
& A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+I=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})=\frac{1}{2}\left(P y_{0}, y_{0}\right)_{Y} .
$$

Definition. An operator $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ is a solution to the ARE iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*}=P \geq 0 \\
& (P \zeta, A \xi)+(P A \zeta, \xi)-\left(P B B^{*} P \zeta, \xi\right)+(\zeta, \xi)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

An operator $P \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ is a minimal solution if it is a solution and if

$$
P \leq Q \quad \text { for any solution } Q
$$

Theorem. The ARE admits a unique minimal solution.

## Proof.

Consider the problem
$(Q(s, T, \zeta)) \quad \inf \left\{I(s, T ; \zeta, u) \mid u \in L^{2}(s, T ; U)\right\}$,
with

$$
I(s, T ; \zeta, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\left\|y_{\zeta, u}^{s}(t)\right\|_{Y}^{2} d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2} d t
$$

and $y_{\zeta, u}^{s}$ is the solution to

$$
y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(s)=\zeta
$$

For every $\zeta \in Y$ let $u_{\zeta}$ be the solution to $(Q(s, \infty, \zeta))$. Let $P_{\text {min }}$ be the solution to the differential Riccati equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=P^{*} \geq 0, \quad P(0)=0 \\
& P^{\prime}=A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+I
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us prove that, for every $\zeta \in Y$, the mapping $t \mapsto(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)$ is nondecreasing. Let $0<T_{1}<T_{2}$, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf \left(Q\left(0, T_{1}, \zeta\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P\left(T_{1}\right) \zeta, \zeta\right) \\
& \inf \left(Q\left(0, T_{2}, \zeta\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P\left(T_{2}\right) \zeta, \zeta\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf \left(Q\left(0, T_{2}, \zeta\right)\right) \\
& =\inf _{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; U\right)}\left\{I\left(0, T_{1}, \zeta, u\right)+\inf \left(Q\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, z_{\zeta, u}^{0}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \inf \left(Q\left(0, T_{1}, \zeta\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the mapping $t \mapsto(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)$ is nondecreasing.
On the other hand

$$
(P(t) \zeta, \zeta) \leq 2 I\left(0, t ; \zeta, u_{\zeta}\right) \leq 2 J\left(z\left(\zeta, u_{\zeta}\right), u_{\zeta}\right)<\infty
$$

Thus the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)$ exists and is finite for every $\zeta \in Y$. Since
$(P(t) \zeta, \xi)=\frac{1}{4}(P(t)(\zeta+\xi), \zeta+\xi)-\frac{1}{4}(P(t)(\zeta-\xi), \zeta-\xi)$,
applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem to the family of operator $(P(t) \zeta, \cdot)$, we deduce that $\sup _{t \geq 0}|(P(t) \zeta, \cdot)|<\infty$. Next, still with the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, we obtain $\sup _{t \geq 0}|(P(t) \cdot, \cdot)|<\infty$. Therefore there exists an operator $P_{\text {min }}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)=\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} \zeta, \zeta\right)
$$

Since $P(t)=P^{*}(t) \geq 0$ it follows that $P_{\text {min }}^{\infty}=$ $\left(P_{m i n}^{\infty}\right)^{*} \geq 0$.

For every $\zeta \in D(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}(P(t) \zeta, \zeta) \\
& =(P \zeta, A \zeta)+(P A \zeta, \zeta)-\left(P B B^{*} P \zeta, \zeta\right)+(\zeta, \zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The mapping $t \mapsto(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)$ is of class $C^{1}$, the right hand side of the equation admits a limit when $t$ tends to infinity, thus the limit of $\frac{d}{d t}(P(t) \zeta, \zeta)$ exists and is necessarily zero. This means that $P_{\text {min }}^{\infty}$ is a solution to the ARE. To prove that $P_{\text {min }}^{\infty}$ is a minimal solution, we suppose that $\hat{P}$ is an other solution. Observe that $\hat{P}$ is also the solution to the differential Riccati equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=P^{*} \geq 0, \quad P(0)=\hat{P} \\
& P^{\prime}=A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+I
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\hat{P}(0) \geq P_{\min }(0)=0$, we have $P_{\min }(t) \leq \hat{P}(t)=$ $\hat{P}$. Passing to the limit when $t$ tends to infinity, we prove that $P_{m i n}^{\infty} \leq \hat{P}$.

Theorem. The unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ to problem ( $P$ ) satisfies the feedback formula

$$
\bar{u}(t)=-B^{*} P_{\min }^{\infty} \bar{y}(t),
$$

where $P_{\min }^{\infty}$ is the minimal solution to ARE, and $\bar{y}$ is the solution to

$$
y^{\prime}=A y-B B^{*} P_{\min }^{\infty} y, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

Moreover the optimal cost is given by

$$
J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{m i n}^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right)_{Y}
$$

The Algebraic Riccati Equation admits a unique solution.

Proof. Let $\bar{y}$ be the solution to

$$
\bar{y}^{\prime}=A \bar{y}-B B^{*} P_{\min }^{\infty} \bar{y}, \quad \bar{y}(0)=y_{0}
$$

The solution to problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\inf \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|y_{u}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\|u\|_{U}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} y_{u}(T), y_{u}(T)\right)_{Y}\right. \\
\left.\mid u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $y_{u}$ is the solution to equation

$$
y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

is given by $(\hat{y}, \hat{u})=\left(\hat{y},-B^{*} P \hat{y}\right)$, where $P$ solves the

Riccati equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=P^{*} \geq 0, \quad P(T)=P_{m i n}^{\infty} \\
& -P^{\prime}=A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+I
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\hat{y}$ satisfies $\hat{y}^{\prime}=A \hat{y}-B B^{*} P \hat{y}$, and $\hat{y}(0)=y_{0}$. Still the previous part, we have
$\left(P(0) y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\hat{y}\|^{2}+\|\hat{u}\|^{2}\right)+\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} \hat{y}(T), \hat{y}(T)\right)$.
But $P_{\text {min }}^{\infty}$ is the unique solution to the above DRE. Consequently we have $(\hat{y}, \hat{u})=(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$, and for every $T>0$
$\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\bar{y}\|^{2}+\|\bar{u}\|^{2}\right)+\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} \bar{y}(T), \bar{y}(T)\right)$.

When $T$ tends to infinity we obtain

$$
2 J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) \leq\left(P_{m i n}^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

Considering the problem

$$
\inf \left\{\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|y_{u}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\|u\|_{U}^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)\right\}
$$

we also have

$$
\left(P_{\min }(T) y_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\|\bar{y}\|^{2}+\|\bar{u}\|^{2}\right) \leq 2 J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})
$$

and

$$
\left(P_{\min }(T) y_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|y_{u}\right\|^{2}+\|u\|^{2}\right) \leq 2 J\left(y_{u}, u\right)
$$

for all $u$, where $P_{\text {min }}$ is the above DRE. By passing to the limit when $T$ tends to infinity it yields

$$
\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\|\bar{y}\|^{2}+\|\bar{u}\|^{2}\right) d t \leq 2 J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})
$$

and
$\left(P_{\min }^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right) \leq 2 J\left(y_{u}, u\right), \quad$ for all $u \in L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)$.
Thus $\left(P_{m i n}^{\infty} y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=2 J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})=2 \inf (P)$, and $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ is the unique solution to problem $(P)$.

Lemma. If $P$ is a solution to the ARE, then the operator $A-B B^{*} P$ with domain $D(A)$ is the generator of an exponentially stable semigroup on $Y$.

Proof. Let $\zeta \in Y$, let $y$ be the solution to

$$
y(0)=\zeta, \quad y^{\prime}=A y-B B^{*} P y
$$

First suppose that $\zeta \in D(A)$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $C^{1}([0, \infty) ; U) \cap L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)$ converging to $-B^{*} P y$ in $L^{2}(0, \infty ; U)$. Let $y_{n}$ be the solution to the equation

$$
y(0)=\zeta, \quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u_{n} .
$$

With the ARE, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left(P y_{n}(t), y_{n}(t)\right)=2\left(A y_{n}+B u_{n}, P y_{n}\right) \\
& =-\left(y_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\left(B^{*} P y_{n}, B^{*} P y_{n}\right)+2\left(B^{*} P y_{n}, B^{*} P y_{n}\right) \\
& +2\left(u_{n}, B^{*} P y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(P y_{n}(t), y_{n}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|B^{*} P y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =(P \zeta, \zeta)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(2\left\|B^{*} P y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2\left(u_{n}, B^{*} P y_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By passing to the limit when $n$ tends to infinity, we
obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|y\|^{2}+\left\|B^{*} P y\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq(P y(t), y(t))+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|y\|^{2}+\left\|B^{*} P y\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =(P \zeta, \zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

By a density argument this inequality also holds for every $\zeta \in Y$ and we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\|y\|^{2}+\left\|B^{*} P y\right\|^{2}\right) \leq(P \zeta, \zeta)
$$

Lemma. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two solutions to the ARE. Suppose that the operator $A-B B^{*} P$ with domain $D(A)$, is the generator of an exponentially stable semigroup in $Y$. Then $P \geq Q$.

Proof. Since $P$ and $Q$ are two solutions to ARE, we can verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P-Q)\left(A-B B^{*} P\right) \\
& +\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)^{*}(P-Q)+(P-Q) B B^{*}(P-Q)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From this identity, we deduce:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left((P-Q) e^{t\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta, e^{t\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta\right) \\
& =-\left\|B^{*}(P-Q) e^{t\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\zeta \in D(A)$. By integrating this equality between

0 and $T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((P-Q) \zeta, \zeta) \\
& =\left((P-Q) e^{T\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta, e^{T\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left\|B^{*}(P-Q) e^{t\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta\right\|^{2} d t \\
& \geq\left((P-Q) e^{T\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta, e^{T\left(A-B B^{*} P\right)} \zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By passing to the limit when $T$ tends to infinity, we obtain $((P-Q) \zeta, \zeta) \geq 0$ for all $\zeta \in D(A)$, that is $P \geq Q$.

## Stabilization of a convection-diffusion equation



## Notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\Omega \times(0, \infty) \quad \text { Space-time domain } \\
\Omega=(0,10) \times(0,10) \\
\Sigma=\Gamma \times(0, \infty) \quad \text { Lateral boundary } \\
\Sigma_{c}=\Gamma_{c} \times(0, \infty) \quad \text { Control boundary } \\
\Gamma_{o}=\{1\} \times(0,10) \quad \text { Observation on a boundary } \\
\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(0,10)
\end{gathered}
$$

State equation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{t}-\Delta y+\vec{V} \cdot \nabla y-c y=0 & \text { in } Q, \\
y(0)=y_{0} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu} y=0 & \text { on } \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{c}, \\
\partial_{\nu} y=u & \text { on } \Sigma_{c} .
\end{array}
$$

Cost functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{c}} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} y^{2} . \\
& I_{2}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{c}} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{o}} y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{gathered}
A y=\Delta y-\vec{V} \cdot \nabla y+c y, \\
D(A)=\left\{y \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid \partial_{\nu} y=0\right\} \\
\langle B u, \phi\rangle=\int_{\Gamma_{c}} u \phi,
\end{gathered}
$$

The state equation can be written in the following form

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} y(t) \phi=\int_{\Omega} y(t)(\Delta \phi+\operatorname{div}(\vec{V} \phi))+\int_{\Gamma_{c}} u \phi,
$$

for all $\phi$ in

$$
D\left(A^{*}\right)=\left\{\phi \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid \partial_{\nu} \phi+\vec{V} \cdot \vec{n} \phi=0 \text { on } \Gamma\right\},
$$

with

$$
A^{*} \phi=\Delta \phi+\operatorname{div}(\vec{V} \phi)
$$

thus we have

$$
y^{\prime}=A y+B u, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

Problem $(P)$ admits a unique solution $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ which is characterized by

$$
\bar{u}(t)=-B^{*} P \bar{y}(t),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad P \quad \text { is the solution to the ARE } \\
P=P^{*} \geq 0, \quad A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+2 C^{*} C=0, \\
B^{*} \quad \text { is the trace operator on } \quad \Gamma_{c}, \\
\text { in example } 1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
C=C^{*}=I
$$

in example 2

$$
C \quad \text { is the trace operator on } \quad \Gamma_{0},
$$

and

$$
\left\langle C^{*} y, \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} y \phi
$$

Remark. A null controllability result can be proved for the convection-diffusion equation. Thus the pair $(A, B)$ is stabilizable.

Similarly we can prove that the pair $(A, C)$ is detectable.

Thus the ARE admits a unique solution.

## Algorithms

Numerical resolution of the finite dimensional Riccati equation

$$
P=P^{*} \geq 0, \quad A^{*} P+P A-P B B^{*} P+C^{*} C=0 .
$$

Hypotheses
$(H)$ The pair $(A, B)$ is stabilizable, and the pair $(A, C)$ is detectable.
Methods based on the computation of the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & -B B^{*} \\
C C^{*} & -A^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The spectrum of $H$ is symmetric w.r. to the origin and $H$ has no eigenvalues with a null real part.

## Algorithm 1.

1 - Compute the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $H$ by the $Q R$-method.
2 - Select the eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues with a negative real part. Let $V_{1}$ be the matrix whose columns correspond to these vectors:

$$
V_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{11} \\
V_{21}
\end{array}\right]
$$

3 - Solve $V_{11}^{*} P=V_{21}^{*}$ to calculate $P$.

## Algorithm 2.

1 - Write the real Schur decomposition of $H$

$$
T=U^{*} H U
$$

2 - Use orthogonal transformations to reorder the matrix $T$ so that the quasi-triangular bloc $T_{11}$ has eigenvalues with a negative real part.
3 - Solve $U_{11}^{*} P=U_{21}^{*}$ to compute $P$, where $U_{1}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{l}U_{11} \\ U_{21}\end{array}\right]$, are the Schur-vectors corresponding to $T_{11}$.

## Numerical tests

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=(0,10) \times(0,10), \quad \Delta t=0.01, \quad T=10, \\
& \text { mesh size }=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation

$$
y_{t}-\Delta y+\vec{V} \cdot \nabla y-c y=0
$$

with

$$
\vec{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
10 & 3
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \quad c=0 \quad \text { or } \quad c=3 .
$$

Control boundary

$$
\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(0,10) \quad \text { or } \quad \Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(3,6)
$$

## Cost functional

$$
I_{1}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{c}} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} y^{2}
$$

or

$$
I_{2}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{c}} u^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{o}} y^{2}, \quad \Gamma_{o}=\{1\} \times(0,10) .
$$

## Without control $c=3, y_{0}=\cos \left(2 \pi x_{1} / 10\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2} / 10\right)$

Etat initial $\mathrm{t}=0$




## Control on $\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(0,10)$

## Observation on $D_{0}=\Omega$

$c=3$,
$y_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\cos \left(2 \pi x_{1} / 10\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2} / 10\right)$





## Control on $\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(0,10)$

$$
\text { and on } \Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(3,6)
$$

## Observation on $D_{0}=\Gamma_{o}=\{1\} \times(0,10)$

$$
c=3, \quad y_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\cos \left(2 \pi x_{1} / 10\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2} / 10\right)
$$



Norme des états, $\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times[0,10]$ et $\{0\} \times[3,6]$


$$
\mathrm{D}_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}=[3,6], \mathrm{t}=2.5
$$



$$
\mathrm{D}_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}=[3,6], \mathrm{t}=7.5
$$



$$
\mathrm{D}_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}=[3,6], \mathrm{t}=5
$$



$$
\mathrm{D}_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}=[3,6], \mathrm{t}=10
$$





$$
D_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, t=7.5
$$

$$
D_{0}=\Gamma_{0}, t=10
$$




## Control on $\Gamma_{c}=\{0\} \times(0,10)$

## Observation on $D_{0}=\Omega$

$$
c=0, \quad y_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\cos \left(2 \pi x_{1} / 10\right) \sin \left(2 \pi x_{2} / 10\right)
$$



Norme de l'état, $\mathrm{D}_{0}=\Omega, \mathrm{c}=0$



$$
\times 10^{-5} \quad c=0, t=5
$$





## Part 3

## The conjugate gradient method

## for solving an

## optimal control problem

## The conjugate gradient method

Consider the optimization problem
$\left(P_{1}\right) \quad \inf \{F(u) \mid u \in U\}$,
where $U$ is a Hilbert space and $F$ is a quadratic functional

$$
F(u)=\frac{1}{2}(u, Q u)_{U}-(b, u)_{U}
$$

In this setting $Q \in \mathcal{L}(U), Q=Q^{*}>0, b \in U$, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{U}$ denotes the scalar product in $U$. For simplicity we write $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in place of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{U}$.

The principe of the GCM:

1. Choose $u_{0} \in U$ and compute

$$
d_{0}=-Q u_{0}+b
$$

Minimize $F$ over $C_{0}=u_{0}+\operatorname{Vect}\left(d_{0}\right)$. Let $u_{1}$ be the solution.
2. If $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, u_{k-1}$ are known, $u_{k}$ is the solution of
$\left(P_{1}\right) \quad \inf \left\{F(u) \mid u \in C_{k-1}\right\}$,
where $C_{k-1}=u_{k-1}+\operatorname{Vect}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$

Let us recall the GC algorithm:

## Algorithm 1.

Initialization. Choose $u_{0}$ in $U$. Compute $g_{0}=Q u_{0}-b$.
Set $d_{0}=-g_{0}$ and $n=0$.
Step 1. Compute

$$
\rho_{n}=\left(g_{n}, g_{n}\right) /\left(d_{n}, Q d_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
u_{n+1}=u_{n}+\rho_{n} d_{n} .
$$

Determine

$$
g_{n+1}=Q u_{n+1}-b=g_{n}+\rho_{n} Q d_{n} .
$$

Step 2. If $\left\|g_{n+1}\right\|_{U} /\left\|g_{0}\right\|_{U} \leq \varepsilon$, stop the algorithm and take $u=u_{n+1}$, else compute

$$
\beta_{n}=\left(g_{n+1}, g_{n+1}\right) /\left(g_{n}, g_{n}\right),
$$

and

$$
d_{n+1}=-g_{n+1}+\beta_{n} d_{n}
$$

Replace $n$ by $n+1$ and go to step 1 .

The conjugate gradient method for control problems

We want to apply the CGM to problems studied in chapters $1,3,4$. The state equation is of the form
(E) $\quad y^{\prime}=A y+B u+f, \quad y(0)=y_{0}$,
and the control problem is defined by
$\left(P_{2}\right) \quad \inf \left\{J\left(y_{u}, u\right) \mid u \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|C y(t)-z_{d}(t)\right\|_{Z}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|D y(T)-z_{T}\right\|_{Z_{T}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{U}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to identify problem $\left(P_{2}\right)$ with a problem of
the form $\left(P_{1}\right)$. Let $y_{u}$ be the solution to equation (E), and set $F(u)=J\left(y_{u}, u\right)$. Observe that $\left(y_{u}, y_{u}(T)\right)=$ $\left(\Lambda_{1} u, \Lambda_{2} u\right)+\zeta\left(f, y_{0}\right)$, where $\Lambda_{1}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{2}(0, T ; U)$ to $L^{2}(0, T ; Y)$, and $\Lambda_{2}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{2}(0, T ; U)$ to $Y$. We must determine the quadratic form $Q$ such that

$$
J\left(y_{u}, u\right)=\frac{1}{2}(u, Q u)_{U}-(b, u)_{U}+c
$$

Since $\left(y_{u}, y_{u}(T)\right)=\left(\Lambda_{1} u, \Lambda_{2} u\right)+\zeta\left(f, y_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
Q=\Lambda_{1}^{*} \widehat{C}^{*} \widehat{C} \Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}^{*} D^{*} D \Lambda_{2}+I
$$

where $\widehat{C} \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(0, T ; Y) ; L^{2}(0, T ; Z)\right)$ is defined by $(\widehat{C} y)(t)=C y(t)$ for all $y \in L^{2}(0, T ; Z)$, and $\widehat{C}^{*} \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(0, T ; Z) ; L^{2}(0, T ; Y)\right)$ is the adjoint of $\widehat{C}$. In the

CGM we have to compute $Q d$ for some $d \in L^{2}(0, T ; U)$. Observe that $\left(\Lambda_{1} d, \Lambda_{2} d\right)$ is equal to $\left(w_{d}, w_{d}(T)\right)$, where $w_{d}$ is the solution to

$$
w^{\prime}=A w+B d, \quad w(0)=0
$$

Moreover, using an IBP, we can prove that $\Lambda_{1}^{*} g=B^{*} p_{1}$, where $p_{1}$ is the solution to equation

$$
-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+g, \quad p(T)=0
$$

and $\Lambda_{2}^{*} p_{T}=B^{*} p_{2}$, where $p_{2}$ is the solution to equation

$$
-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p, \quad p(T)=p_{T}
$$

Thus $\Lambda_{1}^{*} \widehat{C}^{*} \widehat{C} \Lambda_{1} d+\Lambda_{2}^{*} D^{*} D \Lambda_{2} d$ is equal to $B^{*} p$, where
$p$ is the solution to

$$
-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+C^{*} C w_{d}, \quad p(T)=D^{*} D w_{d}(T)
$$

If we apply Algorithm 1 to problem $\left(P_{2}\right)$ we obtain: Algorithm 2.
Initialization. Choose $u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(0, T ; U)$. Denote by $y^{0}$ the solution to the state equation

$$
y^{\prime}=A y+B u_{0}+f, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

Denote by $p^{0}$ the solution to the adjoint equation
$-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+C^{*}\left(C y^{0}-z_{d}\right), \quad p(T)=D^{*}\left(D y^{0}(T)-z_{T}\right)$.
Compute $g_{0}=B^{*} p^{0}+u_{0}$, set $d_{0}=-g_{0}$ and $n=0$.

Step 1. To compute $Q d_{n}$, we calculate $w_{n}$ the solution to equation

$$
w^{\prime}=A w+B d_{n}, \quad w(0)=0
$$

We compute $p_{n}$ the solution to equation

$$
-p^{\prime}=A^{*} p+C^{*} C w_{n}, \quad p(T)=D^{*} D w_{n}(T)
$$

We have $Q d_{n}=B^{*} p_{n}+d_{n}$. Set $\bar{g}_{n}=B^{*} p_{n}+d_{n}$. Compute

$$
\rho_{n}=-\left(g_{n}, g_{n}\right) /\left(\bar{g}_{n}, g_{n}\right),
$$

and

$$
u_{n+1}=u_{n}+\rho_{n} d_{n} .
$$

Determine

$$
g_{n+1}=g_{n}+\rho_{n} \bar{g}_{n}
$$

Step 2. If $\left\|g_{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; U)} /\left\|g_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; U)} \leq \varepsilon$, stop the algorithm and take $u=u_{n+1}$, else compute

$$
\beta_{n}=\left(g_{n+1}, g_{n+1}\right) /\left(g_{n}, g_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
d_{n+1}=-g_{n+1}+\beta_{n} d_{n}
$$

Replace $n$ by $n+1$ and go to step 1 .

## Algorithms for discrete problems

For numerical computations, we have to write discrete approximations to control problems. Suppose that equation

$$
y^{\prime}=A y+B u+f, \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

is approximated by an implicit Euler scheme

$$
y^{0}=y_{0}
$$

$(D E) \quad$ for $n=1, \ldots, M, y^{n}$ is the solution to

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(y^{n}-y^{n-1}\right)=A y^{n}+B u^{n}+f^{n}
$$

where $f^{n}=\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} f(t) d t, \quad u^{n}=\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} u(t) d t$, $t_{n}=n \Delta t$, and $T=M \Delta t$. To approximate the
functional $J(y, u)$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{M}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left\|C y^{n}-z_{d}^{n}\right\|_{Z}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|D y^{M}-z_{T}\right\|_{Z_{T}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{U}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $y=\left(y^{0}, \ldots, y^{M}\right), \quad u=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{M}\right), \quad z_{d}^{n}=$ $\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} z_{d}(t) d t$. We can define a discrete control problem associated with $\left(P_{2}\right)$ as follows:
$\left(P_{M}\right) \inf \left\{J_{M}(y, u) \mid u \in U^{M},(y, u)\right.$ satisfies $\left.(D E)\right\}$.
To apply the CGM to problem $\left(P_{M}\right)$, we have to compute the gradient of the mapping $u \mapsto J_{M}\left(y_{u}, u\right)$,
where $y_{u}$ is the solution to (DE) corresponding to $u$. Set $F_{M}(u)=J_{M}\left(y_{u}, u\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{M}^{\prime}(\bar{u}) u=\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(C \bar{y}^{n}-z_{d}^{n}, C w_{u}^{n}\right)_{Z} \\
& +\left(D \bar{y}^{M}-y_{T}, D w_{u}^{M}\right)_{Z_{T}}+\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\bar{u}^{n}, u^{n}\right)_{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{y}=y_{\bar{u}}$ and $w=\left(w^{0}, \ldots, w^{M}\right) \in Y^{M+1}$ is defined by

$$
w^{0}=0
$$

(G) for $n=1, \ldots, M, w^{n}$ is the solution to

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(w^{n}-w^{n-1}\right)=A w^{n}+B u^{n}
$$

To find the expression of $F_{M}^{\prime}(\bar{u})$, we have to introduce
an adjoint equation. Let $p=\left(p^{0}, \ldots, p^{M}\right)$ be in $Y^{M+1}$, or in $D\left(A^{*}\right)^{M+1}$ if we want to justify the calculations. Taking a weak formulation of the different equations in (G), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(\left(w^{n}-w^{n-1}\right), p^{n-1}\right)_{Y}-\left(w^{n}, A^{*} p^{n-1}\right)_{Y} \\
& =\left(B u^{n}, p^{n-1}\right)_{Y}=\left(u^{n}, B^{*} p^{n-1}\right)_{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by adding the different equalities, we find the adjoint equation by identifying

$$
\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(C \bar{y}^{n}-y_{d}^{n}, C w_{u}^{n}\right)_{Z}+\left(D \bar{z}^{M}-y_{T}, D w_{u}^{M}\right)_{Z_{T}}
$$

with

$$
\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(u^{n}, B^{*} p^{n-1}\right)_{U}
$$

More precisely, if $p=\left(p^{0}, \ldots, p^{M}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{M}=D^{*}\left(D \bar{z}^{M}-y_{T}\right) \\
& \text { for } n=1, \ldots, M, \quad p^{n} \text { is the solution to } \\
& \frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(-p^{n}+p^{n-1}\right)=A^{*} p^{n-1}+C^{*}\left(C \bar{z}^{n}-y_{d}^{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
F_{M}^{\prime}(\bar{u}) u=\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(u^{n}, B^{*} p^{n-1}\right)_{U}+\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\bar{u}^{n}, u^{n}\right)_{U}
$$

Observe that the above identification is not justified since $D^{*}\left(D \bar{y}^{M}-y_{T}\right)$ does not necessarily belong to
$D\left(A^{*}\right)$. In practice, a 'space-discretization' is also performed. This means that equation (E) is replaced by a system of ordinary differential equations, the operator $A$ is replaced by an operator belonging to $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\ell}\right)$, where $\ell$ is the dimension of the discrete space, and the above calculations are justified for the corresponding discrete problem.

## References

[1] V. Barbu, Mathematical Methods in Optimization of Differential Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
[2] A. Bensoussan, G. Da Prato, M. C. Delfour, S. K. Mitter, Representation and Control of Infinite Dimensional Systems, Vol. 1, Birkhäuser, 1992.
[3] A. Bensoussan, G. Da Prato, M. C. Delfour, S. K. Mitter, Representation and Control of Infinite Dimensional Systems, Vol. 2, Birkhäuser, 1993.
[4] J.-L. Lions, Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations, Springer, 1971.
[5] J.-L. Lions, Contrôlabilité exacte et Stabilisation des systèmes distribués, Vol. 1, 2, Masson, Paris 1988.
[6] R. Glowinski, J.-L. Lions, Exact and approximate controllablity for distributed parameter systems, Part 1, Acta Numerica 1994, 269-378.
[7] R. Glowinski, J.-L. Lions, Exact and approximate controllablity for distributed parameter systems, Part 2, Acta Numerica 1995, 159-333.
[8] V. Komornik, Exact controllability and stabilization, Masson-Wiley, 1994.
[9] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani, Differential and Algebraic Riccati Equations with Applications to

Boundary/Point Control Problems: Continuous Theory and Approximation Theory, SpringerVerlag, 1991.
[10] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani, Control Theory for Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
[11] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani, Control Theory for Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
[12] R. F. Curtain, H. J. Zwart, An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems theory, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
[13] J. Zabczyk, Mathematical Control Theory: An Introduction, Birkhäuser, 1992.
[14] H. T. Banks, Control and estimation in distributed parameter systems, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

