Accepted Manuscript

Perturbations of symmetric elliptic Hamiltonians of degree four in a complex domain

Bassem Ben Hamed, Ameni Gargouri, Lubomir Gavrilov

PII:S0022-247X(14)01049-XDOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.11.018Reference:YJMAA 19007

To appear in: Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

Received date: 21 January 2014

Please cite this article in press as: B. Ben Hamed et al., Perturbations of symmetric elliptic Hamiltonians of degree four in a complex domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.11.018

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Perturbations of symmetric elliptic Hamiltonians of degree four in a complex domain

Bassem Ben Hamed Institut Supérieur d'Electronique et de Communication de Sfax, Route Menzel Chaker km 0.5, BP 261, 3038 Sfax, Tunisie. E-mail: bassem.benhamed@gmail.com

Ameni Gargouri Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, Département de Mathématiques, BP 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisie. E-mail: ameni.gargouri@gmail.com

Lubomir Gavrilov Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, UMR 5219 Université de Toulouse, 31062 Toulouse, France. E-mail: lubomir.gavrilov@math.univ-toulouse.fr

Abstract

The cyclicity of the exterior period annulus of the asymmetrically perturbed Duffing oscillator is a well known problem extensively studied in the literature. In the present paper we provide a complete bifurcation diagram for the number of the zeros of the associated Melnikov function in a suitable complex domain.

Keywords: Limit cycles, 16th Hilbert problem, zeros of elliptic integrals depending on parameters.

1 Introduction

Consider the asymmetrically perturbed Duffing oscillator

$$X_{\lambda,\nu}: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = y\\ \dot{y} = x - x^3 + \nu x^2 + \lambda_0 y + \lambda_1 x y + \lambda_2 x^2 y \end{cases}$$
(1)

in which ν, λ_i are small real parameters. For $\nu = \lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ the system is integrable, with a first integral

$$H = \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}$$

and its phase portrait is shown on fig.1. Alternatively, the system (1) defines a real plane foliation by the formula

$$d(H - \nu \frac{x^3}{3}) + (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2)ydx = 0$$
(2)

The maximal number of limit cycles, which bifurcate from the exterior period annulus of X_0 with respect to the perturbation $X_{\lambda,\nu}$ is equal to two, as it has been shown by Iliev and Perko [7] and Li, Mardesic and Roussarie [9]:



Figure 1: Phase portrait of X_0 on the (x, y)-plane and the graph of $h = -\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}$

Theorem 1. [7, 9] The cyclicity of the exterior period annulus $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : H(x, y) > 0\}$ of dH = 0 with respect to the perturbation (1) equals two.

Remark 1. The above Theorem claims that from any compact, contained in the open exterior period annulus $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : H(x, y) > 0\}$, bifurcate at most two limit cycles. It says nothing about the limit cycles bifurcating from the separatrix eight-loop or from infinity (i.e. the equator of the Poincaré sphere).

Let $\{\gamma(h)\}_h$ be the continuous family of exterior ovals of the non-perturbed system, where

$$\gamma(h) \subset \{H=h\}$$

and consider the complete elliptic integrals

$$I_i = \oint_{\gamma(h)} x^i y dx. \tag{3}$$

It has been shown in [7], that if we restrict our attention to a one parameter deformation

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\varepsilon), \nu = \nu(\varepsilon)$$

then the first non-vanishing Poincaré-Pontryagin-Melnikov function M_k (governing the bifurcation of limit cycles) is given by a linear combination of the complete elliptic integrals of first and second kind I_0, I_2, I'_4

$$M_k(h) = \lambda_{0k} I_0(h) + \lambda_{2k} I_2(h) + \lambda_{4k} I'_4(h).$$
(4)

We recall that if P_{ε} is the first return map of the perturbed vector field $X_{\lambda(\varepsilon),\nu(\varepsilon)}$, parametrized by the restriction h of the first integral H(x, y) on a suitable cross-section, then for the displacement map $P_{\varepsilon} - id$ holds

$$P_{\varepsilon}(h) - h = \varepsilon^k M_k(h) + O(\varepsilon^{k+1})$$

The above identity holds true uniformly in h on every given compact subset of the interval of definition $(0, \infty)$, and hence the zeros of the function M_k on $(0, \infty)$ approximate the limit cycles (fixed points of the displacement map) bifurcating from the exterior period annulus of X_0 .

It is shown, by making use of Picard-Fuchs equations combined with Rolle's theorem in a real domain, that the space of elliptic integrals of first and second kind I_0, I_1, I'_4 satisfy the Chebyshev property. This means that each non-trivial linear combination of these three functions has at most two zeros counted with multiplicity, on the interval $(0, \infty)$. The method is described in details in [6, Iliev]. This result is further generalized for multi-parameter deformations. It turns out that the Bautin ideal associated to the deformation can be always principalized (this is a general fact), and that the leading term of the displacement map is given again by a function of the form (4), which completes the proof of Theorem 1, see [9].

The purpose of the present paper is to study the number of the zeros of the family $\{I_0, I_1, I'_4\}$ in the complex domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. We use the well known Petrov method which is based on the argument principle. To find the exact number of zeros we construct the bifurcation diagram of zeros of M_k in \mathcal{D} in the spirit of [4, fig.4]. The result is summarized in Theorem 2 and Figure 5, which is the main result of the paper. This gives an information on the complex limit cycles of the system, and imples in particular that the number of corresponding limit cycles can not exceed three. It can be also seen as a complex counterpart of Theorem 1.

Our primary motivation was that the complex methods we use, are necessary to understand the bifurcations from the separatrix eight-loop, see Remark 1 above. Another reason is, that the complexity of the bifurcation set of M_k in a complex domain is directly related to the number of the zeros of M_k . This observation can be possibly generalized to higher genus curves.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall some known Picard-Fuchs equations, which will be used later. The monodromy of the Abelian integrals, based on the classical Picard-Lefschetz theory is described in section 3. The Petrov method is then applied in section 4. The main result is that the principal part of the displacement map can have at most four zeros in a complex domain, a result which is not optimal - see Lemma 4. The exact upper bound for the number of the zeros in a complex domain turns out to be three. This result, together with the bifurcation diagram of zeros in a complex domain is given in section 5.

2 Picard-Fuchs equations

The results of this section are known, or can be easily deduced, see [7, 8, 15].

First we note that the affine algebraic curve

$$\Gamma_h = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C} : H(x, y) = h\}$$

is smooth for $h \neq 0, -1/4$ and has the topological type of a torus with two removed points $\pm \infty$ (at "infinity"). Its homology group is therefore of rang three, the corresponding De Rham group has for generators the (restrictions of) polynomial differential one-forms

$$ydx, xydx, x^2ydx$$

which are also generators of the related Brieskorn-Petrov $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -module [3].

Because of the symmetry $(x, y) \to (\pm x, y)$ the Abelian integrals $I_{2k+1}(h)$ vanish identically, while I_{2k} , as well their derivatives can be expressed as linear combinations of I_0, I_2 , with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{C}(h)$.

Lemma 1.



Figure 2: The vanishing cycles $\delta_0(h), \delta_1(h), \delta_{-1}(h)$ for $-\frac{1}{4} < h < 0$

The integrals I_i , i = 0, 2, satisfy the following Picard-Fuchs system :

$$I_0(h) = \frac{4}{3}hI'_0(h) + \frac{1}{3}I'_2(h)$$
(5)

$$I_2(h) = \frac{4}{15}hI'_0(h) + \left(\frac{4}{5}h + \frac{4}{15}\right)I'_2(h)$$
(6)

$$(4h+1)I'_4(h) = 4hI_0(h) + 5I_2(h)$$
(7)

$$4h(4h+1)I_0''(h) = -3I_0(h).$$
(8)

The above equations imply the following asymptotic expansions near h = 0 (they agree with the Picard-Lefshetz formula)

Lemma 2. The integrals I_i , i = 0, 2, and I'_4 have the following asymptotic expansions in the neighborhood of h = 0:

$$\begin{split} I_0(h) &= (-h + \frac{3}{8}h^2 - \frac{35}{64}h^3 + \ldots)\ln h + \frac{4}{3} + a_1h + a_2h^2 + \ldots \\ I_2(h) &= (\frac{1}{2}h^2 - \frac{5}{8}h^3 - \frac{315}{256}h^4 \ldots)\ln h + \frac{16}{15} + 4h + b_2h^2 + \ldots \\ I'_4(h) &= (-\frac{3}{2}h^2 + \frac{35}{8}h^3 - \frac{471}{256}h^4 + \ldots)\ln h + \frac{16}{3} + 4h + (4a_1 + 5b_2 - \frac{304}{3})h^2 + \ldots \end{split}$$

3 The monodromy of Abelian integrals

The Abelian integrals I(h) of the form (3) are multivalued functions in $h \in \mathbb{C}$ which become singlevalued analytic functions in the complex domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

Along the segment $(-\infty, 0]$ the integrals have a continuous limit when $h \in \mathcal{D}$ tends to a point $h_0 \in [0, -\infty)$, depending on the sign of the imaginary part of h. When Im(h) > 0 we denote

the corresponding limit by $I^+(h)$, and when Im(h) < 0 by $I^-(h_0)$. We use a similar notation for the continuous limits of loops $\gamma(h)$ when h tends to the segment $[0, -\infty)$. We have therefore

$$I^{\pm}(h) = \int_{\gamma^{\pm}(h)} \omega$$

where ω is a polynomial one-form, and the monodromy $I^+(h) - I^-(h)$, $h \in [0, -\infty)$ depends on the homology class of $\gamma^+(h) - \gamma^-(h)$ which is expressed by the Picard-Lefschetz formula. Namely, for $h \in \mathcal{D}$, define the continuous families of closed loops

$$\delta_0(h), \delta_1(h), \delta_{-1}(h) \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : H(x, y) = h\}, \ H(x, y) = \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}$$

as follows. First, for $h \in (-1/4, 0)$ let $\delta_1^+(h), \delta_{-1}^+(h)$ be the real ovals of $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : H(x, y) = h\}$, defined by

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = \pm \sqrt{h + \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{4}}, x_1 \le x \le x_2, -x_2 \le x \le -x_1\}$$

where, $-x_2, -x_1, x_1, x_2$ are the four real roots of $h + \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{4}$, as it is shown on fig.2. To visualize the loop $\delta_0^+(h)$ we consider the substitution $y \to iy$, after which the loop $\delta_0^+(h)$ is transformed to the oval of the curve

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4} = h\}.$$

The three families of loops $\delta_0(h)$, $\delta_1(h)$, $\delta_{-1}(h)$ are obtained by continuous deformations of $\delta_0^+(h)$, $\delta_1^+(h)$ and $\delta_{-1}^+(h)$ to the half plane $\{h \in \mathbb{C} : Im \ h \ge 0\}$, and finally to the domain $h \in \mathcal{D}$. The reader familiar with the Picard-Lefschetz theory will note that $\delta_0(h)$, $\delta_1(h)$, $\delta_{-1}(h)$ represent continuous families of one-cycles, vanishing at the singular points of H, when h tends to the singular values h = 0 and h = -1/4, along paths contained in the upper half-plane $\{h \in \mathbb{C} : Im \ h \ge 0\}$. Therefore they form a distinguished family of vanishing cycles, to which we are going to apply the Picard-Lefschetz formula, see [1] for details.

The above construction defines uniquely the homology classes of the vanishing loops, up to an orientation. From now on we suppose that the loop $\gamma(h) \subset \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : H(x,y) = h\}$ for h > 0 is oriented by the vector field X_0 , and that the orientation of $\delta_0(h), \delta_1(h), \delta_{-1}(h)$ are chosen in such a way that

$$\gamma(h) = \delta_0(h) + \delta_1(h) + \delta_{-1}(h), \ h \in \mathcal{D}$$

According to the definition of the vanishing cycles

$$\gamma^{+}(h) = \delta_{0}^{+}(h) + \delta_{1}^{+}(h) + \delta_{-1}^{+}(h), h \in (-\infty, 0].$$
(9)

and the Picard-Lefschetz formula [1] implies

$$\gamma^{-}(h) = -\delta_{0}^{+}(h) + \delta_{1}^{+}(h) + \delta_{-1}^{+}(h), h \in [-1/4, 0]$$
(10)

and

$$\gamma^{-}(h) = -\delta_{0}^{+}(h), h \in (-\infty, -1/4]$$
(11)

For a further use we note that

$$\delta_0^-(h) = \delta_0^+(h), h \in (-1/4, +\infty)$$
(12)

$$\delta_1^-(h) = \delta_1^+(h), \delta_{-1}^-(h) = \delta_{-1}^+(h), h \in (-\infty, 0)$$
(13)



Figure 3: The analytic continuation of a cycle $\gamma(h_0)$ in the domain \mathcal{D}

4 The zeros of the principal part of the displacement map in a complex domain

If the first Poincaré-Pontryagin-Melnikov function $M_1(h)$ is not identically zero, then

$$M_1(h) = \lambda_0 I_0(h) + \lambda_2 I_2(h), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$$
(14)

If $M_1 = 0$ the first non-vanishing Melnikov function M_k has the form [6], [7]

$$M_k(h) = \lambda_{0k} I_0(h) + \lambda_{2k} I_2(h) + \lambda_{4k} I'_4(h), \quad k \ge 2.$$
(15)

where the coefficients $\lambda_{0k}, \lambda_{2k}, \lambda_{4k}$ depend on the initial parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. Following [9], we call the Abelian integral $M_k(h)$ the principal part of the displacement map of the system (1), associated to the exterior period annulus of X_0 .

Lemma 3. If the first Poincaré-Pontryagin-Melnikov function M_1 given in (14) is not identically zero, then it has at most two zeros in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 4. The principal part (15) of the displacement map has at most four zeros in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 5. The Abelian integrals $I_0(h)$ and $I'_0(h)$ do not vanish in \mathcal{D} .

Proof of Lemma 5. $I'_0(h)$ is a period of the holomorphic one-form $\frac{dx}{y}$ on the elliptic curve Γ_h , and therefore does not vanish. For real values of $h I'_0(h)$ represents the period of the orbit $\gamma(h)$ of dH = 0, while $I_0(h)$ equals the area of the interior of $\gamma(h)$. It is remarkable, that $I_0(h)$ does not vanish in a complex domain too. Indeed, consider the analytic function

$$F(h) = \frac{I_0(h)}{I'_0(h)}, h \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We shall count its zeros in \mathcal{D} by making use of the argument principle.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a relatively compact domain, with a piece-wise smooth boundary. We suppose, that $f: D \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function, which is complex-analytic in D, except at a finite number of points on the border ∂D . We suppose also that f does not vanish on ∂D . Denote

by $Z_D(f)$ the number of zeros of f in D, counted with multiplicity. The increment of the argument $Var_{\partial D}(argf)$ of f along ∂D oriented counter-clockwise is well defined and equals the winding number of the curve $f(\partial D) \subset \mathbb{C}$ about the origin, divided by 2π . The argument principle states then that

$$2\pi Z_D(f) = Var_{\partial D}(argf) \tag{16}$$

Apply now the argument principle to the function F in the intersection of a big disc with a radius Rand the complex domain \mathcal{D} . Along the circle of radius R, for R sufficiently big, the decrease of the argument of F is close to 2π , while along the branch cut $(-\infty, 0)$ we have

$$2\sqrt{-1}Im(F(h) = F^+(h) - F^-(h) = \frac{I_0(h)}{I_0'(h)} - \frac{\overline{I_0(h)}}{\overline{I_0'(h)}}$$
$$= \frac{\oint_{\gamma^+} ydx}{\oint_{\gamma^+} \frac{dx}{y}} - \frac{\oint_{\gamma^-} ydx}{\oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dx}{y}} = \frac{W(h)}{|\oint_{\gamma^+} \frac{dx}{y}|^2}.$$
$$W(h) = det \left(\begin{array}{c} \oint_{\gamma^+} ydx & \oint_{\gamma^+} \frac{dx}{y} \\ \end{array} \right).$$

where

$$W(h) = det \left(\begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\gamma^+} y dx & \oint_{\gamma^+} \frac{dx}{y} \\ \\ \oint_{\gamma^-} y dx & \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dx}{y} \end{array} \right).$$

According to section 3, the function has two different determinations along $(-\infty, -1/4)$ and (-1/4, 0), both of which have no monodromy, and hence are rational in h. In fact, (5) implies that in both of the cases W(h) is a non-zero constant. If W(h) = c in $(-\infty, -1/4)$, then it equals 2c in (-1/4, 0). Therefore along the branch cut the argument of F^+ or F^- increases by at most π . Summing up the above information, we conclude that F has no zeros in \mathcal{D} .

Proof of Lemma 3. We denote

$$F(h) = \frac{M_1(h)}{I_0(h)} = \lambda_2 \frac{I_2(h)}{I_0(h)} + \lambda_0, h \in \mathcal{D}$$

and apply, as in the proof of Lemma 5, the argument principle to F. Along a big circle the increase of the argument of F is close to π . Along the branch cut $(-\infty, 0]$ we have

$$2\sqrt{-1}Im(F(h)) = F^+(h) - F^-(h) = \lambda_2 \frac{W(h)}{|I_0(h)|^2}$$

where

$$W(h) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \oint_{\gamma^+} yx^2 dx & \oint_{\gamma^+} y dx \\ \\ \oint_{\gamma^-} yx^2 dx & \oint_{\gamma^-} y dx \end{pmatrix} = ch(4h+1), c = const. \neq 0.$$

Therefore the imaginary part of F(h) along the branch cut $(-\infty, 0)$ vanishes at most once, at -1/4. Summing up the above information, we get that F has at most two zeros in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .

Proof of Lemma 4. We denote

$$F(h) = (4h+1)\frac{M_k(h)}{I_0(h)}, h \in \mathcal{D}$$

and apply, as in the proof of Lemma 5, the argument principle to F. By making use of (15) we have

$$F(h) = \alpha(h)\frac{I_2(h)}{I_0(h)} + \beta(h)$$
(17)

where

$$\alpha(h) = (4h+1)\lambda_2 + 5\lambda_4, \ \beta(h) = (4h+1)\lambda_0 + 4h\lambda_4.$$
(18)

Along a big circle the increase of the argument of F is close to 3π . Along the branch cut $(-\infty, 0]$ we have as before

$$2\sqrt{-1}Im(F(h)) = F^+(h) - F^-(h) = \alpha(h)\frac{W(h)}{|I_0(h)|^2}$$

where

$$W(h) = \det \left(\begin{array}{cc} \oint_{\gamma^+} yx^2 dx & \oint_{\gamma^+} y dx \\ \\ \\ \oint_{\gamma^-} yx^2 dx & \oint_{\gamma^-} y dx \end{array} \right) = ch(4h+1), c = const. \neq 0.$$

Therefore the imaginary part of F(h) along the branch cut $(-\infty, 0)$ vanishes at most twice, at -1/4 and at the root of $\alpha(h)$. Summing up the above information, we get that F has at most four zeros in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .

5 The bifurcation diagram of the zeros of the Abelian integrals in a complex domain

5.1 The first Melnikov function M_1

Let $Z(M_1)$ be the number of the zeros of $M_1(h)$ in the domain \mathcal{D} , counted with multiplicity. It is a function of $[\lambda_0 : \lambda_2]$ seen as a point on the projective circle $S^1 = \mathbb{RP}^1$. The bifurcation set **B** of $Z(M_1)$ is the set of points $[\lambda_0 : \lambda_2] \in \mathbb{RP}^1$ at which $Z(M_1)$ is not a locally constant function. It follows that if $\lambda = [\lambda_0 : \lambda_2]$ is a bifurcation point, then near λ a zero of $M_1(h)$ bifurcates from the border of the domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$, see [4, Definition 2]. Therefore

$$\mathbf{B} = P_0 \cup P_{-1/4} \cup P_\infty \cup \Delta$$

where $P_0, P_{-1/4}, P_{\infty} \in S^1$ are the sets of parameter values λ , corresponding to bifurcations of zeros from h = 0, h = -1/4 and $h = \infty$ respectively. Finally, Δ is the set corresponding to bifurcations from the branch cut $(-\infty, 0)$. The results of the preceding section imply $\Delta = \emptyset$ while

$$P_0 = \{ [\lambda_0 : \lambda_2] : \lambda_0 I_0(0) + \lambda_2 I_2(0) = 0 \}, P_{-1/4} = \{ [\lambda_0 : \lambda_2] : \lambda_0 I_0(-\frac{1}{4}) + \lambda_2 I_2(-\frac{1}{4}) = 0 \}$$

and

$$P_{\infty} = \{ [\lambda_0 : \lambda_2] : \lambda_2 = 0 \}.$$

A local analysis shows that when the parameter $[\lambda_0 : \lambda_2]$ crosses P_0 or P_∞ , then a simple zero bifurcates from 0 or ∞ . Similarly, two complex conjugate zeros bifurcate from h = -1/4 when $[\lambda_0 : \lambda_2]$ crosses $P_{-1/4}$. This combined with Lemma 3 implies

Corollary 1. The bifurcation diagram of $Z(M_1)$ together with the corresponding number of zeros of M_1 are shown on fig.4



Figure 4: Bifurcation diagram of the zeros of the first Melnikov function M_1 in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .



Figure 5: Bifurcation diagram of the zeros of M_k , $k \ge 2$, in the complex domain \mathcal{D} .

5.2 The principal part M_k of the displacement map

Let $M_k, k \ge 2$, be the first non-vanishing Melnikov function, see (4). Denote by $Z(M_k)$ the number of the zeros of $M_k(h)$ in the domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, counted with multiplicity. It is a function of $[\lambda_{0k} : \lambda_{2k} : \lambda_{4k}]$ seen as a point on the projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 . The bifurcation set **B** of $Z(M_k)$ is the set of points

 $[\lambda_{0k}:\lambda_{2k}:\lambda_{4k}]\in\mathbb{RP}^2$

at which $Z(M_k)$ is not a locally constant function. It follows that if $\lambda = [\lambda_{0k} : \lambda_{2k} : \lambda_{4k}]$ is a bifurcation point, then near such a λ a zero of $M_k(h)$ bifurcates from the border of the domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$, see [4, Definition 2]. Therefore

$$\mathbf{B} = l_0 \cup l_{-1/4} \cup l_\infty \cup \Delta$$

where $l_0, l_{-1/4}, l_{\infty}$ are the sets of parameter values λ , corresponding to bifurcations of zeros from h = 0, h = -1/4 and $h = \infty$ respectively. Finally, Δ is the set of parameter values λ , at which a bifurcation of zero of M_k from $(-\infty, -1/4) \cup (-1/4, 0)$ takes place. We are going to describe these sets explicitly. For convenience, we represent the projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 by a closed disc as on fig. 5. The border of the disc is a circle with identified opposite points. The resulting quotient space is the projective plane \mathbb{RP}^2 .

As $I'_4(0) \neq 0$, then

$$l_0 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{RP}^2 : \lambda_{0k} I_0(0) + \lambda_{2k} I_2(0) + \lambda_{4k} I'_4(0) = 0\}.$$
(19)

Similarly,

$$l_{\infty} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{RP}^2 : \lambda_{2k} = 0\}.$$
(20)

A local analysis shows that $I'_4(h) \sim const. \times \log(4h+1)$ near -1/4 which implies

$$l_{-1/4} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{RP}^2 : \lambda_{4k} = 0 \}.$$
(21)

Finally, to compute Δ we suppose that for some $h \in (-\infty, -1/4) \cup (-1/4, 0)$, $M_k(h) = M_k(h) = 0$. The latter implies Im(I(h)) = 0, and hence $\alpha(h) = 0$ and $\beta(h) = 0$, see (17). The condition, that the polynomials $\alpha(h), \beta(h)$ have a common real root imply that either $\lambda_{4k} = 0$ in which case the root is h = -1/4, or

$$5(\lambda_{0k} + \lambda_{4k}) + \lambda_{2k} = 0 \tag{22}$$

in which case

$$(4h+1)(\lambda_{0k}I_2(h) + \lambda_{2k}I_2(h) + \lambda_{4k}I'_4(h)) = (4h(\lambda_{0k} + \lambda_{4k}) + \lambda_{0k})(I_0(h) - 5I_2(h))$$

see (18). The Abelian integral $I_0(h) - 5I_2(h)$ vanishes at h = -1/4 and corresponds therefore to the point $P_{-1/4}$ on fig.4. In particular it has no zeros in the domain \mathcal{D} . Thus, in the case when the root of $4h(\lambda_{0k} + \lambda_{4k}) + \lambda_{0k}$ belongs to \mathcal{D} , the Abelian integral M_k has exactly one zero in \mathcal{D} , otherwise it has complex conjugate zeros on $(-\infty, 0)$. This implies from one hand that Δ is the segment of the line (22), connecting l_{∞} and l_0 as on fig.5. On the other hand this implies that in one of the connected components of $\mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus \mathbf{B}$ the function M_k has exactly one zero, as shown on fig.5. To determine the number of the zeros of M_k in the remaining connected components of the complement to the bifurcation set in \mathbb{RP}^2 we note that

- when crossing Δ or $l_{-1/4}$ (in bold on the figure) two zeros are added or subtracted
- when crossing l_0 or l_{∞} one simple zero is added or subtracted
- the total number of zeros of M_k is not bigger than three

The above considerations, combined with Lemma 4, determine uniquely the number of the zeros of M_k in each connected component. This is summarized in the following

Theorem 2. The bifurcation set $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$ of the zeros $Z(M_k)$ of the principal part of the displacement map, in the complex domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the union of the projective lines $l_0, l_{-1/4}, l_{\infty}$ and the segment Δ connecting l_0 to l_{∞} . Their mutual position, together with the corresponding number of zeros of M_k are shown on fig.5.

The bound for the number of the zeros in the above Theorem in the complex domain \mathcal{D} is three, which, according to Theorem 1, is not optimal on the real interval $(0, \infty)$. It seems impossible to deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2 by making use of complex methods only.

References

- V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps, vols. 1 and 2, Monographs in mathematics, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985 and 1988
- [2] F. Dumortier, C. Li, Perturbations from an elliptic Hamiltonian of degree four: IV. Figure eightloop. J. Differential Eq. 188, 512–554 (2003)
- [3] L. Gavrilov, Petrov modules and zeros of Abelian integrals, Bull. Sci. Math. 122 (8), 571–584 (1998)
- [4] L. Gavrilov, The infinitesimal 16th Hilbert problem in the quadratic case. Invent. Math. 143 (3), 449–497 (2001)
- [5] L. Gavrilov, On the number of limit cycles which appear by perturbation of Hamiltonian twosaddle cycles of planar vector fields. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series 42 (1), 1–23 (2011)
- [6] I.D. Iliev, On second order bifurcations of limit cycles. J. London Math. Soc. 58 (2), 353–366 (1998)
- [7] I.D. Iliev, L.M. Perko, Higher order bifurcations of limit cycles. J. Differential Eq. 154, 339–363 (1999)
- [8] J.M. Jebrane, H. Żoładek, Abelian integrals in nonsymmetric perturbation of symmetric Hamiltonian vector field. Adv. in Appl. Math. 15 (1), 1–12 (1994)
- C. Li, P. Mardešic, R. Roussarie, Perturbations of symmetric elliptic Hamiltonians of degree four. J. Differential Eq. 231, 78–91 (2006)
- [10] C. Liu, Estimate of the number of zeros of Abelian integrals for an elliptic Hamiltonian with figure-of-eight loop. *Nonlinearity* 16, 1151–1163 (2003)
- [11] G.S. Petrov, Elliptic integrals and their non-oscillatorness. Funct. Anal. Appl. 20, 46–49 (1986)
- [12] G.S. Petrov, Complex zeros of an elliptic integral. Funct. Anal. Appl. 21, 87–88 (1987)
- [13] G.S. Petrov, Nonoscillatorness of elliptic integrals. Funct. Anal. Appl. 24, 45–50 (1990)
- [14] R. Roussarie, Bifurcation of planar vector fields and Hilbert's sixteenth problem. Volume 164 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998
- [15] C. Rousseau, H. Żoładek, Zeroes of complete elliptic integrals for 1:2 resonance. J. Differential Eq. 94, 41–54 (1991)