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Abstract.

## 1. Contexte

We have a substitution $\sigma$ on an alphabet $A,|A|=d$. Let $M$ be its matrix. We assume it is diagonalizable and it has an eigenvalue 1 . We denote $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right)$ and $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right)$ the eigenvalues and (normalized) eigenvectors. We shall sometimes denote $\ell=e_{1}$ (because we think of the Lebesgue measure) and $m$ for that associated to the eigenvalue 1. We construct partitions (tilings) of the real line. First, we have a partition made of intervals labelled by the alphabet and such that the length of the interval labelled $a$ is $\ell(a)$. We assume they are ordered according to a fixed point of the substitution. Naturally, we can replace each of these intervals by a tiling in smaller (rescaled by $\lambda_{1}^{-1}$ ) labelled intervals, the interval labelled $a$ being replaced by a sequence of intervals chosen according to $\sigma(a)$. We can repeat this operation. At each level we have a partition (Markov partition) of the real line. We call his order the number of time we did the operation. An interval of the level $N$ partition is called a $N$-cylinder or a cylinder of order $N$. It has a labell. We sometimes denote $C^{N}(a)$ for such a cylinder (but of course it has many copies in the tiling). To make things non ambiguous, we also should choose an origin. I do not give more details since this is just a naive way to describe the unstable manifold in the symbolic flow. We should be able to make explicit the connection or to formulate all this in the more formal language of the symbolic flow; this language is recalled in appendix.
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## 2. Integration par La distrbution qui nous interesse

Let $m$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 of $M$. For all $n \geq 1$ we define a piecewise density $\rho_{n}$ measurable with respect to the Markov partition of order $n$ of the line. We set $\rho_{n}(s)=\lambda_{1}^{n} m(a)$ if $s$ belongs to a cylinder (of order $n$ ) labelled $a$. We denote $m_{n}$ the $"$ measure" $m_{n}(d s)=\rho_{n}(s) d s$. The goal is to compute

$$
m_{n}(\varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) \rho_{n}(s) d s
$$

for some smooth enough $\varphi$ with compact support and try to give a meaning to the limit when $n$ tends to $\infty$. Indeed, $\rho_{n}$ is unbounded; furthermore, here, its "primitive" is not bounded. Although we will be able to integrate a reasonable class of function.


Figure 1. Here we consider the substitution $a \rightarrow$ $a c, b \rightarrow a c b b c, c \rightarrow a c b c$. This is the stepped line made naively, after 3, 4, 5 and 7 iterations. It should give an idea of the shape and asymptotic behavior of $r_{n}$.

We define $r_{n}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \rho_{n}(s) d s$ and $R_{N}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} r_{n}(s) d s$. Let the cylinder $C^{N}$ have label $a$. We observe that $R_{n}^{N}(a)=\int_{C^{N}} r_{n}(s) d s-\int_{C^{N}} r_{N}(s) d s$ depends only on $N, n \geq N$ and on the label $a$ of the $N$-cylinder $C^{N}$.

Indeed, the value of $r_{n}$ at the begining of a cylinder $C_{N}$ is constant for $n \geq N$. We denote $R_{n}^{N}$ this vector. Immediate analysis of the self similar properties of $r_{n}$ shows that it satisfies, for $n \geq N+1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{N}=M R_{n}^{N+1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that each cylinder decomposes $C^{N}(a)=\cup_{b \in \sigma(a)} C^{N+1}(b)$ ), and, for all $n \geq N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}^{N+1}=\lambda_{1}^{-1} R_{n}^{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 1.

$$
R_{n+1}^{N}-R_{n}^{N}=\lambda_{1}^{-n} M^{n-N} \Delta
$$

where, if $\sigma(a)=a_{1} \cdots, a_{K}$,

$$
\Delta(a)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m\left(a_{j}\right) \ell\left(a_{i}\right)\right)+\lambda_{1}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} m\left(a_{i}\right) \ell\left(a_{i}\right) / 2
$$

Proof. We claim that $R_{1}^{0}=R_{0}^{0}+\Delta$. We observe that, on $C^{0}$ with label $a, r_{0}$ is affine with slope $m(a)$. Assume that $r_{0}$ is 0 at the orgin of the cylider. Then, its integral on $C^{0}$ is $m(a) \ell(a) / 2$. At the next step $r_{0}$ is replaced by a piecewise affine map $r_{1}$ with the same value at the origin (and at the end). To compute $R_{1}^{0}$, we decompose the cylinder $C^{0}(a)$ into cylinders of type $C^{1}(b)$ with $b \in \sigma(a)$. On such a cylinder $C^{1}(b)$, the integral is equal to the area of the rectangle of height the value of $r_{1}$ at the origin of the cylinder and length $\ell(b)+$ the area of the triangle $\lambda_{1}^{-1} m(b) \ell(b)$. The value of $r_{1}$ at the origin of the cylinder is given by the measure $m$ of the union of cylinders whose label form the prefix of $\sigma(a)$ before the occurence of $b$, i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m\left(a_{j}\right)$. See Figure 2. This proves the claim.
To conclude we use repeatedly (2.1) and (2.2).
Remark 2. It is important to notice that $\Delta$ may very well be non zero. For instance, I imagine we could prove a result like : given a substitution satisfying our assumptions, we can modify the order of the letters in the images of letters (leaving unchanged the matrix) in such way that $\Delta$ has all components strictly positive. It should be enough to put first the letters having the greater projection on the eigenspace.
We use the eigen decomposition of $M$ :

$$
M^{n} \Delta=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_{k}^{n} \Pi_{k}(\Delta)
$$

where the $\Pi_{k}$ are the projections on the eigendirections $e_{k}$; write $\Pi_{k}(\Delta)=\pi_{k}(\Delta) e_{k}$.


Figure 2. Here we consider the substitution $a \rightarrow$ $a c, b \rightarrow a c b b c, c \rightarrow a c b c$. Its matrix is $M$. Main eigenvalue is $2+\sqrt{3}$ with eigenvector $(1,2+\sqrt{3}, 1+\sqrt{3})$ and the eigenvalue 1 has eigenvector $(1,-1,0)$. The picture shows the difference between $R_{1}^{1}(b)$ and $R_{2}^{1}(b)$. Since $a$, $b$ and $c$ appear in $\sigma(b)$, one can also see $R_{1}^{0}(a), R_{1}^{0}(b)$, and $R_{1}^{0}(c)$.

## Lemma 3.

$$
R_{n}^{N}=\left((n-N) \pi_{1}(\Delta)+m \ell+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{1-\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{n+1}}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \pi_{k}(\Delta)\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N}
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{n}^{N} & =\sum_{p=N+1}^{n} \lambda_{1}^{-p} M^{p-N} \Delta \\
& =\sum_{p=N+1}^{n} \lambda_{1}^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_{k}^{p-N} \Pi_{k}(\Delta) \\
& =\lambda_{1}^{-N} \sum_{p=N+1}^{n} \Pi_{1}(\Delta)+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \lambda_{k}^{-N} \sum_{p=N+1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-p} \Pi_{k}(\Delta)  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\lambda_{1}^{-N}(n-N) \Pi_{1}(\Delta)+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \lambda_{k}^{-N} \sum_{p=N+1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{-p} \Pi_{k}(\Delta) \\
& =\left((n-N) \Pi_{1}(\Delta)+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{1-\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{n+1}}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \Pi_{k}(\Delta)\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we try to integrate with respect to $m_{n}$ a chapeau map which is piecewise affine. It appears that the main term disappears due to a compensation between increasing and decreasing pieces. $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}=0\right)$ and we can consider the limit.

Proposition 4. Let $\varphi(s)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}_{C_{\ell}}(s)\left(\alpha_{\ell} s+\beta_{\ell}\right)$ be a continuous, piecewise affine, map with constant slope on cylinders of order $N$ and compact support. Then, if for all $1 \leq \ell \leq L, a_{\ell}$ is the label of cylinder $C^{\ell}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi \rho_{n}=\lambda_{1}^{-N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} R_{\infty}\left(a_{\ell}\right)
$$

where

$$
R_{\infty}=m \ell+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{\pi_{k}(\Delta)}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} e_{k}
$$

Proof. We integrate by parts :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) \rho_{n}(s) d s=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} \int_{C_{\ell}} r_{n}(s) d s
$$

Hence,

$$
m_{n}^{N}(\varphi):=R_{n}^{N}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s)\left(\rho_{n}(s)-\rho_{N}(s)\right) d s=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} R_{n}^{N}\left(a_{\ell}\right)
$$

So,

$$
m_{n}^{N}(\varphi)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell}\left((n-N) \Pi_{1}(\Delta)\left(a_{\ell}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{1-\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{n+1}}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \Pi_{k}(\Delta)\left(a_{\ell}\right)\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N}
$$

Recalling that $\pi_{1}(\Delta)$ is proportional to $\ell=e_{1}$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell}(n-N) \Pi_{1}(\Delta)\left(a_{\ell}\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N} & =(n-N) \pi_{1}(\Delta)\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} \ell\left(a_{\ell}\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N}\right) \\
& =(n-N) \pi_{1}(\Delta) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
m_{n}^{N}(\varphi)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} \sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{1-\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{n+1}}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \Pi_{k}(\Delta)\left(a_{\ell}\right) \lambda_{1}^{-N}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{N}(\varphi)=\lambda_{1}^{-N} \sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{1-\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}\right)^{n+1}}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \pi_{k}(\Delta) \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} e_{k}\left(a_{\ell}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, letting $n$ tend to infinity,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n}^{N}(\varphi)=\lambda_{1}^{-N} \sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{\pi_{k}(\Delta)}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} e_{k}\left(a_{\ell}\right)
$$

or

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n}(\varphi)=\lambda_{1}^{-N}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell}\left(m\left(a_{\ell}\right) \ell\left(a_{\ell}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{\pi_{k}(\Delta)}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} e_{k}\left(a_{\ell}\right)\right)\right.
$$

If we set,

$$
R_{\infty}=m \ell+\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{\pi_{k}(\Delta)}{1-\lambda_{k} \lambda_{1}^{-1}} e_{k}
$$

then,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi \rho_{n}=\lambda_{1}^{-N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \alpha_{\ell} R_{\infty}\left(a_{\ell}\right)
$$

Lemma 5. Let $\varphi$ be $C^{2}$ with compact support. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) \rho_{n}(s) d s$ exists.

Proof. Let us write $\varphi^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{(N)}(s)$ where $\alpha^{(n)}$ is measurable with respect to the Markov partition of order $N$. It should be clear that we can force $\left\|\alpha^{(N)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \lambda_{1}^{-N}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha^{(N)} d s=0$. We integrate by parts and decompose along the cylinders of order $N$ for each $N$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) \rho_{n}(s) d s & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^{\prime}(s) r_{n}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha^{(N)}(s) r_{n}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_{N}} \int_{C_{\ell}^{N}} \alpha^{(N)}(s) r_{n}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_{N}} \alpha_{\ell}^{(N)} \int_{C_{\ell}^{N}} r_{n}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using a uniform convergence argument, we can interchange the limits and use Proposition 4 in:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) \rho_{n}(s) d s & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha^{(N)}(s) r_{n}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} R_{\infty}\left(\alpha^{(N)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{1}^{-N+1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_{N}} \alpha_{\ell}^{(N)} R_{\infty}\left(a_{\ell}^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{L_{N}} \alpha_{\ell}^{(N)} \int_{C_{\ell}^{N}} r_{n}(s) d s\right| & \leq \lambda_{1}^{-N} L_{N}\left\|\alpha^{(N)}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|R_{\infty}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}^{-N} \lambda_{1}^{N}|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)| \lambda_{1}^{-N}\left\|\varphi ^ { \prime \prime } \left|\left\|\mid R_{\infty}\right\|_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}^{-N}\left|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)\left\|\left|\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\|\right|\left\|R_{\infty}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Appendix

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite alphabet, let $\sigma$ be a morphism of the free monoid $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ and let $M_{\sigma}$ denote its abelianization matrix. It is determined by the images of letters in $\mathcal{A}$. If no letter have empty image, then the action of $\sigma$ can be naturally extended to the (full) shift $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If the matrix $M_{\sigma}$ is primitive (we also say that the substitution is primitive), then we denote $X_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the smallest $\sigma$-invariant subshift. We study here the asymptotic behavior of ergodic sums for the minimal dynamical system $\left(X_{\sigma}, T\right)$ in the (non-hyperbolic) case when the matrix $M_{\sigma}$ has an eigenvalue of modulus one (here $T$ denotes the shift map). As we shall see later these objects are to be related with holonomy flows of Anosov and pseudo Anosov maps.
3.1. Vershik automorphisms and suspension flows. We refer to Section $2,3,5$ of [?] for details. Given an oriented graph $\Gamma$ with $m$ vertices, let $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ be the set of edges of $\Gamma$. For $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ we denote $I(e)$ its initial vertex and $F(e)$ its terminal vertex. To the graph $\Gamma$ we assign a non-negative $m \times m$ non-negative matrix $A(\Gamma)$ by the formula

$$
A=A(\Gamma)_{i, j}=\sharp\{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma): I(e)=i, F(e)=j\}
$$

We assume that $A$ is a primitive matrix.
We define the Markov compactum:

$$
Y=\left\{y=y_{1} \ldots y_{n} \cdots: y_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma), F\left(y_{n+1}\right)=I\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

The shift on $Y$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}$. Assume that there is an order on the set of edges starting from a given vertex. This partial order extends to a partial oder on $Y$ : we write $y<y^{\prime}$ if there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_{l}<y_{l}^{\prime}$ and $y_{n}=y_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n>l$. The Vershik automorphism $T^{Y}$ is the map from $Y$ to itself defined by

$$
T^{Y} y=\min _{y^{\prime}>y} y^{\prime}
$$

As $A$ is primitive, there is a unique probability measure invariant under $T^{Y}$ denoted by $\mu_{Y}$.
Generalizing classical ideas for IET and translation surfaces, a suspension flow over $\left(Y, T^{Y}\right)$ is defined as follow: Let $H$ be the PerronFrobenius eigenvector of $A$ normalized in a suitable way. $h_{t}$ is the special flow over $\left(Y, T^{Y}\right)$ with roof $\tau(y)=h_{I\left(y_{1}\right)}$. The phase space of the flow is

$$
Y(\tau)=\{(y, t): y \in Y, 0 \leq t<\tau(y)\} .
$$

The measure $\mu_{Y}$ induces a probability measure $\nu_{\Gamma}$ on $Y_{\tau}$.

For each $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$, the set

$$
\left\{(y, t): y \in Y, y_{1}=e, 0 \leq t<h_{I\left(y_{1}\right)}\right\}
$$

is called a rectangle in the sequel.
The space $X=\left\{x=\ldots x_{-n} \ldots x_{n} \cdots: x_{n} \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma), F\left(x_{n+1}\right)=I\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is the natural extension of $(Y, S) . X$ and $Y(\tau)$ are canonically isomorphic as measurable spaces. Thus, the flow $h_{t}$ can be defined on $X$ and satisfies the important relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S} \circ h_{t}=h_{\exp \left(\theta_{1} t\right)} \circ \mathfrak{S} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp \left(\theta_{1}\right)$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of $A$.
We now connect these notations with the language of substitution dynamical system. Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{A}=\{1, \ldots, m\}$ as the set of vertices of $\Gamma$. For all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote $\sigma(a)$ the sequence $\{F(e): I(e)=a\}$ ordered with the partial order on $\{e: I(e)=a\}$. The dynamical system $\left(X_{\sigma}, T\right)$ is a topological factor of the Vershik automorphism $\left(Y, T^{Y}\right)$. The semi-conjugacy is given by the prefix-suffix decomposition. Almost every $u \in X_{\sigma}$ can be written in the form

$$
u=\cdots \sigma^{n}\left(P_{n}\right) \cdots \sigma\left(P_{1}\right) P_{0} \cdot a_{0} S_{0} \sigma\left(P_{1}\right) \cdots \sigma^{n}\left(P_{n}\right) \cdots,
$$

where, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma\left(a_{n+1}\right)=P_{n} a_{n} S_{n}$. Thus, it is clear that such point correspond to the unique path in $Y$ such that, for all $n \geq 0$, $a_{n}=F\left(y_{n+1}\right)$ (and $=I\left(y_{n}\right)$ for $\left.n>0\right)$ and $y_{n+1}$ has exactly $\left|P_{n}\right|$ predecessors in the partial order around $I\left(y_{n+1}\right)$. We recall that the semi-conjugacy is not always a topological conjugacy because there may be multiple writings but it is a measurable conjugacy.
When $\sigma$ has constant length, the roof function of the suspension flow is constant because ${ }^{t}(1, \ldots, 1)$ is an eigenvector for $A$.
We observe that roughly $\sigma \approx \mathfrak{S}^{-1}$ and that the matrix $M_{\sigma}$ of the substitution is $M_{\sigma}=A^{T}$.
3.2. Ergodic means. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. We are interested in computing the limit in distribution when $n$ tends to infinity of

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\left(s \exp \left(-\theta_{1} n\right)\right) f \circ h_{s}(x) d s
$$

where $x$ is chosen according to the invariant measure $\nu_{\Gamma}$.
The first observation is that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the law of $x$ is the same as the law of $\mathfrak{S}^{n}(x)$ by $\mathfrak{S}$-invariance of the measure $\nu_{\Gamma}$. Hence in law this sequence of random variables is the same as

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\left(s \exp \left(-\theta_{1} n\right)\right) f \circ h_{s}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{-n}(x)\right) d s
$$

In view of (3.1), [A corriger]

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(s) f \circ h_{s}\left(\mathfrak{S}^{-n}(x)\right) d s
$$

We choose a function $f$ constant on rectangles (defined in Subsection 3.1). We are interested in the case when this function "corresponds" to the coordinates of an eigenvector associated with eigenvalue 1, i.e. an invariant vector (ie $f(\sigma(a))=f(a)$ for every letter $a)$.
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